

IAPD Report

COLEMAN JOSEPH DEVLIN

CRD# 2317635

Section Title	Page(s)
Report Summary	1
Qualifications	2 - 3
Registration and Employment History	4
Disclosure Information	5



When communicating online or investing with any professional, make sure you know who you're dealing with. link-to-sites like BrokerCheck from phishing or similar scam websites, or through social media, trying to steal your personal information or your money.

Please contact FINRA with any concerns.



IAPD Information About Representatives

IAPD offers information on all current-and many former representatives. Investors are strongly encouraged to use IAPD to check the background of representatives before deciding to conduct, or continue to conduct, business with them.

What is included in a IAPD report?

IAPD reports for individual representatives include information such as employment history, professional qualifications, disciplinary actions, criminal convictions, civil judgments and arbitration awards.

It is important to note that the information contained in an IAPD report may include pending actions or allegations that may be contested, unresolved or unproven. In the end, these actions or allegations may be resolved in favor of the representative, or concluded through a negotiated settlement with no admission or finding of wrongdoing.

Where did this information come from?

The information contained in IAPD comes from the Investment Adviser Registration Depository (IARD) and FINRA's Central Registration Depository, or CRD, (see more on CRD below) and is a combination of:

- information the states require representatives and firms to submit as part of the registration and licensing process, and
- information that state regulators report regarding disciplinary actions or allegations against representatives.

How current is this information?

Generally, representatives are required to update their professional and disciplinary information in IARD within 30 days.

Need help interpreting this report?

For help understanding how to read this report, please consult NASAA's IAPD Tips page http://www.nasaa.org/IAPD/IARReports.cfm

What if I want to check the background of an Individual Broker or Brokerage Firm?

To check the background of an Individual Broker or Brokerage firm, you can search for the firm or individual in IAPD. If your search is successful, click on the link provided to view the available licensing and registration information in FINRA's BrokerCheck website.

Are there other resources I can use to check the background of investment professionals?

It is recommended that you learn as much as possible about an individual representative or Investment Adviser firm before deciding to work with them. Your state securities regulator can help you research individuals and certain firms doing business in your state. The contact information for state securities regulators can be found on the website of the North American Securities Administrators Association http://www.nasaa.org



Report Summary

☑ COLEMAN JOSEPH DEVLIN (CRD# 2317635)

The report summary provides an overview of the representative's professional background and conduct. The information contained in this report has been provided by the representative, investment adviser and/or securities firms, and/or securities regulators as part of the states' investment adviser registration and licensing process. The information contained in this report was last updated by the representative, a previous employing firm, or a securities regulator on **01/19/2022**.

CURRENT EMPLOYERS

This individual is not currently registered as an Investment Adviser Representative.

QUALIFICATIONS

This individual is not currently registered as an Investment Adviser Representative.

Note: Not all jurisdictions require IAR registration or may have an exemption from registration. Additional information including this individual's qualification examinations and professional designations is available in the Detailed Report.

REGISTRATION HISTORY

This representative was previously registered with the following firm(s):

FIRM	CRD#	LOCATION	REGISTRATION DATES
IA HAYDEN ROYAL	170037	Towson, MD	04/10/2017 - 11/25/2019
IA IFS ADVISORY, LLC	154680	Baltimore, MD	03/07/2017 - 03/31/2017
IA IFS ADVISORY, LLC	154680	Baltimore, MD	09/13/2016 - 03/02/2017

For additional registration and employment history details as reported by the individual, refer to the Registration and Employment History section of the Detailed Report.

DISCLOSURE INFORMATION

Disclosure events include certain criminal charges and convictions, formal investigations and disciplinary actions initiated by regulators, customer disputes and arbitrations, and financial disclosures such as bankruptcies and unpaid judgments or liens.

Are there events disclosed about this representative?

