



IAPD Report

Alexander Christopher Knott

CRD# 2215595

<u>Section Title</u>	<u>Page(s)</u>
Report Summary	1
Qualifications	2 - 5
Registration and Employment History	6
Disclosure Information	7



When communicating online or investing with any professional, make sure you know who you're dealing with. [Imposters](#) might link to sites like BrokerCheck from [phishing](#) or similar scam websites, or through [social media](#), trying to steal your personal information or your money.

Please contact FINRA with any concerns.



IAPD Information About Representatives

IAPD offers information on all current-and many former representatives. Investors are strongly encouraged to use IAPD to check the background of representatives before deciding to conduct, or continue to conduct, business with them.

What is included in a IAPD report?

IAPD reports for individual representatives include information such as employment history, professional qualifications, disciplinary actions, criminal convictions, civil judgments and arbitration awards.

It is important to note that the information contained in an IAPD report may include pending actions or allegations that may be contested, unresolved or unproven. In the end, these actions or allegations may be resolved in favor of the representative, or concluded through a negotiated settlement with no admission or finding of wrongdoing.

Where did this information come from?

The information contained in IAPD comes from the Investment Adviser Registration Depository (IARD) and FINRA's Central Registration Depository, or CRD, (see more on CRD below) and is a combination of:

- information the states require representatives and firms to submit as part of the registration and licensing process, and
- information that state regulators report regarding disciplinary actions or allegations against representatives.

How current is this information?

Generally, representatives are required to update their professional and disciplinary information in IARD within 30 days.

Need help interpreting this report?

For help understanding how to read this report, please consult NASAA's IAPD Tips page <http://www.nasaa.org/IAPD/IARReports.cfm>

What if I want to check the background of an Individual Broker or Brokerage Firm?

To check the background of an Individual Broker or Brokerage firm, you can search for the firm or individual in IAPD. If your search is successful, click on the link provided to view the available licensing and registration information in FINRA's BrokerCheck website.

Are there other resources I can use to check the background of investment professionals?

It is recommended that you learn as much as possible about an individual representative or Investment Adviser firm before deciding to work with them. Your state securities regulator can help you research individuals and certain firms doing business in your state. The contact information for state securities regulators can be found on the website of the North American Securities Administrators Association <http://www.nasaa.org>



Report Summary

Alexander Christopher Knott (CRD# 2215595)

The report summary provides an overview of the representative's professional background and conduct. The information contained in this report has been provided by the representative, investment adviser and/or securities firms, and/or securities regulators as part of the states' investment adviser registration and licensing process. The information contained in this report was last updated by the representative, a previous employing firm, or a securities regulator on **04/14/2026**.

CURRENT EMPLOYERS

	Firm	CRD#	Registered Since
B	EDWARD JONES	CRD# 250	01/17/2000
IA	EDWARD JONES	CRD# 250	04/21/2011

QUALIFICATIONS

This representative is currently registered in **4** SRO(s) and **36** jurisdiction(s).

Is this representative currently Inactive or Suspended with any regulator? **No**

Note: Not all jurisdictions require IAR registration or may have an exemption from registration.

Additional information including this individual's qualification examinations and professional designations is available in the Detailed Report.

REGISTRATION HISTORY

This representative was previously registered with the following firm(s):

FIRM	CRD#	LOCATION	REGISTRATION DATES
------	------	----------	--------------------

No information reported.

For additional registration and employment history details as reported by the individual, refer to the Registration and Employment History section of the Detailed Report.

DISCLOSURE INFORMATION

Disclosure events include certain criminal charges and convictions, formal investigations and disciplinary actions initiated by regulators, customer disputes and arbitrations, and financial disclosures such as bankruptcies and unpaid judgments or liens.

Are there events disclosed about this representative? **Yes**

The following types of events are disclosed about this representative:

Type	Count
Customer Dispute	4



Qualifications

REGISTRATIONS

This section provides the SRO, states and U.S. territories in which the representative is currently registered and licensed, the category of each registration, and the date on which the registration becomes effective. This section also provides, for each firm with which the representative is currently employed, the address of each location where the representative works. This individual is currently registered with **36** jurisdiction(s) and 4 SRO(s) through his or her employer(s).

