IAPD Report

MARK HOWARD TEKAMP

CRD# 1056839

IAPD Information About Representatives

IAPD offers information on all current-and many former representatives. Investors are strongly encouraged to use IAPD to check the background of representatives before deciding to conduct, or continue to conduct, business with them.

What is included in a IAPD report?

IAPD reports for individual representatives include information such as employment history, professional qualifications, disciplinary actions, criminal convictions, civil judgments and arbitration awards.

It is important to note that the information contained in an IAPD report may include pending actions or allegations that may be contested, unresolved or unproven. In the end, these actions or allegations may be resolved in favor of the representative, or concluded through a negotiated settlement with no admission or finding of wrongdoing.

Where did this information come from?

The information contained in IAPD comes from the Investment Adviser Registration Depository (IARD) and FINRA's Central Registration Depository, or CRD, (see more on CRD below) and is a combination of:

- information the states require representatives and firms to submit as part of the registration and licensing process, and
- information that state regulators report regarding disciplinary actions or allegations against representatives.

How current is this information?

Generally, representatives are required to update their professional and disciplinary information in IARD within 30 days.

Need help interpreting this report?

For help understanding how to read this report, please consult NASAA's IAPD Tips page http://www.nasaa.org/IAPD/IARReports.cfm

What if I want to check the background of an Individual Broker or Brokerage Firm?

To check the background of an Individual Broker or Brokerage firm, you can search for the firm or individual in IAPD. If your search is successful, click on the link provided to view the available licensing and registration information in FINRA's BrokerCheck website.

Are there other resources I can use to check the background of investment professionals?

It is recommended that you learn as much as possible about an individual representative or Investment Adviser firm before deciding to work with them. Your state securities regulator can help you research individuals and certain firms doing business in your state. The contact information for state securities regulators can be found on the website of the North American Securities Administrators Association http://www.nasaa.org

Report Summary

MARK HOWARD TEKAMP (CRD# 1056839)

The report summary provides an overview of the representative's professional background and conduct. The information contained in this report has been provided by the representative, investment adviser and/or securities firms, and/or securities regulators as part of the states' investment adviser registration and licensing process. The information contained in this report was last updated by the representative, a previous employing firm, or a securities regulator on **02/16/2021**.

CURRENT EMPLOYERS

	Firm	CRD#	Registered Since
IA	HERITAGE WEALTH MANAGEMENT GROUP, LTD	CRD# 148459	02/24/2009

QUALIFICATIONS

This representative is currently registered in **0** SRO(s) and **2** jurisdiction(s).

Is this representative currently Inactive or Suspended with any regulator? **No**

Note: Not all jurisdictions require IAR registration or may have an exemption from registration.
Additional information including this individual's qualification examinations and professional designations is available in the Detailed Report.

REGISTRATION HISTORY

This representative was previously registered with the following firm(s):

	FIRM	CRD#	LOCATION	REGISTRATION DATES
IA	HIGHWAY FINANCIAL NETWORKS	119524	NORFOLK, VA	01/09/2006 - 09/19/2008
IA	WACHOVIA SECURITIES FINANCIAL NETWORK, LLC	11025	NORFOLK, VA	08/16/2005 - 01/17/2006
B	WACHOVIA SECURITIES FINANCIAL NETWORK, LLC	11025	NORFOLK, VA	05/07/2004 - 01/17/2006

For additional registration and employment history details as reported by the individual, refer to the Registration and Employment History section of the Detailed Report.

DISCLOSURE INFORMATION

Disclosure events include certain criminal charges and convictions, formal investigations and disciplinary actions initiated by regulators, customer disputes and arbitrations, and financial disclosures such as bankruptcies and unpaid judgments or liens.

Are there events disclosed about this representative? **Yes**

The following types of events are disclosed about this representative:

Type	Count
Customer Dispute	5
Termination	1

Qualifications

REGISTRATIONS

This section provides the SRO, states and U.S. territories in which the representative is currently registered and licensed, the category of each registration, and the date on which the registration becomes effective. This section also provides, for each firm with which the representative is currently employed, the address of each location where the representative works.
This individual is currently registered with **2** jurisdiction(s) and **0** SRO(s) through his or her employer(s).