Yes

The following types of events are disclosed about this representative:

Туре	Count
Regulatory Event	2
Customer Dispute	17
Termination	2





Qualifications

REGISTRATIONS

This section provides the SRO, states and U.S. territories in which the representative is currently registered and licensed, the category of each registration, and the date on which the registration becomes effective. This section also provides, for each firm with which the representative is currently employed, the address of each location where the representative works. This individual is not currently registered as an Investment Adviser Representative.



Qualifications

(2)

PASSED INDUSTRY EXAMS

This section includes all industry exams that the representative has passed. Under limited circumstances, a representative may attain registration after receiving an exam waiver based on a combination of exams the representative has passed and qualifying work experience. Likewise a new exam requirement may be grandfathered based on a representative's specific qualifying work experience. Exam waivers and grandfathering are not included below.

This individual has passed 0 principal/supervisory exams, 0 general industry/product exams, and 1 state securities law exam.

Principal/Supervisory Exams

Exam	Category	Date
No information reported.		

General Industry/Product Exams

Exam	Category	Date
No information reported.		

State Securities Law Exams

	Exam	Category	Date
IA	Uniform Investment Adviser Law Examination (S65)	Series 65	04/07/1995

PROFESSIONAL DESIGNATIONS

This section details that the representative has reported **0** professional designation(s).

No information reported.



Registration & Employment History

PREVIOUSLY REGISTERED WITH THE FOLLOWING FIRMS

This representative held registrations with the following firms:

	Registration Dates	Firm Name	ID#	Branch Location
IA	04/10/2017 - 11/25/2019	HAYDEN ROYAL	CRD# 170037	Towson, MD
IA	03/07/2017 - 03/31/2017	IFS ADVISORY, LLC	CRD# 154680	Baltimore, MD
IA	09/13/2016 - 03/02/2017	IFS ADVISORY, LLC	CRD# 154680	Baltimore, MD
IA	06/05/2007 - 07/12/2016	STIFEL, NICOLAUS & COMPANY, INCORPORATED	CRD# 793	BALTIMORE, MD
IA	05/16/2001 - 06/05/2007	RYAN BECK & CO.	CRD# 3248	BALTIMORE, MD

EMPLOYMENT HISTORY

Below is the representative's employment history for up to the last 10 years.

Employment Dates	Employer Name	Position	Investment Related	Employer Location
04/2017 - Present	Great Lakes Atlantic Wealth Management and Advisory Partners	Investment Advisor	Υ	Charlotte, NC, United States
04/2017 - Present	Oliver Wealth Management	Investment Advisor	Υ	Towson, MD, United States
07/2016 - 03/2017	IFS Securities	Register representative	Υ	Atlanta, GA, United States
06/2007 - 06/2016	STIFEL, NICOLAUS & COMPANY, INCORPORATED	FINANCIAL ADVISOR	Υ	BALTIMORE, MD, United States

OTHER BUSINESS ACTIVITIES

This section includes information, if any, as provided by the representative regarding other business activities the representative is currently engaged in either as a proprietor, partner, officer, director, employee, trustee, agent, or otherwise. This section does not include non-investment related activity that is exclusively charitable, civic, religious, or fraternal and is recognized as tax exempt.

No information reported.



Disclosure Summary

Disclosure Information

What you should know about reported disclosure events:

(1) Certain thresholds must be met before an event is reported to IARD, for example:

- A law enforcement agency must file formal charges before an Investment Adviser Representative is required to report a particular criminal event.;
- A customer dispute must involve allegations that an Investment Adviser Representative engaged in activity that violates certain rules or conduct governing the industry and that the activity resulted in damages of at least \$5,000.

(2) Disclosure events in IAPD reports come from different sources:

As mentioned in the "About IAPD" section on page 1 of this report, information contained in IAPD comes from Investment Adviser Representatives, firms and regulators. When more than one of these sources reports information for the same disclosure event, all versions of the event will appear in the IAPD report. The different versions will be separated by a solid line with the reporting source labeled.

