



## IAPD Report

# JERALD JAY HYMEN

CRD# 1379370

<b><u>Section Title</u></b>	<b><u>Page(s)</u></b>
Report Summary	1
Qualifications	2 - 5
Registration and Employment History	6
Disclosure Information	7

**i** When communicating online or investing with any professional, make sure you know who you're dealing with. [Imposters](#) might link to sites like BrokerCheck from [phishing](#) or similar scam websites, or through [social media](#), trying to steal your personal information or your money.  
Please contact FINRA with any concerns.



## IAPD Information About Representatives

IAPD offers information on all current-and many former representatives. Investors are strongly encouraged to use IAPD to check the background of representatives before deciding to conduct, or continue to conduct, business with them.

### What is included in a IAPD report?

IAPD reports for individual representatives include information such as employment history, professional qualifications, disciplinary actions, criminal convictions, civil judgments and arbitration awards.

It is important to note that the information contained in an IAPD report may include pending actions or allegations that may be contested, unresolved or unproven. In the end, these actions or allegations may be resolved in favor of the representative, or concluded through a negotiated settlement with no admission or finding of wrongdoing.

### Where did this information come from?

The information contained in IAPD comes from the Investment Adviser Registration Depository (IARD) and FINRA's Central Registration Depository, or CRD, (see more on CRD below) and is a combination of:

- information the states require representatives and firms to submit as part of the registration and licensing process, and
- information that state regulators report regarding disciplinary actions or allegations against representatives.

### How current is this information?

Generally, representatives are required to update their professional and disciplinary information in IARD within 30 days.

### Need help interpreting this report?

For help understanding how to read this report, please consult NASAA's IAPD Tips page <http://www.nasaa.org/IAPD/IARReports.cfm>

### What if I want to check the background of an Individual Broker or Brokerage Firm?

To check the background of an Individual Broker or Brokerage firm, you can search for the firm or individual in IAPD. If your search is successful, click on the link provided to view the available licensing and registration information in FINRA's BrokerCheck website.

### Are there other resources I can use to check the background of investment professionals?

It is recommended that you learn as much as possible about an individual representative or Investment Adviser firm before deciding to work with them. Your state securities regulator can help you research individuals and certain firms doing business in your state. The contact information for state securities regulators can be found on the website of the North American Securities Administrators Association <http://www.nasaa.org>



## Report Summary

### JERALD JAY HYMEN (CRD# 1379370)

The report summary provides an overview of the representative's professional background and conduct. The information contained in this report has been provided by the representative, investment adviser and/or securities firms, and/or securities regulators as part of the states' investment adviser registration and licensing process. The information contained in this report was last updated by the representative, a previous employing firm, or a securities regulator on **06/04/2025**.

### CURRENT EMPLOYERS

	Firm	CRD#	Registered Since
B	OPPENHEIMER & CO. INC.	CRD# 249	09/09/2005
IA	OPPENHEIMER & CO. INC.	CRD# 249	10/04/2005

### QUALIFICATIONS

This representative is currently registered in **9** SRO(s) and **34** jurisdiction(s).

Is this representative currently Inactive or Suspended with any regulator? **No**

**Note:** Not all jurisdictions require IAR registration or may have an exemption from registration.

Additional information including this individual's qualification examinations and professional designations is available in the Detailed Report.

### REGISTRATION HISTORY

This representative was previously registered with the following firm(s):

	FIRM	CRD#	LOCATION	REGISTRATION DATES
IA	MORGAN STANLEY	7556	BARRINGTON, IL	06/25/2001 - 09/12/2005
B	MORGAN STANLEY DW INC.	7556	PURCHASE, NY	05/15/2000 - 09/12/2005
B	PAINWEBBER INCORPORATED	8174	WEEHAWKEN, NJ	03/31/1994 - 05/25/2000

For additional registration and employment history details as reported by the individual, refer to the Registration and Employment History section of the Detailed Report.

### DISCLOSURE INFORMATION

Disclosure events include certain criminal charges and convictions, formal investigations and disciplinary actions initiated by regulators, customer disputes and arbitrations, and financial disclosures such as bankruptcies and unpaid judgments or liens.

Are there events disclosed about this representative? **Yes**

The following types of events are disclosed about this representative:

Type	Count
Customer Dispute	7



## Qualifications

### REGISTRATIONS

This section provides the SRO, states and U.S. territories in which the representative is currently registered and licensed, the category of each registration, and the date on which the registration becomes effective. This section also provides, for each firm with which the representative is currently employed, the address of each location where the representative works. This individual is currently registered with **34** jurisdiction(s) and 9 SRO(s) through his or her employer(s).

