



IAPD Report

GAIL VANDYKE-CROTEAU

CRD# 1105587

| <u>Section Title</u> | <u>Page(s)</u> |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Report Summary | 1 |
| Qualifications | 2 - 3 |
| Registration and Employment History | 4 |
| Disclosure Information | 5 |



When communicating online or investing with any professional, make sure you know who you're dealing with. [Imposters](#) might link to sites like BrokerCheck from [phishing](#) or similar scam websites, or through [social media](#), trying to steal your personal information or your money.

Please contact FINRA with any concerns.



IAPD Information About Representatives

IAPD offers information on all current-and many former representatives. Investors are strongly encouraged to use IAPD to check the background of representatives before deciding to conduct, or continue to conduct, business with them.

What is included in a IAPD report?

IAPD reports for individual representatives include information such as employment history, professional qualifications, disciplinary actions, criminal convictions, civil judgments and arbitration awards.

It is important to note that the information contained in an IAPD report may include pending actions or allegations that may be contested, unresolved or unproven. In the end, these actions or allegations may be resolved in favor of the representative, or concluded through a negotiated settlement with no admission or finding of wrongdoing.

Where did this information come from?

The information contained in IAPD comes from the Investment Adviser Registration Depository (IARD) and FINRA's Central Registration Depository, or CRD, (see more on CRD below) and is a combination of:

- information the states require representatives and firms to submit as part of the registration and licensing process, and
- information that state regulators report regarding disciplinary actions or allegations against representatives.

How current is this information?

Generally, representatives are required to update their professional and disciplinary information in IARD within 30 days.

Need help interpreting this report?

For help understanding how to read this report, please consult NASAA's IAPD Tips page
<http://www.nasaa.org/IAPD/IARReports.cfm>

What if I want to check the background of an Individual Broker or Brokerage Firm?

To check the background of an Individual Broker or Brokerage firm, you can search for the firm or individual in IAPD. If your search is successful, click on the link provided to view the available licensing and registration information in FINRA's BrokerCheck website.

Are there other resources I can use to check the background of investment professionals?

It is recommended that you learn as much as possible about an individual representative or Investment Adviser firm before deciding to work with them. Your state securities regulator can help you research individuals and certain firms doing business in your state. The contact information for state securities regulators can be found on the website of the North American Securities Administrators Association <http://www.nasaa.org>



Report Summary

GAIL VANDYKE-CROTEAU (CRD# 1105587)

The report summary provides an overview of the representative's professional background and conduct. The information contained in this report has been provided by the representative, investment adviser and/or securities firms, and/or securities regulators as part of the states' investment adviser registration and licensing process. The information contained in this report was last updated by the representative, a previous employing firm, or a securities regulator on **08/28/2018**.

CURRENT EMPLOYERS

This individual is not currently registered as an Investment Adviser Representative.

QUALIFICATIONS

This individual is not currently registered as an Investment Adviser Representative.

Note: Not all jurisdictions require IAR registration or may have an exemption from registration. Additional information including this individual's qualification examinations and professional designations is available in the Detailed Report.

REGISTRATION HISTORY

This representative was previously registered with the following firm(s):

| FIRM | CRD# | LOCATION | REGISTRATION DATES |
|-----------------------------|-------|--------------|-------------------------|
| IA LPL FINANCIAL LLC | 6413 | TEMECULA, CA | 02/13/2009 - 08/28/2018 |
| IA WACHOVIA SECURITIES, LLC | 19616 | TEMECULA, CA | 01/24/2001 - 02/13/2009 |

For additional registration and employment history details as reported by the individual, refer to the Registration and Employment History section of the Detailed Report.

DISCLOSURE INFORMATION

Disclosure events include certain criminal charges and convictions, formal investigations and disciplinary actions initiated by regulators, customer disputes and arbitrations, and financial disclosures such as bankruptcies and unpaid judgments or liens.