Employment 1 of 1

Firm Name: **HERITAGE WEALTH MANAGEMENT GROUP, LTD**

Main Address: 733 BOUSH STREET
SUITE 100
NORFOLK, VA 23510-1591

Firm ID#: 148459

	Regulator	Registration	Status	Date
IA	Texas	Investment Adviser Representative	Restricted Approval	04/09/2019
IA	Virginia	Investment Adviser Representative	Approved	02/24/2009

Branch Office Locations

HERITAGE WEALTH MANAGEMENT GROUP, LTD
733 BOUSH STREET
SUITE 100
NORFOLK, VA 23510-1591

Qualifications

PASSED INDUSTRY EXAMS

This section includes all industry exams that the representative has passed. Under limited circumstances, a representative may attain registration after receiving an exam waiver based on a combination of exams the representative has passed and qualifying work experience. Likewise a new exam requirement may be grandfathered based on a representative's specific qualifying work experience. Exam waivers and grandfathering are not included below.

This individual has passed 1 principal/supervisory exam, 1 general industry/product exam, and 2 state securities law exams.

Principal/Supervisory Exams

	Exam	Category	Date
B	General Securities Principal Examination (S24)	Series 24	09/23/2004

General Industry/Product Exams

	Exam	Category	Date
B	General Securities Representative Examination (S7)	Series 7	07/17/1982

State Securities Law Exams

	Exam	Category	Date
IA	Uniform Investment Adviser Law Examination (S65)	Series 65	05/05/2004
B	Uniform Securities Agent State Law Examination (S63)	Series 63	08/06/1982

PROFESSIONAL DESIGNATIONS

This section details that the representative has reported **0** professional designation(s).

No information reported.

Registration & Employment History

PREVIOUSLY REGISTERED WITH THE FOLLOWING FIRMS

This representative held registrations with the following firms:

	Registration Dates	Firm Name	ID#	Branch Location
IA	01/09/2006 - 09/19/2008	HIGHWAY FINANCIAL NETWORKS	CRD# 119524	NORFOLK, VA
IA	08/16/2005 - 01/17/2006	WACHOVIA SECURITIES FINANCIAL NETWORK, LLC	CRD# 11025	NORFOLK, VA
B	05/07/2004 - 01/17/2006	WACHOVIA SECURITIES FINANCIAL NETWORK, LLC	CRD# 11025	NORFOLK, VA
B	11/24/1995 - 05/27/2004	CITIGROUP GLOBAL MARKETS INC.	CRD# 7059	NEW YORK, NY
B	05/01/1990 - 10/31/1995	PAINEWEBBER INCORPORATED	CRD# 8174	WEEHAWKEN, NJ
B	01/02/1987 - 05/09/1990	PRUDENTIAL-BACHE SECURITIES INC.	CRD# 7471	NEW YORK, NY
B	07/22/1982 - 01/12/1987	MERRILL LYNCH, PIERCE, FENNER & SMITH INCORPORATED	CRD# 7691	

EMPLOYMENT HISTORY

Below is the representative's employment history for up to the last 10 years.

Employment Dates	Employer Name	Position	Investment Related	Employer Location
11/2018 - Present	HERITAGE WEALTH MANAGEMENT GROUP, LTD.	CHIEF INVESTMENT STRATEGIST, FINANCIAL ADVISOR	Y	NORFOLK, VA, United States
07/2008 - Present	HERITAGE WEALTH MANAGEMENT GROUP, LTD.	INVESTMENT ADVISOR REPRESENTATIVE	Y	NORFOLK, VA, United States

OTHER BUSINESS ACTIVITIES

This section includes information, if any, as provided by the representative regarding other business activities the representative is currently engaged in either as a proprietor, partner, officer, director, employee, trustee, agent, or otherwise. This section does not include non-investment related activity that is exclusively charitable, civic, religious, or fraternal and is recognized as tax exempt.

No information reported.

Disclosure Summary

Disclosure Information

What you should know about reported disclosure events:

(1) Certain thresholds must be met before an event is reported to IARD, for example:

- A law enforcement agency must file formal charges before an Investment Adviser Representative is required to report a particular criminal event.;
- A customer dispute must involve allegations that an Investment Adviser Representative engaged in activity that violates certain rules or conduct governing the industry and that the activity resulted in damages of at least $5,000.

