



## IAPD Report

# Daniel A WHITE

CRD# 1729614

<b><u>Section Title</u></b>	<b><u>Page(s)</u></b>
Report Summary	1
Qualifications	2 - 4
Registration and Employment History	5
Disclosure Information	6

**i** When communicating online or investing with any professional, make sure you know who you're dealing with. [Imposters](#) might link to sites like BrokerCheck from [phishing](#) or similar scam websites, or through [social media](#), trying to steal your personal information or your money.  
Please contact FINRA with any concerns.



## IAPD Information About Representatives

IAPD offers information on all current-and many former representatives. Investors are strongly encouraged to use IAPD to check the background of representatives before deciding to conduct, or continue to conduct, business with them.

### What is included in a IAPD report?

IAPD reports for individual representatives include information such as employment history, professional qualifications, disciplinary actions, criminal convictions, civil judgments and arbitration awards.

It is important to note that the information contained in an IAPD report may include pending actions or allegations that may be contested, unresolved or unproven. In the end, these actions or allegations may be resolved in favor of the representative, or concluded through a negotiated settlement with no admission or finding of wrongdoing.

### Where did this information come from?

The information contained in IAPD comes from the Investment Adviser Registration Depository (IARD) and FINRA's Central Registration Depository, or CRD, (see more on CRD below) and is a combination of:

- information the states require representatives and firms to submit as part of the registration and licensing process, and
- information that state regulators report regarding disciplinary actions or allegations against representatives.

### How current is this information?

Generally, representatives are required to update their professional and disciplinary information in IARD within 30 days.

### Need help interpreting this report?

For help understanding how to read this report, please consult NASAA's IAPD Tips page <http://www.nasaa.org/IAPD/IARReports.cfm>

### What if I want to check the background of an Individual Broker or Brokerage Firm?

To check the background of an Individual Broker or Brokerage firm, you can search for the firm or individual in IAPD. If your search is successful, click on the link provided to view the available licensing and registration information in FINRA's BrokerCheck website.

### Are there other resources I can use to check the background of investment professionals?

It is recommended that you learn as much as possible about an individual representative or Investment Adviser firm before deciding to work with them. Your state securities regulator can help you research individuals and certain firms doing business in your state. The contact information for state securities regulators can be found on the website of the North American Securities Administrators Association <http://www.nasaa.org>



## Report Summary

### Daniel A WHITE (CRD# 1729614)

The report summary provides an overview of the representative's professional background and conduct. The information contained in this report has been provided by the representative, investment adviser and/or securities firms, and/or securities regulators as part of the states' investment adviser registration and licensing process. The information contained in this report was last updated by the representative, a previous employing firm, or a securities regulator on **01/28/2026**.

### CURRENT EMPLOYERS

	Firm	CRD#	Registered Since
IA	CORECAP ADVISORS	CRD# 158819	01/14/2025

### QUALIFICATIONS

This representative is currently registered in **0** SRO(s) and **12** jurisdiction(s).

Is this representative currently Inactive or Suspended with any regulator? **No**

**Note:** Not all jurisdictions require IAR registration or may have an exemption from registration. Additional information including this individual's qualification examinations and professional designations is available in the Detailed Report.

### REGISTRATION HISTORY

This representative was previously registered with the following firm(s):

	FIRM	CRD#	LOCATION	REGISTRATION DATES
B	1717 CAPITAL MANAGEMENT COMPANY	4082	NEWARK, DE	08/31/1987 - 01/07/2000

For additional registration and employment history details as reported by the individual, refer to the Registration and Employment History section of the Detailed Report.

### DISCLOSURE INFORMATION

Disclosure events include certain criminal charges and convictions, formal investigations and disciplinary actions initiated by regulators, customer disputes and arbitrations, and financial disclosures such as bankruptcies and unpaid judgments or liens.

Are there events disclosed about this representative? **Yes**

The following types of events are disclosed about this representative:

Type	Count
Regulatory Event	3
Criminal	1



## Qualifications

### REGISTRATIONS

This section provides the SRO, states and U.S. territories in which the representative is currently registered and licensed, the category of each registration, and the date on which the registration becomes effective. This section also provides, for each firm with which the representative is currently employed, the address of each location where the representative works. This individual is currently registered with **12** jurisdiction(s) and **0** SRO(s) through his or her employer(s).