Employment 1 of 1

Firm Name: **EDWARD JONES**
Main Address: 12555 MANCHESTER RD
ST. LOUIS, MO 63131
Firm ID#: 250

Regulator	Registration	Status	Date
B FINRA	General Securities Representative	Approved	01/17/2000
B NYSE American LLC	General Securities Representative	Approved	09/13/2011
B Nasdaq Stock Market	General Securities Representative	Approved	07/12/2006
B New York Stock Exchange	General Securities Representative	Approved	01/17/2000
B Alabama	Agent	Approved	05/04/2023
B Alaska	Agent	Approved	02/08/2000
IA Alaska	Investment Adviser Representative	Approved	04/21/2011
B Arizona	Agent	Approved	11/30/2005
B Arkansas	Agent	Approved	08/19/2022
B California	Agent	Approved	10/06/2004
B Colorado	Agent	Approved	07/30/2001
B Connecticut	Agent	Approved	04/14/2026
B Florida	Agent	Approved	06/14/2007



Qualifications

Regulator	Registration	Status	Date
B Georgia	Agent	Approved	03/20/2013
B Idaho	Agent	Approved	03/16/2006
B Indiana	Agent	Approved	05/08/2023
B Kansas	Agent	Approved	03/16/2006
B Kentucky	Agent	Approved	06/04/2024
B Louisiana	Agent	Approved	09/22/2009
B Michigan	Agent	Approved	09/08/2005
B Minnesota	Agent	Approved	01/02/2013
B Missouri	Agent	Approved	03/30/2004
B Montana	Agent	Approved	04/10/2012
B Nebraska	Agent	Approved	12/08/2004
B Nevada	Agent	Approved	12/08/2004
B New Hampshire	Agent	Approved	10/08/2020
B New Mexico	Agent	Approved	09/20/2017
B New York	Agent	Approved	01/30/2026
B North Carolina	Agent	Approved	07/01/2009
B Oklahoma	Agent	Approved	03/16/2006
B Oregon	Agent	Approved	02/16/2005
B Pennsylvania	Agent	Approved	08/11/2004



Qualifications

Regulator	Registration	Status	Date
B Puerto Rico	Agent	Approved	02/16/2024
B South Carolina	Agent	Approved	06/13/2016
B Tennessee	Agent	Approved	05/02/2022
B Texas	Agent	Approved	07/12/2007
IA Texas	Investment Adviser Representative	Restricted Approval	01/04/2019
B Utah	Agent	Approved	05/22/2007
B Vermont	Agent	Approved	04/13/2026
B Washington	Agent	Approved	11/18/2003
B Wisconsin	Agent	Approved	10/21/2022
B Wyoming	Agent	Approved	12/11/2024

Branch Office Locations

EDWARD JONES
4001 GEIST ROAD SUITE 13
FAIRBANKS, AK 99709-3017

EDWARD JONES
Fairbanks, AK



Qualifications

PASSED INDUSTRY EXAMS

This section includes all industry exams that the representative has passed. Under limited circumstances, a representative may attain registration after receiving an exam waiver based on a combination of exams the representative has passed and qualifying work experience. Likewise a new exam requirement may be grandfathered based on a representative's specific qualifying work experience. Exam waivers and grandfathering are not included below.

This individual has passed 0 principal/supervisory exams, 2 general industry/product exams, and 2 state securities law exams.

Principal/Supervisory Exams

Exam	Category	Date
------	----------	------

No information reported.

General Industry/Product Exams

Exam	Category	Date
------	----------	------

Securities Industry Essentials Examination (SIE)	SIE	10/01/2018
--------------------------------------------------	-----	------------

General Securities Representative Examination (S7)	Series 7	01/14/2000
----------------------------------------------------	----------	------------

State Securities Law Exams

Exam	Category	Date
------	----------	------

Uniform Combined State Law Examination (S66)	Series 66	03/14/2011
----------------------------------------------	-----------	------------

Uniform Securities Agent State Law Examination (S63)	Series 63	01/27/2000
------------------------------------------------------	-----------	------------

PROFESSIONAL DESIGNATIONS

This section details that the representative has reported **0** professional designation(s).

No information reported.



Registration & Employment History

PREVIOUSLY REGISTERED WITH THE FOLLOWING FIRMS

This representative held registrations with the following firms:

No information reported.