### Employment 1 of 1

Firm Name: **OPPENHEIMER & CO. INC.**  
Main Address: 85 BROAD STREET  
22ND FLOOR  
NEW YORK, NY 10004  
Firm ID#: 249

Regulator	Registration	Status	Date
<b>B</b> Cboe Exchange, Inc.	General Securities Representative	Approved	09/09/2005
<b>B</b> FINRA	General Securities Representative	Approved	09/09/2005
<b>B</b> NYSE American LLC	General Securities Representative	Approved	09/09/2005
<b>B</b> NYSE Arca, Inc.	General Securities Representative	Approved	08/24/2011
<b>B</b> NYSE Texas, Inc.	General Securities Representative	Approved	08/24/2011
<b>B</b> Nasdaq ISE, LLC	General Securities Representative	Approved	12/10/2007
<b>B</b> Nasdaq PHLX LLC	General Securities Representative	Approved	08/24/2011
<b>B</b> Nasdaq Stock Market	General Securities Representative	Approved	07/12/2006
<b>B</b> New York Stock Exchange	General Securities Representative	Approved	09/12/2005
<b>B</b> Arizona	Agent	Approved	10/05/2005
<b>B</b> California	Agent	Approved	09/09/2005
<b>B</b> Colorado	Agent	Approved	11/14/2005
<b>B</b> Connecticut	Agent	Approved	09/07/2011



### Qualifications

Regulator	Registration	Status	Date
<b>B</b> Florida	Agent	Approved	09/23/2005
<b>B</b> Georgia	Agent	Approved	09/13/2005
<b>B</b> Idaho	Agent	Approved	04/13/2022
<b>B</b> Illinois	Agent	Approved	09/30/2005
<b>IA</b> Illinois	Investment Adviser Representative	Approved	10/04/2005
<b>B</b> Indiana	Agent	Approved	10/04/2005
<b>B</b> Iowa	Agent	Approved	09/13/2005
<b>B</b> Kansas	Agent	Approved	12/15/2023
<b>B</b> Kentucky	Agent	Approved	01/05/2024
<b>B</b> Louisiana	Agent	Approved	04/14/2025
<b>B</b> Maine	Agent	Approved	10/29/2012
<b>B</b> Maryland	Agent	Approved	09/13/2005
<b>B</b> Michigan	Agent	Approved	09/28/2005
<b>B</b> Minnesota	Agent	Approved	10/04/2005
<b>B</b> Missouri	Agent	Approved	10/03/2005
<b>B</b> Montana	Agent	Approved	12/20/2023
<b>B</b> Nebraska	Agent	Approved	10/05/2005
<b>B</b> Nevada	Agent	Approved	10/12/2005
<b>B</b> New Jersey	Agent	Approved	05/24/2024



## Qualifications

Regulator	Registration	Status	Date
<b>B</b> New Mexico	Agent	Approved	03/31/2022
<b>B</b> New York	Agent	Approved	10/04/2005
<b>B</b> North Carolina	Agent	Approved	10/06/2005
<b>B</b> North Dakota	Agent	Approved	01/02/2024
<b>B</b> Ohio	Agent	Approved	09/12/2005
<b>B</b> Oregon	Agent	Approved	10/05/2015
<b>B</b> Pennsylvania	Agent	Approved	07/15/2016
<b>B</b> South Dakota	Agent	Approved	10/05/2021
<b>B</b> Tennessee	Agent	Approved	04/01/2021
<b>B</b> Texas	Agent	Approved	06/28/2011
<b>B</b> Washington	Agent	Approved	09/16/2011
<b>B</b> Wisconsin	Agent	Approved	10/14/2005

### Branch Office Locations

**OPPENHEIMER & CO. INC.**  
500 WEST MADISON  
SUITE 3800  
CHICAGO, IL 60661



## Qualifications

### PASSED INDUSTRY EXAMS

This section includes all industry exams that the representative has passed. Under limited circumstances, a representative may attain registration after receiving an exam waiver based on a combination of exams the representative has passed and qualifying work experience. Likewise a new exam requirement may be grandfathered based on a representative's specific qualifying work experience. Exam waivers and grandfathering are not included below.

**This individual has passed 0 principal/supervisory exams, 3 general industry/product exams, and 2 state securities law exams.**

#### Principal/Supervisory Exams

Exam	Category	Date
------	----------	------

No information reported.