Are there events disclosed about this representative? **Yes**

The following types of events are disclosed about this representative:

| Type | Count |
|------------------|-------|
| Customer Dispute | 2 |



Qualifications

REGISTRATIONS

This section provides the SRO, states and U.S. territories in which the representative is currently registered and licensed, the category of each registration, and the date on which the registration becomes effective. This section also provides, for each firm with which the representative is currently employed, the address of each location where the representative works. This individual is not currently registered as an Investment Adviser Representative.



Qualifications

PASSED INDUSTRY EXAMS

This section includes all industry exams that the representative has passed. Under limited circumstances, a representative may attain registration after receiving an exam waiver based on a combination of exams the representative has passed and qualifying work experience. Likewise a new exam requirement may be grandfathered based on a representative's specific qualifying work experience. Exam waivers and grandfathering are not included below.

This individual has passed 0 principal/supervisory exams, 0 general industry/product exams, and 1 state securities law exam.

Principal/Supervisory Exams

| Exam | Category | Date |
|--------------------------|----------|------|
| No information reported. | | |

General Industry/Product Exams

| Exam | Category | Date |
|--------------------------|----------|------|
| No information reported. | | |

State Securities Law Exams

| Exam | Category | Date |
|---|-----------|------------|
| IA Uniform Investment Adviser Law Examination (S65) | Series 65 | 05/25/1999 |

PROFESSIONAL DESIGNATIONS

This section details that the representative has reported **0** professional designation(s).

No information reported.



Registration & Employment History

PREVIOUSLY REGISTERED WITH THE FOLLOWING FIRMS

This representative held registrations with the following firms:

| | Registration Dates | Firm Name | ID# | Branch Location |
|----|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| IA | 02/13/2009 - 08/28/2018 | LPL FINANCIAL LLC | CRD# 6413 | TEMECULA, CA |
| IA | 01/24/2001 - 02/13/2009 | WACHOVIA SECURITIES, LLC | CRD# 19616 | TEMECULA, CA |

EMPLOYMENT HISTORY

Below is the representative's employment history for up to the last 10 years.

| Employment Dates | Employer Name | Position | Investment Related | Employer Location |
|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| 02/2009 - Present | LPL FINANCIAL | FINANCIAL ADVISOR | Y | TEMECULA, CA, United States |

OTHER BUSINESS ACTIVITIES

This section includes information, if any, as provided by the representative regarding other business activities the representative is currently engaged in either as a proprietor, partner, officer, director, employee, trustee, agent, or otherwise. This section does not include non-investment related activity that is exclusively charitable, civic, religious, or fraternal and is recognized as tax exempt.

1. 1/26/2012: DBA W/LEGAL ENTITY - LA POINTE WEALTH MANAGEMENT - TIME SPENT 5%



Disclosure Summary

Disclosure Information

What you should know about reported disclosure events:

(1) Certain thresholds must be met before an event is reported to IARD, for example:

- A law enforcement agency must file formal charges before an Investment Adviser Representative is required to report a particular criminal event.;
- A customer dispute must involve allegations that an Investment Adviser Representative engaged in activity that violates certain rules or conduct governing the industry and that the activity resulted in damages of at least \$5,000.

(2) Disclosure events in IAPD reports come from different sources:

As mentioned in the "About IAPD" section on page 1 of this report, information contained in IAPD comes from Investment Adviser Representatives, firms and regulators. When more than one of these sources reports information for the same disclosure event, all versions of the event will appear in the IAPD report. The different versions will be separated by a solid line with the reporting source labeled.