(3) There are different statuses and dispositions for disclosure events:

- A disclosure event may have a status of pending, on appeal, or final.
 - o A "pending" disclosure event involves allegations that have not been proven or formally adjudicated.
 - A disclosure event that is "on appeal" involves allegations that have been adjudicated but are currently being appealed.
 - A "final" disclosure event has been concluded and its resolution is not subject to change.
- A final disclosure event generally has a disposition of adjudicated, settled or otherwise resolved.
 - An "adjudicated" matter includes a disposition by (1) a court of law in a criminal or civil matter, or (2) an administrative panel in an action brought by a regulator that is contested by the party charged with some alleged wrongdoing.
 - A "settled" matter generally represents a disposition wherein the parties involved in a dispute reach an agreement to resolve the matter. Please note that Investment Adviser Representatives and firms may choose to settle customer disputes or regulatory matters for business or other reasons.
 - A "resolved" matter usually includes a disposition wherein no payment is made to the customer or there is no finding of wrongdoing on the part of the Investment Adviser Representative. Such matters generally involve customer disputes.
- (4) You may wish to contact the Investment Adviser Representatives to obtain further information regarding any of the disclosure events contained in this IAPD report.



DISCLOSURE EVENT DETAILS

When evaluating this information, please keep in mind that some items may involve pending actions or allegations that may be contested and have not been resolved or proven. The event may, in the end, be withdrawn, dismissed, resolved in favor of the Investment Adviser Representative, or concluded through a negotiated settlement with no admission or finding of wrongdoing.

This report provides the information exactly as it was reported to the Investment Adviser Registration Depository. Some of the specific data fields contained in the report may be blank if the information was not provided.

The following types of events are disclosed about this representative:

Туре	Count
Regulatory Event	2
Customer Dispute	17
Termination	2

Regulatory Event

This disclosure event may include a final, formal proceeding initiated by a regulatory authority (e.g., a state securities agency, a federal regulator such as the Securities and Exchange Commission or the Commodities Futures Trading Commission, or a foreign financial regulatory body) for a violation of investment-related rules or regulations. This disclosure event may also include a revocation or suspension of an Investment Adviser Representative's authority to act as an attorney, accountant or federal contractor.

Disclosure 1 of 2

Reporting Source: Regulator
Regulatory Action Initiated FINRA

Bv:

Sanction(s) Sought:

Date Initiated: 10/18/2017

Docket/Case Number: 2016050359301

Employing firm when activity occurred which led to the

regulatory action:

Stifel, Nicolaus & Company, Incorporated

Product Type: Other: Unspecified Securities

Allegations: Without admitting or denying the findings, Devlin consented to the sanctions and to

the entry of findings that he effected discretionary trades in five customer accounts

without obtaining prior written authorization from the customers and without

acceptance of the accounts as discretionary by his member firm.

Current Status: Final

Resolution: Acceptance, Waiver & Consent(AWC)

Does the order constitute a final order based on violations of any laws or regulations that prohibit fraudulent, manipulative, or deceptive conduct?

No





Resolution Date: 10/18/2017

Sanctions Ordered: Civil and Administrative Penalty(ies)/Fine(s)

No

Suspension

If the regulator is the SEC, CFTC, or an SRO, did the action result in a finding of a willful violation or failure to

willful violation or failure to supervise?

(1) willfully violated any provision of the Securities Act of 1933, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the

- provision of the Securities
 Act of 1933, the Securities
 Exchange Act of 1934, the
 Investment Advisers Act of
 1940, the Investment
 Company Act of 1940, the
 Commodity Exchange Act, or
 any rule or regulation under
 any of such Acts, or any of
 the rules of the Municipal
 Securities Rulemaking Board,
 or to have been unable to
 comply with any provision of
 such Act, rule or regulation?
- (2) willfully aided, abetted, counseled, commanded, induced, or procured the violation by any person of any provision of the Securities Act of 1933, the **Securities Exchange Act of** 1934, the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, the **Investment Company Act of** 1940, the Commodity Exchange Act, or any rule or regulation under any of such Acts, or any of the rules of the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board? or