#### General Industry/Product Exams

Exam	Category	Date
------	----------	------

Securities Industry Essentials Examination (SIE)	SIE	10/01/2018
Futures Managed Funds Examination (S31)	Series 31	05/26/2004
General Securities Representative Examination (S7)	Series 7	07/20/1985

#### State Securities Law Exams

Exam	Category	Date
------	----------	------

Uniform Investment Adviser Law Examination (S65)	Series 65	11/21/1997
Uniform Securities Agent State Law Examination (S63)	Series 63	07/26/1985

### PROFESSIONAL DESIGNATIONS

This section details that the representative has reported **0** professional designation(s).

No information reported.



## Registration & Employment History

### PREVIOUSLY REGISTERED WITH THE FOLLOWING FIRMS

This representative held registrations with the following firms:

	Registration Dates	Firm Name	ID#	Branch Location
IA	06/25/2001 - 09/12/2005	MORGAN STANLEY	CRD# 7556	BARRINGTON, IL
B	05/15/2000 - 09/12/2005	MORGAN STANLEY DW INC.	CRD# 7556	PURCHASE, NY
B	03/31/1994 - 05/25/2000	PAINWEBBER INCORPORATED	CRD# 8174	WEEHAWKEN, NJ
B	09/04/1990 - 04/05/1994	EVEREN SECURITIES, INC.	CRD# 19616	ST. LOUIS, MO
B	07/24/1985 - 09/04/1990	BLUNT ELLIS & LOEWI INCORPORATED	CRD# 7580	

### EMPLOYMENT HISTORY

Below is the representative's employment history for up to the last 10 years.

Employment Dates	Employer Name	Position	Investment Related	Employer Location
09/2005 - Present	OPPENHEIMER & CO. INC.	FINANCIAL ADVISOR	Y	CHICAGO, IL, United States

### OTHER BUSINESS ACTIVITIES

This section includes information, if any, as provided by the representative regarding other business activities the representative is currently engaged in either as a proprietor, partner, officer, director, employee, trustee, agent, or otherwise. This section does not include non-investment related activity that is exclusively charitable, civic, religious, or fraternal and is recognized as tax exempt.

MY OUTSIDE BUSINESS ACTIVITY ONLY INCLUDES ACTING AS A CO-TRUSTEE OF MY WIFE'S TRUST- MARLENE HYMEN.



## Disclosure Summary

### Disclosure Information

#### What you should know about reported disclosure events:

##### (1) Certain thresholds must be met before an event is reported to IARD, for example:

- A law enforcement agency must file formal charges before an Investment Adviser Representative is required to report a particular criminal event.;
- A customer dispute must involve allegations that an Investment Adviser Representative engaged in activity that violates certain rules or conduct governing the industry and that the activity resulted in damages of at least \$5,000.

##### (2) Disclosure events in IAPD reports come from different sources:

As mentioned in the "About IAPD" section on page 1 of this report, information contained in IAPD comes from Investment Adviser Representatives, firms and regulators. When more than one of these sources reports information for the same disclosure event, all versions of the event will appear in the IAPD report. The different versions will be separated by a solid line with the reporting source labeled.

##### (3) There are different statuses and dispositions for disclosure events:

- A disclosure event may have a status of *pending*, *on appeal*, or *final*.
  - A "pending" disclosure event involves allegations that have not been proven or formally adjudicated.
  - A disclosure event that is "on appeal" involves allegations that have been adjudicated but are currently being appealed.
  - A "final" disclosure event has been concluded and its resolution is not subject to change.
- A final disclosure event generally has a disposition of *adjudicated*, *settled* or *otherwise resolved*.
  - An "adjudicated" matter includes a disposition by (1) a court of law in a criminal or civil matter, or (2) an administrative panel in an action brought by a regulator that is contested by the party charged with some alleged wrongdoing.
  - A "settled" matter generally represents a disposition wherein the parties involved in a dispute reach an agreement to resolve the matter. Please note that Investment Adviser Representatives and firms may choose to settle customer disputes or regulatory matters for business or other reasons.
  - A "resolved" matter usually includes a disposition wherein no payment is made to the customer or there is no finding of wrongdoing on the part of the Investment Adviser Representative. Such matters generally involve customer disputes.

##### (4) You may wish to contact the Investment Adviser Representatives to obtain further information regarding any of the disclosure events contained in this IAPD report.