(2) Disclosure events in IAPD reports come from different sources:

As mentioned in the "About IAPD" section on page 1 of this report, information contained in IAPD comes from Investment Adviser Representatives, firms and regulators. When more than one of these sources reports information for the same disclosure event, all versions of the event will appear in the IAPD report. The different versions will be separated by a solid line with the reporting source labeled.

(3) There are different statuses and dispositions for disclosure events:

- A disclosure event may have a status of *pending, on appeal,* or *final*.
  - A "pending" disclosure event involves allegations that have not been proven or formally adjudicated.
  - A disclosure event that is "on appeal" involves allegations that have been adjudicated but are currently being appealed.
  - A "final" disclosure event has been concluded and its resolution is not subject to change.
- A final disclosure event generally has a disposition of *adjudicated, settled* or *otherwise resolved*.
  - An "adjudicated" matter includes a disposition by (1) a court of law in a criminal or civil matter, or (2) an administrative panel in an action brought by a regulator that is contested by the party charged with some alleged wrongdoing.
  - A "settled" matter generally represents a disposition wherein the parties involved in a dispute reach an agreement to resolve the matter. Please note that Investment Adviser Representatives and firms may choose to settle customer disputes or regulatory matters for business or other reasons.
  - A "resolved" matter usually includes a disposition wherein no payment is made to the customer or there is no finding of wrongdoing on the part of the Investment Adviser Representative. Such matters generally involve customer disputes.

(4) You may wish to contact the Investment Adviser Representatives to obtain further information regarding any of the disclosure events contained in this IAPD report.

DISCLOSURE EVENT DETAILS

When evaluating this information, please keep in mind that some items may involve pending actions or allegations that may be contested and have not been resolved or proven. The event may, in the end, be withdrawn, dismissed, resolved in favor of the Investment Adviser Representative, or concluded through a negotiated settlement with no admission or finding of wrongdoing.

This report provides the information exactly as it was reported to the Investment Adviser Registration Depository. Some of the specific data fields contained in the report may be blank if the information was not provided.

The following types of events are disclosed about this representative:

Type	Count
Customer Dispute	5
Termination	1

Customer Dispute

This section provides information regarding a customer dispute that was reported to the Investment Adviser Registration Depository (IARD) by the Investment Adviser Representative (IAR), an investment adviser and/or securities firm, and/or a securities regulator. The event may include a consumer-initiated, investment-related complaint, arbitration proceeding or civil suit that contains allegations of sales practice violations against the individual.

The customer dispute may be pending or may have resulted in a civil judgment, arbitration award, monetary settlement, closure without action, withdrawal, dismissal, denial, or other outcome.

Disclosure 1 of 5

Reporting Source:	Firm
Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint:	CITIGROUP GMI
Allegations:	CLIENT ALLEGED THAT FC FAILED TO DISCLOSE MUTUAL FUND FEES - MARCH 2000 THROUGH MAY 2004. DAMAGES UNSPECIFIED.
Product Type:	Mutual Fund(s)
Alleged Damages:	

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received:	02/11/2005
Complaint Pending?	No
Status:	Closed/No Action
Status Date:	06/05/2006
Settlement Amount:	
Individual Contribution Amount:	

Reporting Source:	Individual

Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint:	CITIGROUP GMI
Allegations:	CLIENT ALLEGED THAT FC FAILED TO DISCLOSE MUTUAL FUND FEES - MARCH 2000 THROUGH MAY 2004. DAMAGES UNSPECIFIED
Product Type:	Mutual Fund(s)
Alleged Damages:	

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received:	02/11/2005
Complaint Pending?	Yes
Settlement Amount:	
Individual Contribution Amount:	

Disclosure 2 of 5

Reporting Source:	Regulator
Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint:	SALOMON SMITH BARNEY
Allegations:	CLAIMANT CUSTOMER ALLEGES CHURNING, UNSUITABILITY, FRAUD, NEGLIGENCE, MISREPRESENTATION, BREACH OF CONTRACT, FAILURE TO SUPERVISE AND VIOLATION OF FEDERAL SECURITIES LAWS REGARDING RISKY MUTUAL FUNDS.
Product Type:	Mutual Fund(s)
Alleged Damages:	$0.00