(3) failed reasonably to supervise another person subject to your supervision, with a view to preventing the violation by such person of any provision of the Securities Act of 1933, the **Securities Exchange Act of** 1934, the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, the **Investment Company Act of** 1940, the Commodity Exchange Act, or any rule or regulation under any such Acts, or any of the rules of the Municipal Securities **Rulemaking Board?**

Sanction 1 of 1

Sanction Type: Suspension

Capacities Affected: All Capacities

Duration: 30 business days

Start Date: 11/06/2017 **End Date:** 12/18/2017

Monetary Sanction 1 of 1

Monetary Related Sanction: Civil and Administrative Penalty(ies)/Fine(s)

Total Amount: \$5,000.00

Portion Levied against

individual:

\$5,000.00

Payment Plan: deferred

Is Payment Plan Current:

Date Paid by individual:

Was any portion of penalty

waived?

No

Amount Waived:

.......

Reporting Source: Individual Regulatory Action Initiated FINRA

By:

Sanction(s) Sought:

regulatory action:

Date Initiated: 10/18/2017

Docket/Case Number: 2046050359301

Employing firm when activity occurred which led to the

Stifel, Nicolaus & Company





Product Type: Other: Unspecified Securities

Allegations: Without admitting or denying the findings, Devlin consented to the sanctions and to

the entry of findings that he effected discretionary trades in five customer accounts

without obtaining prior written authorization from the customers and without

acceptance of the accounts as discretionary by his member firm.

Current Status: Final

Resolution: Acceptance, Waiver & Consent(AWC)

Does the order constitute a final order based on violations of any laws or regulations that prohibit fraudulent, manipulative, or

No

deceptive conduct?

Resolution Date: 10/18/2017

Sanctions Ordered: Civil and Administrative Penalty(ies)/Fine(s)

Suspension

Sanction 1 of 1

Sanction Type: Suspension

Capacities Affected: All Capacities

Duration: 30 business days

Start Date: 11/06/2017 **End Date:** 12/18/2017

Monetary Sanction 1 of 1

Monetary Related Sanction: Civil and Administrative Penalty(ies)/Fine(s)

Total Amount: \$5,000.00

Portion Levied against \$5,000.00

individual:

Payment Plan: Deferred

Is Payment Plan Current:

Date Paid by individual:

Was any portion of penalty No

waived?

Amount Waived:

Disclosure 2 of 2

Reporting Source: Regulator
Regulatory Action Initiated NASD

By:

Sanction(s) Sought:

Other Sanction(s) Sought:

Date Initiated: 12/15/2003

Docket/Case Number: C9A030042





Employing firm when activity occurred which led to the

regulatory action:

MORGAN STANLEY

Product Type: Options

Other Product Type(s):

Allegations: NASD CONDUCT RULES 2110, 2310, 2510 AND 2860 - RESPONDENT

COLEMAN J. DEVLIN RECOMMENDED AND EFFECTED UNCOVERED PUT OPTION TRANSACTIONS IN THE ACCOUNT OF A CUSTOMER, WITHOUT

HAVING REASONABLE GROUNDS FOR BELIEVING THAT THE

RECOMMENDATIONS AND RESULTANT TRANSACTIONS WERE SUITABLE

FOR THE CUSTOMER BASED UPON THE CUSTOMERS FINANCIAL SITUATION, INVESTMENT OBJECTIVES, AND NEEDS. RESPONDENT DEVLIN EXERCISED DISCRETION IN THE ACCOUNT OF A PUBLIC

CUSTOMER WITHOUT HAVING OBTAINED PRIOR WRITTEN AUTHORIZATION

FROM THE CUSTOMER, AND PRIOR WRITTEN ACCEPTANCE OF THIS ACCOUNT AS DISCRETIONARY BY HIS MEMBER FIRM.

Current Status: Final

Resolution: Acceptance, Waiver & Consent(AWC)

Does the order constitute a final order based on violations of any laws or regulations that prohibit fraudulent, manipulative, or

deceptive conduct?