## DISCLOSURE EVENT DETAILS

When evaluating this information, please keep in mind that some items may involve pending actions or allegations that may be contested and have not been resolved or proven. The event may, in the end, be withdrawn, dismissed, resolved in favor of the Investment Adviser Representative, or concluded through a negotiated settlement with no admission or finding of wrongdoing.

This report provides the information exactly as it was reported to the Investment Adviser Registration Depository. Some of the specific data fields contained in the report may be blank if the information was not provided.

The following types of events are disclosed about this representative:

Type	Count
Customer Dispute	7

### Customer Dispute

This section provides information regarding a customer dispute that was reported to the Investment Adviser Registration Depository (IARD) by the Investment Adviser Representative (IAR), an investment adviser and/or securities firm, and/or a securities regulator. The event may include a consumer-initiated, investment-related complaint, arbitration proceeding or civil suit that contains allegations of sales practice violations against the individual.

The customer dispute may be pending or may have resulted in a civil judgment, arbitration award, monetary settlement, closure without action, withdrawal, dismissal, denial, or other outcome.

#### Disclosure 1 of 7

<b>Reporting Source:</b>	Individual
<b>Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint:</b>	OPPENHEIMER & CO. INC.
<b>Allegations:</b>	Breach of fiduciary duty in management of accounts by alleged wrongful rate increase from 1.25% to 1.95% when account holder was "elderly and had cognitive decline due to medical conditions, from 1/1/2021 to Present.
<b>Product Type:</b>	No Product
<b>Alleged Damages:</b>	\$38,066.12
<b>Is this an oral complaint?</b>	No
<b>Is this a written complaint?</b>	Yes
<b>Is this an arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation?</b>	Yes
<b>Arbitration/Reparation forum or court name and location:</b>	FINRA
<b>Docket/Case #:</b>	24-02286
<b>Filing date of arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation:</b>	10/22/2024

### Customer Complaint Information

<b>Date Complaint Received:</b>	10/25/2024
<b>Complaint Pending?</b>	No



**Status:** Denied

**Status Date:** 05/22/2025

**Settlement Amount:**

**Individual Contribution Amount:**

### Disclosure 2 of 7

**Reporting Source:** Individual

**Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint:** OPPENHEIMER & CO. INC.

**Allegations:** CLAIMANTS ASSERT THAT THE ACCOUNT WAS TO BE USED FOR THE SOLE PURPOSE OF HOLDING INVESTMENT GRADE MUNICIPAL BONDS AND FA WAS TO RESEARCH, RECOMMEND, AND MONITOR INVESTMENT GRADE MUNICIPAL BONDS FOR PURCHASE IN THE ACCOUNT. THE FA FAILED TO SUPERVISE BY BREACHING THEIR AGREEMENT AND INSTRUCTIONS CAUSING NEGLIGENCE WHICH RESULTED IN THE ALLEGED DAMAGES. FROM 11/15/2007 THROUGH 9/21/2015.

**Product Type:** Debt-Municipal

**Alleged Damages:** \$42,250.00

**Is this an oral complaint?** No

**Is this a written complaint?** Yes

**Is this an arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation?** Yes

**Arbitration/Reparation forum or court name and location:** FINRA

**Docket/Case #:** 17-00569

**Filing date of arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation:** 03/03/2017

### Customer Complaint Information

**Date Complaint Received:** 03/07/2017

**Complaint Pending?** No

**Status:** Settled

**Status Date:** 08/18/2017

**Settlement Amount:** \$8,000.00

**Individual Contribution Amount:** \$0.00

**Broker Statement** THE MUNICIPAL BONDS INVESTMENTS THAT HIS FORMER CLIENT MADE WERE IN LINE WITH HIS STATED GOALS AND OBJECTIVES. THE FIRM SETTLED THE MATTER FOR BUSINESS REASONS, THE REGISTERED REPRESENTATIVE WAS NOT ASKED TO CONTRIBUTE.