(3) There are different statuses and dispositions for disclosure events:

- A disclosure event may have a status of *pending*, *on appeal*, or *final*.
 - A "pending" disclosure event involves allegations that have not been proven or formally adjudicated.
 - A disclosure event that is "on appeal" involves allegations that have been adjudicated but are currently being appealed.
 - A "final" disclosure event has been concluded and its resolution is not subject to change.
- A final disclosure event generally has a disposition of *adjudicated*, *settled* or *otherwise resolved*.
 - An "adjudicated" matter includes a disposition by (1) a court of law in a criminal or civil matter, or (2) an administrative panel in an action brought by a regulator that is contested by the party charged with some alleged wrongdoing.
 - A "settled" matter generally represents a disposition wherein the parties involved in a dispute reach an agreement to resolve the matter. Please note that Investment Adviser Representatives and firms may choose to settle customer disputes or regulatory matters for business or other reasons.
 - A "resolved" matter usually includes a disposition wherein no payment is made to the customer or there is no finding of wrongdoing on the part of the Investment Adviser Representative. Such matters generally involve customer disputes.

(4) You may wish to contact the Investment Adviser Representatives to obtain further information regarding any of the disclosure events contained in this IAPD report.



DISCLOSURE EVENT DETAILS

When evaluating this information, please keep in mind that some items may involve pending actions or allegations that may be contested and have not been resolved or proven. The event may, in the end, be withdrawn, dismissed, resolved in favor of the Investment Adviser Representative, or concluded through a negotiated settlement with no admission or finding of wrongdoing.

This report provides the information exactly as it was reported to the Investment Adviser Registration Depository. Some of the specific data fields contained in the report may be blank if the information was not provided.

The following types of events are disclosed about this representative:

| Type | Count |
|------------------|-------|
| Customer Dispute | 2 |

Customer Dispute

This section provides information regarding a customer dispute that was reported to the Investment Adviser Registration Depository (IARD) by the Investment Adviser Representative (IAR), an investment adviser and/or securities firm, and/or a securities regulator. The event may include a consumer-initiated, investment-related complaint, arbitration proceeding or civil suit that contains allegations of sales practice violations against the individual.

The customer dispute may be pending or may have resulted in a civil judgment, arbitration award, monetary settlement, closure without action, withdrawal, dismissal, denial, or other outcome.

Disclosure 1 of 2

| | |
|---|--|
| Reporting Source: | Firm |
| Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint: | WACHOVIA SECURITIES, LLC |
| Allegations: | CLAIMANT, ON BEHALF OF HIS MOTHER-IN-LAW, A CALIFORNIA RESIDENT, ALLEGES CHURNING AND SUITABILITY AGAINST FA FOR MULTIPLE INVESTMENTS MADE IN HIS MOTHER-IN-LAW'S ACCOUNTS FROM OCTOBER 2005 - MAY 2008. CLAIMANT SEEKS LOSSES OF APPROXIMATELY \$199,626 IN COMPENSATORY DAMAGES, INTEREST AND LOST VALUE, PLUS PUNITIVE DAMAGES. |

FILED DATE: 09/16/09
DATE SERVED: 09/18/09

| | |
|------------------|----------------------|
| Product Type: | Other: MISCELLANEOUS |
| Alleged Damages: | \$199,626.00 |

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 09/18/2009

Complaint Pending?

Settlement Amount:

Individual Contribution Amount:

Arbitration Information

**Arbitration/CFTC reparation claim filed with (FINRA, AAA, CFTC, etc.):**

Docket/Case #: 09-05194
Date Notice/Process Served: 09/18/2009
Arbitration Pending? No
Disposition: Settled
Disposition Date: 06/24/2010
Monetary Compensation Amount: \$14,000.00
Individual Contribution Amount: \$0.00

Firm Statement THIS MATTER SETTLED ON JUNE 24, 2010 FOR \$14,000.00.