### Employment 1 of 1

Firm Name: **CORECAP ADVISORS**  
Main Address: 27777 FRANKLIN ROAD  
SUITE 700  
SOUTHFIELD, MI 48034  
Firm ID#: 158819

	Regulator	Registration	Status	Date
IA	California	Investment Adviser Representative	Approved	10/14/2025
IA	Delaware	Investment Adviser Representative	Approved	02/05/2025
IA	Florida	Investment Adviser Representative	Approved	11/05/2025
IA	Maryland	Investment Adviser Representative	Approved	09/11/2025
IA	Nevada	Investment Adviser Representative	Approved	09/11/2025
IA	New Hampshire	Investment Adviser Representative	Approved	01/16/2026
IA	New York	Investment Adviser Representative	Approved	01/28/2026
IA	North Carolina	Investment Adviser Representative	Approved	09/11/2025
IA	Pennsylvania	Investment Adviser Representative	Approved	01/14/2025
IA	South Carolina	Investment Adviser Representative	Approved	09/19/2025
IA	Texas	Investment Adviser Representative	Restricted Approval	09/12/2025
IA	West Virginia	Investment Adviser Representative	Approved	09/25/2025



## Qualifications

### Branch Office Locations

#### **CORECAP ADVISORS**

51 Woodland Drive  
Glen Mills, PA 19342



## Qualifications

### PASSED INDUSTRY EXAMS

This section includes all industry exams that the representative has passed. Under limited circumstances, a representative may attain registration after receiving an exam waiver based on a combination of exams the representative has passed and qualifying work experience. Likewise a new exam requirement may be grandfathered based on a representative's specific qualifying work experience. Exam waivers and grandfathering are not included below.

**This individual has passed 0 principal/supervisory exams, 2 general industry/product exams, and 1 state securities law exam.**

#### Principal/Supervisory Exams

Exam	Category	Date
------	----------	------

No information reported.


#### General Industry/Product Exams

Exam	Category	Date
------	----------	------

 General Securities Representative Examination (S7)	Series 7	05/13/1996
 Investment Company Products/Variable Contracts Representative Examination (S6)	Series 6	08/27/1987

#### State Securities Law Exams

Exam	Category	Date
------	----------	------

 Uniform Securities Agent State Law Examination (S63)	Series 63	09/10/1987
--	-----------	------------

### PROFESSIONAL DESIGNATIONS

This section details that the representative has reported 1 professional designation(s).

Chartered Financial Consultant

This representative holds or did hold 1 professional designation(s) that may have been used to qualify as an Investment Advisor representative. Please check with the appropriate designation authority for verification that the designation is still in effect. The contact information for these professional designation authorities can be found on the website for the North American Securities Administrators Association at <http://www.nasaa.org>



## Registration & Employment History

### PREVIOUSLY REGISTERED WITH THE FOLLOWING FIRMS

This representative held registrations with the following firms:

	Registration Dates	Firm Name	ID#	Branch Location
B	08/31/1987 - 01/07/2000	1717 CAPITAL MANAGEMENT COMPANY	CRD# 4082	NEWARK, DE

### EMPLOYMENT HISTORY

Below is the representative's employment history for up to the last 10 years.

Employment Dates	Employer Name	Position	Investment Related	Employer Location
01/2000 - Present	Daniel A White & Associates	President / CEO	Y	Glen Mills, PA, United States

### OTHER BUSINESS ACTIVITIES

This section includes information, if any, as provided by the representative regarding other business activities the representative is currently engaged in either as a proprietor, partner, officer, director, employee, trustee, agent, or otherwise. This section does not include non-investment related activity that is exclusively charitable, civic, religious, or fraternal and is recognized as tax exempt.

Daniel A White & Associates; President/CEO; Insurance & Annuity Sales; approx. 160 hours per month; approx. 160 hours per month during trading hours; similar clientele



## Disclosure Summary

### Disclosure Information

#### What you should know about reported disclosure events:

##### (1) Certain thresholds must be met before an event is reported to IARD, for example:

- A law enforcement agency must file formal charges before an Investment Adviser Representative is required to report a particular criminal event.;
- A customer dispute must involve allegations that an Investment Adviser Representative engaged in activity that violates certain rules or conduct governing the industry and that the activity resulted in damages of at least \$5,000.