### Disclosure 3 of 7



**Reporting Source:** Firm  
**Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint:** MORGAN STANLEY DEAN WITTER  
**Allegations:** CLAIMANT ALLEGES THAT BETWEEN JANUARY 2002 AND SEPTEMBER 2005, FINANCIAL ADVISOR ENGAGED IN ALLEGEDLY UNSUITABLE MARGIN TRADING.  
**Product Type:** Other: EQUITY-OTHER SECURITIES  
**Alleged Damages:** \$400,000.00

### Customer Complaint Information

**Date Complaint Received:** 12/26/2008  
**Complaint Pending?** No  
**Status:** Evolved into Arbitration/CFTC reparation (the individual is a named party)  
**Status Date:** 12/26/2008  
**Settlement Amount:**

**Individual Contribution Amount:**

### Arbitration Information

**Arbitration/CFTC reparation claim filed with (FINRA, AAA, CFTC, etc.):** FINRA  
**Docket/Case #:** 08-4098  
**Date Notice/Process Served:** 12/26/2008  
**Arbitration Pending?** No  
**Disposition:** Settled  
**Disposition Date:** 03/10/2010  
**Monetary Compensation Amount:** \$55,000.00  
**Individual Contribution Amount:** \$0.00

---

**Reporting Source:** Individual  
**Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint:** OPPENHEIMER & CO. INC.  
**Allegations:** CLIENT ALLEGES EXCESSIVE AND UNSUITABLE TRADING FROM 2006 TO 2008.  
**Product Type:** No Product  
**Alleged Damages:** \$400,000.00

### Arbitration Information



**Arbitration/CFTC reparation claim filed with (FINRA, AAA, CFTC, etc.):** FINRA

**Docket/Case #:** 08-04098

**Date Notice/Process Served:** 12/29/2008

**Arbitration Pending?** No

**Disposition:** Settled

**Disposition Date:** 02/22/2010

**Monetary Compensation Amount:** \$126,000.00

**Individual Contribution Amount:** \$75,600.00

#### Disclosure 4 of 7

**Reporting Source:** Individual

**Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint:** MORGAN STANLEY DW INC.

**Allegations:** CLIENT COMPLAINS ABOUT DECLINES IN HER INVESTMENT ACCOUNT SINCE 1998 AND ALLEGES HER BROKER DID NOT OBTAIN HER CONSENT REGARDING UNSPECIFIED TRANSACTIONS.

**Product Type:** Equity Listed (Common & Preferred Stock)

**Alleged Damages:** \$400,000.00

#### Customer Complaint Information

**Date Complaint Received:** 08/22/2002

**Complaint Pending?** No

**Status:** Denied

**Status Date:** 10/07/2002

**Settlement Amount:**

**Individual Contribution Amount:**

**Broker Statement** MR. HYMEN DENIES THAT HE ENTERED ANY TRANSACTION WITHOUT THE CLIENT'S EXPRESS APPROVAL. ALL TRANSACTIONS WERE UNDERSTOOD AND AUTHORIZED, AND THE DECLINE IN THE ACCOUNT'S VALUE WAS DUE TO THE CLIENT'S WITHDRAWALS AS WELL AS OVERALL DECLINES.

#### Disclosure 5 of 7

**Reporting Source:** Firm

**Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint:** PAINWEBBER

**Allegations:** CLIENT ALLEGES THAT FA MISREPRESENTED GE ANNUITIES BY TELLING HIM HE WOULD RECEIVE A GUARANTEED INCOME OF 10% OF FACE VALUE EACH YEAR. TIME PERIOD UNSPECIFIED.



**Product Type:** Annuity(ies) - Variable

**Alleged Damages:** \$42,398.00

**Customer Complaint Information**

**Date Complaint Received:** 08/23/2000

**Complaint Pending?** No

**Status:** Denied

**Status Date:** 10/24/2000

**Settlement Amount:**

**Individual Contribution Amount:**

**Reporting Source:** Individual

**Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint:** PAINWEBBER

**Allegations:** CLIENT ALLEGES THAT FA MISREPRESENTED GE ANNUITIES BY TELLING HIM HE WOULD RECEIVE A GUARANTEED INCOME OF 10% OF FACE VALUE EACH YEAR. TIME PERIOD UNSPECIFIED.