Reporting Source: Individual
Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint: WACHOVIA SECURITIES, LLC
Allegations: CLAIMANT, ON BEHALF OF HIS MOTHER-IN-LAW, A CALIFORNIA RESIDENT, ALLEGES CHURNING AND SUITABILITY AGAINST FA FOR MULTIPLE INVESTMENTS MADE IN HIS MOTHER-IN-LAW'S ACCOUNTS FROM OCTOBER 2005 - MAY 2008. CLAIMANT SEEKS LOSSES OF APPROXIMATELY \$199,626 IN COMPENSATORY DAMAGES, INTEREST AND LOST VALUE, PLUS PUNITIVE DAMAGES. FILED DATE: 09/16/09 DATE SERVED: 09/18/09

Product Type: Other: MISCELLANEOUS

Alleged Damages: \$199,626.00

Alleged Damages Amount Explanation (if amount not exact): ORIGINAL DAMAGES WERE \$60,274.00 AMENDED WHEN ARBITRATION WAS FILED TO \$199,626.00

Is this an oral complaint? No

Is this a written complaint? Yes

Is this an arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation? No

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 10/09/2008

Complaint Pending? No

Status: Evolved into Arbitration/CFTC reparation (the individual is a named party)

Status Date: 09/18/2009

Settlement Amount:

Individual Contribution Amount:

Arbitration Information

**Arbitration/CFTC reparation claim filed with (FINRA, AAA, CFTC, etc.):**

Docket/Case #: 09-05194
Date Notice/Process Served: 09/18/2009
Arbitration Pending? No
Disposition: Settled
Disposition Date: 06/24/2010
Monetary Compensation Amount: \$14,000.00
Individual Contribution Amount: \$0.00

Broker Statement
THE FIRM'S REVIEW DID NOT REVEAL ANY SUPPORT FOR THE CLAIM OF WRONGDOING. THE ALLEGATIONS AND DEMAND FOR REMUNERATION WERE DENIED IN THEIR ENTIRETY.
FA'S RESPONSE: [CUSTOMER] IRA ACCOUNT IN 2004 WAS \$539,266. 2007 WAS \$661,547. FROM 2004- JUNE 2008, SHE WITHDREW \$102,800. THE INVESTMENT STRATEGY OF GROD INC. WAS SUITABLE. THE ANNUITY WAS RECOMMENDED IN HER IRA DUE TO VOLATILE MARKET IN 2008 TO PRESERVE PRINCIPAL FOR DEATH BENEFIT AND PROVIDE GUARANTEED INCOME FOR LIFE. 4 YEAR SURRENDER. THE FUNDS SOLD FOR THE ANNUITY WOULD BE DOWN \$60,000 IF I HAD NOT TAKEN ACTION TO PRESERVE VALUE. ALL TRADES WERE DONE WITH INTENTION TO PRESERVE PROFIT AND PRODUCE INCOME SHE REQUESTED.

Disclosure 2 of 2

Reporting Source: Individual
Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint: WACHOVIA SECURITIES, LLC
Allegations: CA RESIDENT HAS COMPLAINED THAT MUTUAL FUNDS PURCHASE IN 1999 THROUGH 2005 WERE UNSUITABLE FOR HER RETIREMENT FUNDS. SHE CLAIMS LOSS IN VALUE OF \$118,541.96 WHICH DOES NOT INCLUDE WITHDRAWALS OF APPROXIMATELY \$68,667.26.
Product Type: Mutual Fund(s)
Alleged Damages: \$118,542.00

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 06/13/2007
Complaint Pending? No
Status: Denied
Status Date: 07/20/2007
Settlement Amount:

Individual Contribution Amount:

Broker Statement THE FIRM'S REVIEW REVEALED THAT CLIENT'S LOSSES IN 2000 WERE DIRECTLY RELATED TO MARKET VOLATILITY IN THE TECHNOLOGY



MARKET AND CLIENT'S HIGH RATE OF WITHDRAWALS COMPARED TO VALUE OF ACCOUNT. CLIENT CONTINUED HIGH RATE OF WITHDRAWALS AFTER ACCOUNT VALUE STABILIZED WHICH CONTRIBUTED TO CONTINUED DECLINE IN VALUE. THE CLAIM OF WRONGDOING WAS DENIED.



End of Report

This page is intentionally left blank.