EMPLOYMENT HISTORY

Below is the representative's employment history for up to the last 10 years.

Employment Dates	Employer Name	Position	Investment Related	Employer Location
10/1999 - Present	EDWARD JONES	INVESTMENT REPRESENTATIVE	Y	ST LOUIS, MO, United States

OTHER BUSINESS ACTIVITIES

This section includes information, if any, as provided by the representative regarding other business activities the representative is currently engaged in either as a proprietor, partner, officer, director, employee, trustee, agent, or otherwise. This section does not include non-investment related activity that is exclusively charitable, civic, religious, or fraternal and is recognized as tax exempt.

Uptick Racing Kennel
Type of business: Sled dog
Racing
Two Rivers, AK
Start date: 8/1/1993
Owner / Operator
Hours per week: 10
Hours during trading: 5
Racing and maintaining sled dogs.



Disclosure Summary

Disclosure Information

What you should know about reported disclosure events:

(1) Certain thresholds must be met before an event is reported to IARD, for example:

- A law enforcement agency must file formal charges before an Investment Adviser Representative is required to report a particular criminal event.;
- A customer dispute must involve allegations that an Investment Adviser Representative engaged in activity that violates certain rules or conduct governing the industry and that the activity resulted in damages of at least \$5,000.

(2) Disclosure events in IAPD reports come from different sources:

As mentioned in the "About IAPD" section on page 1 of this report, information contained in IAPD comes from Investment Adviser Representatives, firms and regulators. When more than one of these sources reports information for the same disclosure event, all versions of the event will appear in the IAPD report. The different versions will be separated by a solid line with the reporting source labeled.

(3) There are different statuses and dispositions for disclosure events:

- A disclosure event may have a status of *pending*, *on appeal*, or *final*.
 - A "pending" disclosure event involves allegations that have not been proven or formally adjudicated.
 - A disclosure event that is "on appeal" involves allegations that have been adjudicated but are currently being appealed.
 - A "final" disclosure event has been concluded and its resolution is not subject to change.
- A final disclosure event generally has a disposition of *adjudicated*, *settled* or *otherwise resolved*.
 - An "adjudicated" matter includes a disposition by (1) a court of law in a criminal or civil matter, or (2) an administrative panel in an action brought by a regulator that is contested by the party charged with some alleged wrongdoing.
 - A "settled" matter generally represents a disposition wherein the parties involved in a dispute reach an agreement to resolve the matter. Please note that Investment Adviser Representatives and firms may choose to settle customer disputes or regulatory matters for business or other reasons.
 - A "resolved" matter usually includes a disposition wherein no payment is made to the customer or there is no finding of wrongdoing on the part of the Investment Adviser Representative. Such matters generally involve customer disputes.

(4) You may wish to contact the Investment Adviser Representatives to obtain further information regarding any of the disclosure events contained in this IAPD report.



DISCLOSURE EVENT DETAILS

When evaluating this information, please keep in mind that some items may involve pending actions or allegations that may be contested and have not been resolved or proven. The event may, in the end, be withdrawn, dismissed, resolved in favor of the Investment Adviser Representative, or concluded through a negotiated settlement with no admission or finding of wrongdoing.

This report provides the information exactly as it was reported to the Investment Adviser Registration Depository. Some of the specific data fields contained in the report may be blank if the information was not provided.

The following types of events are disclosed about this representative:

Type	Count
Customer Dispute	4

Customer Dispute

This section provides information regarding a customer dispute that was reported to the Investment Adviser Registration Depository (IARD) by the Investment Adviser Representative (IAR), an investment adviser and/or securities firm, and/or a securities regulator. The event may include a consumer-initiated, investment-related complaint, arbitration proceeding or civil suit that contains allegations of sales practice violations against the individual.

The customer dispute may be pending or may have resulted in a civil judgment, arbitration award, monetary settlement, closure without action, withdrawal, dismissal, denial, or other outcome.