**Product Type:** Annuity(ies) - Variable

**Alleged Damages:** \$42,398.00

**Customer Complaint Information**

**Date Complaint Received:** 08/23/2000

**Complaint Pending?** No

**Status:** Denied

**Status Date:** 10/24/2000

**Settlement Amount:**

**Individual Contribution Amount:**

**Broker Statement** I CATEGORICALLY DENY THAT I COMMITTED ANY WRONGDOING IN THE HANDLING OF THE CUSTOMER'S ACCOUNTS. HE HAD BEEN A CLIENT OF MINE SINCE 1988. ALL INVESTMENTS HE MADE WERE WITH HIS COMPLETE AUTHORIZATION AND UNDERSTANDING OF THE RISK, INVOLVED. THE CUSTOMER TRANSFERRED HIS 2 VARIABLE TO G.E. IN OCTOBER OF 1999, WHEN HE DETERMINED HE COULD WITHDRAW EVERY YEAR, 10% OF THIS CONTRACT VALUE AS AN INCOME STREAM. THAT WAS MY TOTAL REPRESENTATION TO HIM & HE KNOWS IT. PAINE WEBBER APPROVED & ENDORSED BOTH OF HIS 1035 EXCHANGES. THE CUSTOMER ALSO HAD A 30 DAY FRE LOOK ON HIS POLICIES HERE IN ILLINOIS. HE COULD HAVE SURRENDER HIS 2 ANNUITY POLICIES WITHOUT ANY SURRENDER CHARGES BUT FAILED TO DO SO. I FEEL HIS CLAIM IS NOT VALID & THAT HE HAS BENEFITED WITH A LARGER INCOME ADVANTAGE IN HIS ANNUITIES.



**Reporting Source:** Firm  
**Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint:** PAINWEBBER INC.

**Allegations:** CUSTOMERS CLAIM THAT THEY HAVE SUBSTANTIAL FINANCIAL LOSSES DUE TO UNSUITABLE INVESTMENTS. CUSTOMER STATES THAT THEY WERE ONLY INTERESTED IN INVESTMENT GRADE SECURITIES BUT MR. HYMEN "PUT US INTO RISKY INVESTMENTS." DAMAGES WERE NOT SPECIFIED.

**Product Type:** Equity Listed (Common & Preferred Stock)

**Other Product Type(s):** BONDS

**Alleged Damages:**

**Customer Complaint Information**

**Date Complaint Received:** 05/29/2000

**Complaint Pending?** No

**Status:** Settled

**Status Date:** 10/11/2000

**Settlement Amount:** \$8,000.00

**Individual Contribution Amount:** \$0.00

**Reporting Source:** Individual  
**Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint:** PAINWEBBER INC.

**Allegations:** CUSTOMERS CLAIM THAT THEY HAVE SUBSTANTIAL FINANCIAL LOSSES DUE TO UNSUITABLE INVESTMENTS. CUSTOMER STATES THAT THEY WERE ONLY INTERESTED IN INVESTMENT GRADE SECURITIES BUT MR. HYMENT "PUT US INTO RISKY INVESTMENTS." DAMAGES WERE NOT SPECIFIED.

**Product Type:** Equity Listed (Common & Preferred Stock)

**Other Product Type(s):** BONDS

**Alleged Damages:**

**Customer Complaint Information**

**Date Complaint Received:** 05/29/2000

**Complaint Pending?** No

**Status:** Settled

**Status Date:** 10/11/2000

**Settlement Amount:** \$8,000.00

**Individual Contribution Amount:** \$0.00

**Broker Statement** CUSTOMERS HAVE BEEN FIXED INCOME INVESTORS WITH ME SINCE 1986. CLEARLY THEY WERE AWARE OF THE RISKS AND REWARDS OF F.I.



INVESTMENTS. THEY WERE EARNING \$11,800/YR ON A PORT VALUE OF \$64M IN INVESTMENT GRADE SECURITIES.

**Disclosure 7 of 7**

**Reporting Source:** Individual

**Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint:**

**Allegations:** VERBAL COMPLAINT IN WHICH CLIENT ALLEGED UNSUITABILITY OF OPTION TRADING.

**Product Type:**

**Alleged Damages:**

**Customer Complaint Information**

**Date Complaint Received:** 01/11/1996

**Complaint Pending?** No

**Status:** Settled

**Status Date:** 02/26/1996

**Settlement Amount:** \$50,000.00

**Individual Contribution Amount:** \$25,000.00

**Broker Statement** THE MATTER WAS SETTLED FOR \$50,000.00. THE BROKER WILL CONTRIBUTE \$25,000.00. THE FIRM AGREED TO CONTRIBUTE \$50,000, WITHOUT ADMITTING OR DENYING LIABILITY, TO THE ACCOUNT OF A CUSTOMER IN SETTLEMENT OF CERTAIN ALLEGED LOSSES RESULTING FROM OPTION TRANSACTIONS. THE APPLICANT AGREED TO CONTRIBUTE \$25,000 TO THE FIRM, WITHOUT ADMITTING OR DENYING LIABILITY, IN THE INTEREST OF CLIENT GOODWILL AND TO AVOID FURTHER COST AND UNCERTAINTY.



## End of Report

This page is intentionally left blank.