##### (2) Disclosure events in IAPD reports come from different sources:

As mentioned in the "About IAPD" section on page 1 of this report, information contained in IAPD comes from Investment Adviser Representatives, firms and regulators. When more than one of these sources reports information for the same disclosure event, all versions of the event will appear in the IAPD report. The different versions will be separated by a solid line with the reporting source labeled.

##### (3) There are different statuses and dispositions for disclosure events:

- A disclosure event may have a status of *pending*, *on appeal*, or *final*.
  - A "pending" disclosure event involves allegations that have not been proven or formally adjudicated.
  - A disclosure event that is "on appeal" involves allegations that have been adjudicated but are currently being appealed.
  - A "final" disclosure event has been concluded and its resolution is not subject to change.
- A final disclosure event generally has a disposition of *adjudicated*, *settled* or *otherwise resolved*.
  - An "adjudicated" matter includes a disposition by (1) a court of law in a criminal or civil matter, or (2) an administrative panel in an action brought by a regulator that is contested by the party charged with some alleged wrongdoing.
  - A "settled" matter generally represents a disposition wherein the parties involved in a dispute reach an agreement to resolve the matter. Please note that Investment Adviser Representatives and firms may choose to settle customer disputes or regulatory matters for business or other reasons.
  - A "resolved" matter usually includes a disposition wherein no payment is made to the customer or there is no finding of wrongdoing on the part of the Investment Adviser Representative. Such matters generally involve customer disputes.

##### (4) You may wish to contact the Investment Adviser Representatives to obtain further information regarding any of the disclosure events contained in this IAPD report.



## DISCLOSURE EVENT DETAILS

When evaluating this information, please keep in mind that some items may involve pending actions or allegations that may be contested and have not been resolved or proven. The event may, in the end, be withdrawn, dismissed, resolved in favor of the Investment Adviser Representative, or concluded through a negotiated settlement with no admission or finding of wrongdoing.

This report provides the information exactly as it was reported to the Investment Adviser Registration Depository. Some of the specific data fields contained in the report may be blank if the information was not provided.

The following types of events are disclosed about this representative:

Type	Count
Regulatory Event	3
Criminal	1

### Regulatory Event

This disclosure event may include a final, formal proceeding initiated by a regulatory authority (e.g., a state securities agency, a federal regulator such as the Securities and Exchange Commission or the Commodities Futures Trading Commission, or a foreign financial regulatory body) for a violation of investment-related rules or regulations. This disclosure event may also include a revocation or suspension of an Investment Adviser Representative's authority to act as an attorney, accountant or federal contractor.

#### Disclosure 1 of 3

<b>Reporting Source:</b>	Individual
<b>Regulatory Action Initiated By:</b>	Insurance Department of the State of Delaware
<b>Sanction(s) Sought:</b>	Other: Stipulation and Consent Order
<b>Date Initiated:</b>	01/26/2018
<b>Docket/Case Number:</b>	Docket No. 3702-2018 / Case #: 161868
<b>Employing firm when activity occurred which led to the regulatory action:</b>	Registrant was not registered with a broker-dealer or investment adviser.
<b>Product Type:</b>	Annuity-Fixed

**Allegations:** Registrant has been a resident insurance producer in Pennsylvania and non-resident insurance producer in Delaware, Florida, and New Jersey for approximately 30 years and operates from offices located in Pennsylvania and Delaware, principally working with his clients with respect to the sale of annuity products. In 2018 and for the years prior, Registrant had met with clients at several locations, including his main office in Glen Mills, PA (which is located approximately 2 miles from the DE border, as well as satellite offices in Wilmington, DE, and Middletown, DE. On a few occasions, Registrant took annuity applications in his Glen Mills office for DE clients, who signed the applications as if they were in DE, a few miles away. The signing were done with the clients' consent and knowledge, solely for the sake of convenience. The clients were fully aware that the annuities sold were DE products being sold to them as DE residents. The DE Insurance Department investigated the matter, and determined that an administrative penalty was appropriate under the circumstances. Registrant fully cooperated with both the investigation and its resolution, and believed that putting the matter behind him was in the best interest of not only himself, but also his



clients. Registrant disclosed the 2018 Consent Order to all other jurisdictions in which Registrant was licensed at the time and Registrant was pleased to learn that no other jurisdiction felt compelled to take any action at that time.