Disclosure 1 of 4

Reporting Source:	Individual
Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint:	Edward Jones
Allegations:	The client alleges the wrong income rider was sold on Lincoln VA purchased October 2014.
Product Type:	Annuity-Variable
Alleged Damages:	\$5,000.00
Alleged Damages Amount Explanation (if amount not exact):	ALLEGATIONS CLAIM DAMAGES THAT APPEAR TO BE IN EXCESS OF \$5000.00
Is this an oral complaint?	No
Is this a written complaint?	Yes
Is this an arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation?	No

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received:	09/21/2015
Complaint Pending?	No
Status:	Denied
Status Date:	10/29/2015
Settlement Amount:	\$0.00



Individual Contribution Amount:	\$0.00
Broker Statement	Based on the firms investigation, the client's complaint was denied. However, Lincoln has agreed to reinstate the rider removed by the client and pay the client for missed distributions.
Disclosure 2 of 4	
Reporting Source:	Individual
Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint:	EDWARD JONES
Allegations:	1/08-12/08; CLIENT STATES SOMETIME LAST YEAR HE REQUESTED HIS GRANDSON'S ENTIRE ACCOUNT BE MOVED TO CDS. CLIENT CLAIMS AT THAT TIME, THE ACCOUNT WAS VALUE WAS APPROXIMATELY \$21,000.00 AND WHEN THE FA DID NOT FOLLOW HIS INSTRUCTIONS THE ACCOUNT LOST ONE-HALF OF THE TOTAL VALUE. CLAIMS LOSSES EXCEED \$5,000.00.
Product Type:	Other: MISCELLANEOUS
Alleged Damages:	\$5,000.00
Alleged Damages Amount Explanation (if amount not exact):	ALLEGATIONS CLAIM DAMAGES THAT APPEAR TO BE IN EXCESS OF \$5,000
Is this an oral complaint?	No
Is this a written complaint?	Yes
Is this an arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation?	No
Customer Complaint Information	
Date Complaint Received:	01/02/2009
Complaint Pending?	No
Status:	Denied
Status Date:	01/27/2009
Settlement Amount:	
Individual Contribution Amount:	
Broker Statement	ACCORDING TO THE FA, AS HE RECALLS, HE RECEIVED A MESSAGE FROM THE CLIENT IN MID-2008 AT WHICH TIME HE STATED HIS INTENT TO INVEST THE AVAILABLE CASH (AT THAT TIME APPROXIMATELY \$6,000.00) IN CERTIFICATES OF DEPOSIT. THE FA HAS STATED HE ATTEMPTED TO CONTACT THE CLIENT TO DISCUSS THE TRADE AND ADVISE THE CLIENT THAT HE WAS NOT LICENSED IN THE STATE OF TENNESSEE; HOWEVER, HIS PHONE CALLS WERE NOT RETURNED. SINCE THE TIME THE INSTRUCTIONS WERE PURPORTEDLY GIVEN, THE CLIENT HAS RECEIVED ACCOUNT STATEMENTS WHICH PROVIDE INFORMATION REGARDING THE INVESTMENTS HELD IN THE ACCOUNT AS WELL AS REFLECTING ACCOUNT ACTIVITY. THEREFORE, IT WOULD SEEM, HAD THERE BEEN AN ISSUE WITH THE ACCOUNT IN MID-2008, WE WOULD HAVE BEEN CONTACTED IN A TIMELIER MANNER. AS A RESULT OF OUR REVIEW, WE WILL BE MAKING



NO ADJUSTMENTS TO THE ACCOUNT REGARDING THIS ISSUE.

Disclosure 3 of 4

Reporting Source: Individual

Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint: EDWARD JONES

Allegations: 1/00-12/07; CLIENT BEGAN TAKING 72T DISTRIBUTIONS FROM HIS ACCOUNT IN 2000. CLIENT INDICATES INVESTMENTS HELD IN THE ACCOUNT WERE SOLD IN ORDER TO FUND THE DISTRIBUTIONS UNTIL 2007. HOWEVER, IN 2007, THE CLIENT WAS TOLD THAT HE COULD TRANSFER ASSETS IN KIND IN ORDER TO COMPLETE THE DISTRIBUTION. CLIENT ALLEGES THAT EITHER THROUGH INEXPERIENCE OR NEGLIGENCE HE WAS NOT ADVISED OF THE OPTION TO FUND WITHDRAWALS WITHOUT LIQUIDATING INVESTMENTS. CLIENT INDICATES DISTRIBUTIONS WERE COMPLETED IN 2008 AND 2009 WITHOUT HIS AUTHORIZATION. CLIENT BELIEVES, HAD HE BEEN ADVISED CORRECTLY, HE WOULD NOT HAVE SUSTAINED LOSSES IN THE AMOUNT OF \$53,731; THEREFORE, HE IS REQUESTING COMPENSATION IN THE FORM OF A REIMBURSEMENT OF SAID LOSSES.