No

Resolution Date: 12/15/2003

Sanctions Ordered: Disgorgement/Restitution

Monetary/Fine \$10,000.00

Suspension

Other Sanctions Ordered: RE-QUALIFY AS A GENERAL SECURITIES REPRESENTATIVE SERIES 7

EXAM.

Sanction Details: WITHOUT ADMITTING OR DENYING THE ALLEGATIONS, THE RESPONDENT

COLEMAN J. DEVLIN CONSENTED TO THE FINDING OF THE ALLEGATIONS AND TO THE FOLLOWING SANCTIONS: SUSPENSED FOR 15 BUSINESS DAYS, FINED \$10,000.00, WHICH INCLUDES THE DISGORGEMENT OF FINANCIAL BENEFITS RECEIVED, AND RE-QUALIFY AS A GENERAL SECURITIES REPRESENTATIVE BY TAKING AND PASSING THE SERIES 7 EXAM WITHIN 60 DAYS AFTER THE DATE ON WHICH THIS AWC IS ACCEPTED BY NASD. THE SUSPENSION WILL COMMENCE WITH THE

OPENING OF BUSINESS ON JANUARY 5, 2004, AND WILL CONCLUDE AT THE

CLOSE OF BUSINESS ON JANUARY 26, 2004.

......

Reporting Source: Individual Regulatory Action Initiated NASD

Bv:

Sanction(s) Sought:

Other Sanction(s) Sought:

Date Initiated: 12/15/2003





Docket/Case Number: C9A030042

Employing firm when activity occurred which led to the regulatory action:

MORGAN STANLEY

Product Type: Options

Other Product Type(s):

Allegations: NASD CONDUCT RULES 2110, 2310, 2510 AND 2860 - RESPONDENT

COLEMAN J. DEVLIN RECOMMENDED AND EFFECTED UNCOVERED PUT OPTION TRANSACTIONS IN THE ACCOUNT OF A CUSTOMER, WITHOUT

HAVING REASONABLE GROUNDS FOR BELIEVING THAT THE

RECOMMENDATIONS AND RESULTANT TRANSACTIONS WERE SUITABLE

FOR THE CUSTOMER BASED UPON THE CUSTOMERS FINANCIAL

SITUATION, INVESTMENT OBJECTIVES, AND NEEDS. RESPONDENT DEVLIN EXERCISED DISCRETION IN THE ACCOUNT OF A PUBLIC CUSTOMER WITHOUT HAVING OBTAINED PRIOR WRITTEN AUTHORIZATION FROM THE CUSTOMER, AND PRIOR WRITTEN ACCEPTANCE OF THIS ACCOUNT AS

DISCRETIONARY BY HIS MEMBER FIRM.

Current Status: Final

Resolution: Acceptance, Waiver & Consent(AWC)

Resolution Date: 12/15/2003

Sanctions Ordered: Disgorgement/Restitution

Monetary/Fine \$10,000.00

Suspension

Other Sanctions Ordered: RE-QUALIFY AS A GENERAL SECURITIES REPRESENTATIVE SERIES 7

EXAM.

Sanction Details: WITHOUT ADMITTING OR DENYING THE ALLEGATIONS, THE RESPONDENT

COLEMAN J. DEVLIN CONSENTED TO THE FINDING OF THE ALLEGATIONS AND TO THE FOLLOWING SANCTIONS: SUSPENSED FOR 15 BUSINESS DAYS, FINED \$10,000.00, WHICH INCLUDES THE DISGORGEMENT OF FINANCIAL BENEFITS RECEIVED, AND RE-QUALIFY AS A GENERAL SECURITIES REPRESENTATIVE BY TAKING AND PASSING THE SERIES 7

EXAM WITHIN 60 DAYS AFTER THE DATE ON WHICH THIS AWC IS ACCEPTED BY NASD. THE SUSPENSION WILL COMMENCE WITH THE OPENING OF BUSINESS ON JANUARY 5, 2004, AND WILL CONCLUDE AT THE

CLOSE OF BUSINESS ON JANUARY 26, 2004.