Nearly 2 years after the disclosures were made, Registrant was contacted by the PA Insurance Department, which mistakenly asserted that Registrant had failed to timely disclose the DE Consent Order. Registrant was able to demonstrate that he had in fact timely disclosed the DE Consent Order, but the PA Insurance Department nevertheless maintained that administrative action was necessary merely because Registrant had entered into the Consent Order in DE and paid an administrative penalty. While Registrant disagreed with the PA Insurance Department that any action was necessary or appropriate under the circumstances, particularly since almost 2 full years had passed since it was made aware of the DE Consent Order, a full administrative hearing to challenge the PA Insurance Department would have been costly, and Registrant believed that putting the matter behind him was the best course of action.

**Current Status:** Final

**Resolution:** Order

**Does the order constitute a final order based on violations of any laws or regulations that prohibit fraudulent, manipulative, or deceptive conduct?** No

**Resolution Date:** 01/26/2018

**Sanctions Ordered:** Civil and Administrative Penalty(ies)/Fine(s)

**Monetary Sanction 1 of 1**

**Monetary Related Sanction:** Civil and Administrative Penalty(ies)/Fine(s)

**Total Amount:** \$24,000.00

**Portion Levied against individual:** \$0.00

**Payment Plan:**

**Is Payment Plan Current:**

**Date Paid by individual:**

**Was any portion of penalty waived?** No

**Amount Waived:**

**Broker Statement**

Registrant has been a resident insurance producer in Pennsylvania and non-resident insurance producer in Delaware, Florida, and New Jersey for approximately 30 years and operates from offices located in Pennsylvania and Delaware, principally working with his clients with respect to the sale of annuity products. In 2018 and for the years prior, Registrant had met with clients at several locations, including his main office in Glen Mills, PA (which is located approximately 2 miles from the DE border, as well as satellite offices in Wilmington, DE, and Middletown, DE. On a few occasions, Registrant took annuity applications in his Glen Mills office for DE clients, who signed the applications as if they were in DE, a few miles away. The signing were done with the clients' consent and knowledge, solely for the sake of convenience. The clients were fully aware that the annuities sold were DE products being sold to them as DE residents. The



DE Insurance Department investigated the matter, and determined that an administrative penalty was appropriate under the circumstances. Registrant fully cooperated with both the investigation and its resolution, and believed that putting the matter behind him was in the best interest of not only himself, but also his clients. Registrant disclosed the 2018 Consent Order to all other jurisdictions in which Registrant was licensed at the time and Registrant was pleased to learn that no other jurisdiction felt compelled to take any action at that time.

Nearly 2 years after the disclosures were made, Registrant was contacted by the PA Insurance Department, which mistakenly asserted that Registrant had failed to timely disclose the DE Consent Order. Registrant was able to demonstrate that he had in fact timely disclosed the DE Consent Order, but the PA Insurance Department nevertheless maintained that administrative action was necessary merely because Registrant had entered into the Consent Order in DE and paid an administrative penalty. While Registrant disagreed with the PA Insurance Department that any action was necessary or appropriate under the circumstances, particularly since almost 2 full years had passed since it was made aware of the DE Consent Order, a full administrative hearing to challenge the PA Insurance Department would have been costly, and Registrant believed that putting the matter behind him was the best course of action.

### Disclosure 2 of 3

<b>Reporting Source:</b>	Individual
<b>Regulatory Action Initiated By:</b>	Insurance Commissioner of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
<b>Sanction(s) Sought:</b>	Other: Consent Order
<b>Date Initiated:</b>	05/12/2020
<b>Docket/Case Number:</b>	CO20-01-019
<b>Employing firm when activity occurred which led to the regulatory action:</b>	IAR was not registered with a broker-dealer or investment adviser at the time of regulatory action.
<b>Product Type:</b>	Annuity-Fixed
<b>Allegations:</b>	Registrant has been a resident insurance producer in Pennsylvania and non-resident insurance producer in Delaware, Florida, and New Jersey for approximately 30 years and operates from offices located in Pennsylvania and Delaware, principally working with his clients with respect to the sale of annuity products. In 2018 and for the years prior, Registrant had met with clients at several locations, including his main office in Glen Mills, PA (which is located approximately 2 miles from the DE border, as well as satellite offices in Wilmington, DE, and Middletown, DE. On a few occasions, Registrant took annuity applications in his Glen Mills office for DE clients, who signed the applications as if they were in DE, a few miles away. The signing were done with the clients' consent and knowledge, solely for the sake of convenience. The clients were fully aware that the annuities sold were DE products being sold to them as DE residents. The DE Insurance Department investigated the matter, and determined that an administrative penalty was appropriate under the circumstances. Registrant fully cooperated with both the investigation and its resolution, and believed that putting the matter behind him was in the best interest of not only himself, but also his clients. Registrant disclosed the 2018 Consent Order to all other jurisdictions in which Registrant was licensed at the time and Registrant was pleased to learn that no other jurisdiction felt compelled to take any action at that time.