Product Type: Mutual Fund

Alleged Damages: \$5,000.00

Alleged Damages Amount Explanation (if amount not exact): ALLEGATIONS CLAIM DAMAGES THAT APPEAR TO BE IN EXCESS OF \$5,000

Is this an oral complaint? No

Is this a written complaint? Yes

Is this an arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation? No

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 11/23/2009

Complaint Pending? No

Status: Denied

Status Date: 12/14/2009

Settlement Amount:

Individual Contribution Amount:

Broker Statement

ACCORDING TO OUR RECORDS, THE CLIENT SIGNED A 72(T) SYSTEMATIC WITHDRAWAL FORM (LOA) FOR SELF-DIRECTED IRA ON 03/23/07. THE CLIENT ACKNOWLEDGED AND ACCEPTED THAT DISTRIBUTIONS MADE FROM THE ACCOUNT WOULD BE MADE IN CASH/MONEY MARKET AS NO IN-KIND DISTRIBUTIONS WOULD BE ALLOWED. THE FA HAS INDICATED, PRIOR TO 2007 YEAR-END, HE ATTEMPTED TO CONTACT THE CLIENT ON MORE THAN ONE OCCASION REGARDING THE 2007 DISTRIBUTION, BUT HIS CALLS WERE NOT RETURNED. IT IS UNDERSTOOD THE CLIENT EVENTUALLY CONTACTED THE FA ON OR AROUND DECEMBER 26, 2007. UNFORTUNATELY, THERE WAS NOT SUFFICIENT TIME TO LIQUIDATE



ASSETS AND TRANSFER FUNDS IN ORDER TO COMPLETE THE DISTRIBUTION PRIOR TO YEAR-END. THEREFORE, A ONE-TIME EXCEPTION WAS GRANTED AND ARRANGEMENTS WERE MADE TO TRANSFER ASSETS FROM TO THE CLIENT'S SINGLE REGISTRATION ACCOUNT IN ORDER TO COMPLETE THE DISTRIBUTION. THE DISTRIBUTIONS IN 2008 (ON JULY 28, 2008) AND IN 2009 (ON JULY 28, 2009) WERE MADE IN CASH AND INVESTMENTS HELD IN THE ACCOUNT WERE SOLD IN ORDER TO FUND THE DISTRIBUTIONS. THE CLIENT WOULD HAVE RECEIVED CONFIRMATIONS DETAILING THE TRANSACTIONS. IN ADDITION, ALL ACTIVITY HAS BEEN REFLECTED ON ACCOUNT STATEMENTS. BASED ON OUR REVIEW, IT IS OUR OPINION; THE AFOREMENTIONED ACTIVITY WAS COMPLETED WITH THE CLIENT'S KNOWLEDGE AND AUTHORIZATION. FURTHER, THE FA HAS INDICATED HE HAS SPOKEN WITH THE CLIENT ON MULTIPLE OCCASIONS SINCE THE DISTRIBUTIONS WERE MADE AND THE CLIENT HAS NOT EXPRESSED ANY CONCERNS RELATED TO THE DISTRIBUTIONS. AS THE FA HAS INFORMED THE CLIENT NEITHER EDWARD JONES NOR OUR FINANCIAL ADVISORS PROVIDE TAX ADVICE; THEREFORE, WE SUGGEST CLIENTS CONSULT WITH THEIR TAX PROFESSIONAL WITH REGARD TO ANY TAX AND/OR TAX REPORTING ISSUES. AS A RESULT OF OUR REVIEW, WE ARE RESPECTFULLY DENYING THE CLIENT'S REQUEST FOR COMPENSATION RELATED TO THIS MATTER.