Arbitration Information

Arbitration/Reparation Claim filed with and Docket/Case No.:	NYSE - CASE #2005-015980
Date Notice/Process Served:	02/01/2005
Arbitration Pending?	No
Disposition:	Award
Disposition Date:	10/10/2007
Disposition Detail:	RESPONDENTS CITIGROUP GLOBAL MARKETS, INC. AND MARK H. TEKAMP JOINTLY AND SEVERALLY SHALL PAY CLAIMANT THE SUM OF $45,000 AS AN AWARD ON THE CLAIM WITH INTEREST TO ACCRUE AT THE RATE OF FIVE PERCENT A YEAR FROM THE DATE OF THE AWARD UNTIL PAID IN FULL. NYSE REGULATION FORUM FEES IN THE AMOUNT OF $9,600 ARE ASSESSED JOINTLY AND SEVERALLY AGAINST RESPONDENTS CITIGROUP GLOBAL MARKETS, INC. AND MARK H. TEKAMP. NYSE REGULATION COSTS IN THE AMOUNT OF $1,800, FOR THREE PRE-HEARING CONFERENCES, ARE ASSESSED EQUALLY BETWEEN CLAIMANT AND RESPONDENTS CITIGROUP GLOBAL MARKETS, INC. AND MARK H. TEKAMP JOINTLY AND SEVERALLY. NYSE REGULATION COSTS IN THE AMOUNT OF $600, FOR ONE ADJOURNMENT, ARE ASSESSED AGAINST CLAIMANT.

Reporting Source: Firm

Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint: SALOMON SMITH BARNEY

Allegations: ALLEGED UNSUITABILITY, CHURNING, MISREPRESENTATION, REACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY, FRAUD (SECURITIES ACT VIOLATIONS), BREACH OF CONTRACT, NEGLIGENCE AND VIOLATION OF NASD AND NYSE RULES. ALLEGED DAMAGES - UNSPECIFIED

Product Type: Mutual Fund(s)

Alleged Damages: $300,000.00

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 02/01/2005

Complaint Pending? No

Status: Arbitration/Reparation

Status Date: 02/24/2005

Settlement Amount:

Individual Contribution Amount:

Arbitration Information

Arbitration/Reparation Claim filed with and Docket/Case No.: NYSE DOCKET # 2005-015980

Date Notice/Process Served: 02/24/2005

Arbitration Pending? No

Disposition: Award to Customer

Disposition Date: 10/20/2007

Monetary Compensation Amount: $45,000.00

Individual Contribution Amount: $0.00

Reporting Source: Individual

Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint: SALOMON SMITH BARNEY

Allegations: ALLEGED UNSUITABILITY, CHURNING, MISREPRESENTATION, BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY, FRAUD (SECURITIES ACT VIOLATIONS), BREACH OF CONTRACT, NEGLIGENCE AND VIOLATION OF NASD AND NYSE RULES. ALLEGED DAMAGES - UNSPECIFIED

Product Type: Mutual Fund(s)

Alleged Damages: $0.00

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received:	02/01/2005
Complaint Pending?	No
Status:	Arbitration/Reparation
Status Date:	10/20/2007
Settlement Amount:	
Individual Contribution Amount:	

Arbitration Information

Arbitration/Reparation Claim filed with and Docket/Case No.:	NYSE DOCET #2005-015980
Date Notice/Process Served:	02/24/2005
Arbitration Pending?	No
Disposition:	Award to Customer
Disposition Date:	10/20/2007
Monetary Compensation Amount:	$45,000.00
Individual Contribution Amount:	$0.00
Broker Statement	NO DICIPLINARY ACTION OR CRIMINAL CONVICTION.