Nearly 2 years after the disclosures were made, Registrant was contacted by the



PA Insurance Department, which mistakenly asserted that Registrant had failed to timely disclose the DE Consent Order. Registrant was able to demonstrate that he had in fact timely disclosed the DE Consent Order, but the PA Insurance Department nevertheless maintained that administrative action was necessary merely because Registrant had entered into the Consent Order in DE and paid an administrative penalty. While Registrant disagreed with the PA Insurance Department that any action was necessary or appropriate under the circumstances, particularly since almost 2 full years had passed since it was made aware of the DE Consent Order, a full administrative hearing to challenge the PA Insurance Department would have been costly, and Registrant believed that putting the matter behind him was the best course of action.

**Current Status:** Final

**Resolution:** Order

**Does the order constitute a final order based on violations of any laws or regulations that prohibit fraudulent, manipulative, or deceptive conduct?** No

**Resolution Date:** 05/12/2020

**Sanctions Ordered:** Civil and Administrative Penalty(ies)/Fine(s)

**Monetary Sanction 1 of 1**

**Monetary Related Sanction:** Civil and Administrative Penalty(ies)/Fine(s)

**Total Amount:** \$1,000.00

**Portion Levied against individual:** \$0.00

**Payment Plan:**

**Is Payment Plan Current:**

**Date Paid by individual:**

**Was any portion of penalty waived?** No

**Amount Waived:**

**Broker Statement**

Registrant has been a resident insurance producer in Pennsylvania and non-resident insurance producer in Delaware, Florida, and New Jersey for approximately 30 years and operates from offices located in Pennsylvania and Delaware, principally working with his clients with respect to the sale of annuity products. In 2018 and for the years prior, Registrant had met with clients at several locations, including his main office in Glen Mills, PA (which is located approximately 2 miles from the DE border, as well as satellite offices in Wilmington, DE, and Middletown, DE. On a few occasions, Registrant took annuity applications in his Glen Mills office for DE clients, who signed the applications as if they were in DE, a few miles away. The signing were done with the clients' consent and knowledge, solely for the sake of convenience. The clients were fully aware that the annuities sold were DE products being sold to them as DE residents. The DE Insurance Department investigated the matter, and determined that an administrative penalty was appropriate under the circumstances. Registrant fully cooperated with both the investigation and its resolution, and believed that putting the matter behind him was in the best interest of not only himself, but also his clients. Registrant disclosed the 2018 Consent Order to all other jurisdictions in



which Registrant was licensed at the time and Registrant was pleased to learn that no other jurisdiction felt compelled to take any action at that time.

Nearly 2 years after the disclosures were made, Registrant was contacted by the PA Insurance Department, which mistakenly asserted that Registrant had failed to timely disclose the DE Consent Order. Registrant was able to demonstrate that he had in fact timely disclosed the DE Consent Order, but the PA Insurance Department nevertheless maintained that administrative action was necessary merely because Registrant had entered into the Consent Order in DE and paid an administrative penalty. While Registrant disagreed with the PA Insurance Department that any action was necessary or appropriate under the circumstances, particularly since almost 2 full years had passed since it was made aware of the DE Consent Order, a full administrative hearing to challenge the PA Insurance Department would have been costly, and Registrant believed that putting the matter behind him was the best course of action.