Disclosure 4 of 4

Reporting Source: Individual

Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint: EDWARD JONES

Allegations: 11/08; ATTORNEY CLAIMS THE FA SPOKE WITH THE CLIENT AFTER MARKET CLOSE ON 11/21/08 AND INSTRUCTED THE FA TO PURCHASE SHARES OF NG IN THE ACCOUNT AT \$1.40 PER SHARE AND TO PLACE A STOP LOSS ORDER AT 25%. ATTORNEY CLAIMS ON 11/24/08, THE FA PLACED AN ORDER TO PURCHASE THE SHARES AT \$2.40 PER SHARE AND FAILED TO PLACE THE STOP LOSS. ATTORNEY ALLEGES THAT THE SHARES WERE SOLD IN DECEMBER 2008 FOR A LOSS WITHOUT THE KNOWLEDGE OR CONSENT OF THE CLIENT(S). ATTORNEY REQUESTS THAT THE CLIENTS BE REIMBURSED FOR THE LOSSES ASSOCIATED WITH THE TRANSACTIONS. LOSSES ARE APPROXIMATELY \$6,166.91.

Product Type: Equity Listed (Common & Preferred Stock)

Alleged Damages: \$6,166.91

Is this an oral complaint? No

Is this a written complaint? Yes

Is this an arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation? No

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 05/08/2009

Complaint Pending? No

Status: Denied

Status Date: 06/23/2009

Settlement Amount:



**Individual Contribution
Amount:**

Broker Statement

THE FA HAD MULTIPLE CONVERSATIONS WITH THE CLIENTS REGARDING (NG) STOCK PRIOR TO 11/08. THE FA ADVISED THE CLIENTS HE WOULD NOT PURCHASE ANY STOCKS TRADING UNDER \$2.00 PER SHARE. THE CLIENTS WERE ALSO ADVISED HE DID NOT RECOMMEND PLACING A STOP LOSS ORDER UNTIL THEY OWNED THE STOCK AS DOING SO WOULD PUT THEM AT RISK FOR SHORT SELLING. THE CLIENTS WERE PROVIDED WITH TWO OPTIONS: 1) THE FA COULD PLACE THE TRADES AFTER MARKET CLOSE ON 11/21/08 AND SEE IF THE ORDERS FILLED (ABOVE \$2.00 PER SHARE) AT MARKET OPEN ON MONDAY, OR 2) THE CLIENTS COULD WAIT TO PLACE THE TRADES ON MONDAY, 11/24/08. IT IS UNDERSTOOD THE CLIENTS WERE CONCERNED ABOUT MISSING THE MARKET AND PROVIDED INSTRUCTIONS TO PLACE THE ORDER "NOW". MARKET ORDERS (NOT LIMIT ORDERS) WERE PLACED ON 11/21/09. OUR RECORDS REFLECT THE ORDERS FILLED ON 11/24/08 AT A PRICE OF \$2.40 PER SHARE AND ON THE SAME DATE THE PRICE OF THE STOCK FELL TO \$.37 PER SHARE. THE FA HAS INDICATED HE CONTACTED THE CLIENTS TO INFORM THEM OF THE SIGNIFICANT DROP IN THE PRICE OF THE STOCK AND HE WAS ADVISED THEY DID NOT WANT TO SELL AT THAT TIME. AT THIS POINT, THE CLIENTS HAD NOT PAID FOR THE PURCHASES. THE FA MADE SEVERAL CONTACTS IN AN EFFORT TO COLLECT THE FUNDS ADVISING THE CLIENTS THAT THE PURCHASES WOULD BE CANCELLED IF THE TRADES WERE NOT PAID FOR. ON 11/28/08 THE FA ADVISED THE CLIENTS THAT THE TRADES WERE BEING CANCELLED BECAUSE THE PURCHASES HAD NOT BEEN COVERED. BASED ON OUR REVIEW, THE CLIENTS DID NOT PLACE A LIMIT ORDER TO PURCHASE THE SHARES AT \$1.40 PER SHARE. IN ADDITION, ALTHOUGH THE CLIENTS MAY HAVE INTENDED TO PLACE THE STOP LOSS ORDER AFTER THE PURCHASE, WHEN THE CLIENTS WERE INFORMED OF THE SIGNIFICANT DROP IN THE PRICE OF THE STOCK, THE FA WAS ADVISED THEY DID NOT WANT TO SELL. BECAUSE THEY HAD NOT PAID FOR THE PURCHASES, THE CLIENTS WERE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE LOSSES ASSOCIATED WITH THE CANCELLATIONS. CLAIM DENIED.



End of Report

This page is intentionally left blank.