Disclosure 3 of 5

Reporting Source:	Firm
Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint:	CITIGROUP GLOBAL MARKETS INC.
Allegations:	CLIENT ALLEGED FEES WERE NOT PROPERLY EXPLAINED - 1999-2004.
Product Type:	Mutual Fund(s)
Alleged Damages:	$6,000.00

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received:	01/03/2005
Complaint Pending?	No
Status:	Closed/No Action
Status Date:	06/05/2006
Settlement Amount:	
Individual Contribution Amount:	

Reporting Source:	Individual

Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint: CITIGROUP GLOBAL MARKETS INC.

Allegations: CLIENT ALLEGED FEES WERE NOT PROPERLY EXPLAINED - 1999 -2004

Product Type: Mutual Fund(s)

Alleged Damages: $6,000.00

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 01/03/2005

Complaint Pending? Yes

Settlement Amount:

Individual Contribution Amount:

Disclosure 4 of 5

Reporting Source: Individual

Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint: SALOMON SMITH BARNEY

Allegations: ALLEGING FRAUD, UNAUTHORIZED TRADING, NEGLIGENCE (2/01 - 2/02)
ALLEGED DAMAGES - UNSPECIFIED

Product Type: Mutual Fund(s)

Other Product Type(s): CORPORATE BONDS

Alleged Damages:

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 11/19/2003

Complaint Pending? No

Status: Denied

Status Date: 12/15/2003

Settlement Amount:

Individual Contribution Amount:

Disclosure 5 of 5

Reporting Source: Firm

Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint:

Allegations: CLIENT NAMED MR. TEKAMP ALONG WITH ANOTHER
F.A. CLIENT ALLEGED UNSUITABLE OPTIONS TRADING IMPROPER
SUPERVISION, DISCRETIONARY TRADING AND VIOLATION OF NYSE
RULES.

Product Type:

Alleged Damages:	$180,000.00

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received:	
Complaint Pending?	No
Status:	Arbitration/Reparation
Status Date:	
Settlement Amount:	
Individual Contribution Amount:	

Arbitration Information

Arbitration/Reparation Claim filed with and Docket/Case No.:	Chicago Board Options Exchange; 88-NM-69
Date Notice/Process Served:	01/29/1988
Arbitration Pending?	No
Disposition:	Award to Customer
Disposition Date:	07/01/1990
Monetary Compensation Amount:	$91,000.00
Individual Contribution Amount:	
Firm Statement	CLIENT WAS AWARDED $91,000.00 CLIENT ALLEGED DAMAGES OF $180,000.00

Reporting Source:	Individual
Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint:	PRUDENTIAL SECURITIES
Allegations:	UNSUITABLE OPTIONS TRADING. CLIENT EXPRESSED INTEREST IN OPTIONS TRADING. REFERRED CLIENT TO OTHER BROKER (SAM JESSELSON) OTHER BROKER MADE TRADING RECOMMENDATIONS WITH JOINT COMMISSION SHARING ARRANGEMENTI7[CLIENT SHARE PUT OPTIONS DURING MARKET CRASH CREATING TRADING LOSSES OF $182,000. CLIENT RECEIVED SETTLEMENT AT $91,000
Product Type:	Options
Alleged Damages:	$182,000.00

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received:	01/29/1988
Complaint Pending?	No
Status:	Arbitration/Reparation
Status Date:	07/01/1990
Settlement Amount:	

Individual Contribution Amount:	

Arbitration Information

Arbitration/Reparation Claim filed with and Docket/Case No.:	CHICAGO BOARD OPTIONS EXCHANGE; 88-NM-69
Date Notice/Process Served:	01/29/1988
Arbitration Pending?	No
Disposition:	Award to Customer
Disposition Date:	07/01/1990
Monetary Compensation Amount:	$91,000.00
Individual Contribution Amount:	$0.00
Broker Statement	NO DISCIPLINARY ACTION OR CRIMINAL CONVICTION. PRUDENTIAL SECURITIES MADE SETTLEMENT WITH THE CLIENT $91,000 CLIENT ALLEGED DAMAGES OF $180,000.00

Termination

This disclosure event involves a situation where the Investment Adviser Representative voluntarily resigned, was discharged or was permitted to resign after allegations were made that accused the Investment Adviser Representative of violating investment-related statutes, regulations, rules or industry standards of conduct; fraud or the wrongful taking of property; or failure to supervise in connection with investment-related statutes, regulations, rules or industry standards of conduct.