### Disclosure 3 of 3

<b>Reporting Source:</b>	Firm
<b>Regulatory Action Initiated By:</b>	NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF SECURITIES DEALERS
<b>Sanction(s) Sought:</b>	Other
<b>Other Sanction(s) Sought:</b>	STATUTORY DISQUALIFICATION
<b>Date Initiated:</b>	11/22/1999
<b>Docket/Case Number:</b>	NONE
<b>Employing firm when activity occurred which led to the regulatory action:</b>	1717 CAPITAL MANAGEMENT COMPANY
<b>Product Type:</b>	No Product
<b>Other Product Type(s):</b>	
<b>Allegations:</b>	NASD NOTIFICATION ON 11/22/99 THAT MR. WHITE IS SUBJECT TO STATUTORY DISQUALIFICATION AS DEFINED IN ARTICLE 111, SECTION 4 OF NASD BY LAWS AS A RESULT OF 7/21/97 FELONY DUI CONVICTION.
<b>Current Status:</b>	Final
<b>Resolution:</b>	Other
<b>Resolution Date:</b>	01/07/2000
<b>Sanctions Ordered:</b>	
<b>Other Sanctions Ordered:</b>	STATUTORY DISQUALIFICATION
<b>Sanction Details:</b>	STATUTORY DISQUALIFICATION
<b>Firm Statement</b>	FIRM TERMINATED MR. WHITE'S REGISTRATION AS RESULT OF STATUTORY DISQUALIFICATION



**Criminal**

This disclosure event involves a criminal charge against the Investment Adviser Representative that has resulted in a dismissal, plea, acquittal or conviction. The criminal matter may relate to any felony or certain misdemeanor offenses (e.g., bribery, perjury, forgery, counterfeiting, extortion, fraud, wrongful taking of property).

**Disclosure 1 of 1**

**Reporting Source:** Regulator

**Organization Name (if charge(s) were brought against an organization over which individual exercised control):** NOT PROVIDED

**Court Details:** SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE IN AND FOR NEW CASTLE COUNTY; INDICTMENT/DOCKET CASE NOS. 9703012001; 97-04-1532; 97-04-1533; 97-04-1534.

**Charge Date:** 03/07/1997

**Charge Details:** COUNT ONE: MISDEMEANOR - VEHICULAR ASSAULT IN THE 2ND DEGREE IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 11, SECTION 628 OF THE DELAWARE CODE OF 1974, AS AMENDED; COUNT TWO: MISDEMEANOR - VEHICULAR ASSAULT IN THE 2ND DEGREE IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 11, SECTION 628 OF THE DELAWARE CODE OF 1974, AS AMENDED; COUNT THREE: DRIVING S VEHICLE WHILE UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF ALCOHOL AND/OR DRUGS IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 21, SECTION 4177(A) OF THE DELAWARE CODE OF 1974, AS AMENDED. \*\*\* CASE NO: 97-04-1532 - VEHICULAR ASSAULT, 2ND; CASE NO: 97-04-1533 - VEHICULAR ASSAULT, 2ND; CASE NO: 97-04-1534 - DUI. \*\*\*

**Felony?** Yes

**Current Status:** Final

**Status Date:** 07/21/1997

**Disposition Details:** ON 7/21/97, THE DEFENDANT PLEAD GUILTY TO FELONY DUI AND ONE COUNT OF MISDEMEANOR VEHICULAR ASSAULT. STATE RECOMMENDED FIVE YEARS LEVEL, FIVE SUSPENDED AFTER SIX MONTHS, FOR 18 MONTHS LEVEL 3 FOLLOWED BY THREE YEARS LEVEL 2 AND A FINE OF \$2,000.00. CASE NO. 97-04-1532 WAS NOLLE PROSSED. DEFENDANT WAS ALSO ORDERED TO PAY RESTITUTION, HAVE NO CONTACT WITH VICTIMS; DRUG AND ALCOHOL EVALUATION.

**Regulator Statement** \*\*RK7/28/00 CORRESPONDENCE RECEIVED, 11/3/99, FROM THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE IN AND FOR NEW CASTLE COUNTY, VIA 1717 CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC., JDS NO. 100-30599 (DOC. ID#: C100260).

---

**Reporting Source:** Individual

**Court Details:** DELAWARE SUPERIOR COURT  
9703012001

**Charge Date:** 03/07/1997

**Charge Details:** FELONY DUI

**Felony?**

**Current Status:** Final



<b>Status Date:</b>	07/24/1997
<b>Disposition Details:</b>	CONVICTED OF FELONY DUI - SENTENCED TO 6 MOS JAIL - TO BE SERVED ON WEEKENDS - FINED \$2700
<b>Broker Statement</b>	Not Provided



## End of Report

This page is intentionally left blank.