Disclosure 1 of 1

Reporting Source:	Individual
Firm Name:	HIGHWAY FINANCIAL NETWORKS
Termination Type:	Discharged
Termination Date:	09/19/2008
Allegations:	ON SEPTEMBER 17, 2008, MARK TEKAMP DIRECTED AN ATTEMPT TO DESTROY CORPORATE CLIENT RECORDS. MR. TEKAMP'S CONDUCT, HAD THE ATTEMPT TO DESTROY THE RECORDS BEEN SUCCESSFUL, WOULD HAVE PUT THE COMPANY IN PERIL OF BEING IN VIOLATION OF SEC RULE 204-2.
Product Type:	No Product
Other Product Types:	
Broker Statement	THE STATEMENTS CONCERNING THE EVENTS OF SEPTEMBER 17 2008 MADE BY [3RD PARTY] OF HIGHWAY FINANCIAL NETWORKS [HFN] ARE MISREPRESENTATIONS OF THE TRUTH. I DID NOT WORK WITH [3RD PARTY] ON FILE MAINTENANCE, AND NEVER DIRECTED HIM IN DOING SO. THE ALLEGED ACTIONS SHOULD NOT HAVE CAUSED HFN TO BE IN VIOLATION OF SEC RULE 204-2. THE FILES IN QUESTION WERE COPIES OF RECORDS WHICH NORFOLK BRANCH HAD MAINTAINED LOCALLY AND HAD ORGANIZED BY CLIENT NAME. THOSE FILE COPIES HAD BEEN AVAILABLE ON NETDOCS LESS THAN 3 WEEKS. IF THEIR DELETION FROM THE BRANCH DIRECORY WOULD HAVE PUT HFN IN VIOLATION OF SEC RULE 204-2, I SUBMIT THEY MUST HAVE BEEN IN VIOLATION FOR THE PRECEEDING 2 YRS AND 7 MONTHS. A MAJORITY OF THIS DATA PRE-DATED OUR ASSOCIATION WITH HFN BY MANY YEARS. THESE FILES INCLUDED SCANNED COPIES OF WHAT WAS SENT TO HFN VIA MAIL, FAX, OR EMAIL DURING OUR ASSOCIATION. THEREFORE HFN ALREADY HAD POSSESSION OF THEIR COPIES AND HAD THEM FOR OVER 2 YEARS. CORPORATE DIRECTORIES WERE MANAGED BY OTHERS WITH APPROPRIATE PERMISSIONS. THESE DIRECTORIES ARE WHERE ORIGINAL BOOKS AND RECORDS DATA WERE TO BE STORED IN DATA SPECIFIC FOLDERS, AND SAFEGUARDED. THE SYSTEMS HAD CORPORATE DATA SEPARATED FROM BRANCH DATA USING A DIRECTORY STRUCTURE WITH SECURITY PERMISSIONS. [3RD PARTY] DID NOT HAVE ACCESS TO THE CORPORATE DATA. NORFOLK BRANCH HAD CONTINUED TO MAINTAIN COPIES OF OUR CLIENT FILES LOCALLY DUE TO SEVERAL TECHNOLOGY ISSUES THAT PREVENTED THE UPLOADING OF THOSE FILES. IN MID-AUGUST 2008, A NEW SERVER [NETDOCS] CAME ONLINE. THE MIGRATED DATA WAS OUTDATED AND INCOMPLETE AND MUCH OF IT WAS DELETED TO PREPARE FOR OUR LOCAL CLIENT FILES TO BE UPLOADED TO THE BRANCH DIRECTORY IN SEVERAL STAGES. NETDOCS INSTRUCTIONS DID NOT WORK WELL AND MANY FILES WERE DUPLICATED AND TRIPLICATED. ON SEPTEMBER 17 2008, [3RD PARTY] AGAIN DELETED MUCH OF THIS FILE STRUCTURE TO REATTEMPT THE UPLOAD. THE FILE ACTIVITY WAS CONFINED TO BRANCH DATA AND NO ATTEMPT WAS MADE TO HARM ANY ONES WORK OR CORPORATE DATA.

End of Report

This page is intentionally left blank.