©2025 FINRA. All rights reserved. Report about COLEMAN JOSEPH DEVLIN.



Customer Dispute

This section provides information regarding a customer dispute that was reported to the Investment Adviser Registration Depository (IARD) by the Investment Adviser Representative (IAR), an investment adviser and/or securities firm, and/or a securities regulator. The event may include a consumer-initiated, investment-related complaint, arbitration proceeding or civil suit that contains allegations of sales practice violations against the individual.

The customer dispute may be pending or may have resulted in a civil judgment, arbitration award, monetary settlement, closure without action, withdrawal, dismissal, denial, or other outcome.

Disclosure 1 of 17

Reporting Source: Firm

Employing firm when activities occurred which led

مامط

to the complaint:

Allegations:

STIFEL, NICOLAUS & COMPANY, INCORPORATED

Claimant alleges that registered representative executed unauthorized trades,

recommended an unsuitable investment strategy, and made misstatements and

omissions to Claimant.

Product Type: Equity-OTC

Equity Listed (Common & Preferred Stock)

Alleged Damages: \$100,000.00

Is this an oral complaint? No

Is this a written complaint? No

Is this an arbitration/CFTC

reparation or civil litigation?

FINRA

Yes

Arbitration/Reparation forum or court name and location:

Docket/Case #: 18

Docket/Case #: 18-04150

Filing date of 12/06/2018

arbitration/CFTC reparation

or civil litigation:

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 12/10/2018

Complaint Pending? No

Status: Settled

Status Date: 12/09/2019

Settlement Amount: \$85,000.00

Individual Contribution

Amount:

\$0.00

Reporting Source: Individual

Employing firm when

activities occurred which led to the complaint:

STIFEL, NICOLAUS & COMPANY, INCORPORATED

Allegations: Claimant alleges that registered rep executed unauthorized trades, recommended

an unsuitable investment strategy, and made misstatements and omissions to

claimant.





Product Type: Equity-OTC

Equity Listed (Common & Preferred Stock)

\$100,000.00 **Alleged Damages:**

Is this an oral complaint? No

Is this a written complaint? No

Is this an arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation? Yes

Arbitration/Reparation forum

or court name and location:

FINRA

Docket/Case #: 18-04150 Filing date of 12/06/2018

arbitration/CFTC reparation

or civil litigation:

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 12/10/2018

Complaint Pending? Yes

Settlement Amount:

Individual Contribution

Amount:

Disclosure 2 of 17

Reporting Source: Firm

Employing firm when activities occurred which led

to the complaint:

STIFEL, NICOLAUS & COMPANY, INCORPORATED

Allegations: Claimant alleges unsuitability, common law fraud, breach of contract, breach of

fiduciary duty, and violation of the Maryland Securities Act.

Equity-OTC Product Type:

Alleged Damages: \$100,000.00

Is this an oral complaint? No Is this a written complaint? No

Is this an arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation? Yes

FINRA

Arbitration/Reparation forum

or court name and location:

18-03304

Filing date of arbitration/CFTC reparation

or civil litigation:

Docket/Case #:

09/20/2018

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 09/20/2018

Complaint Pending? No





Status: Settled

Status Date: 01/29/2019

Settlement Amount: \$13,000.00

Individual Contribution

\$0.00

Amount:

Amount.

Reporting Source: Individual

Employing firm when

activities occurred which led

to the complaint:

STIFEL, NICOLAUS & COMPANY, INCORPORATED

Allegations: Claimant alleges unsuitability, common law fraud, breach of contract, breach of

fiduciary duty and violation of MD Securities Act

Product Type: Equity-OTC

Alleged Damages: \$100,000.00

Is this an oral complaint? No

Is this a written complaint? No

Is this an arbitration/CFTC

reparation or civil litigation?

FINRA

Yes

Arbitration/Reparation forum

or court name and location:

18-03304

09/20/2018

Filing date of

arbitration/CFTC reparation

or civil litigation:

Docket/Case #:

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 09/20/2018

Complaint Pending? No

Status: Settled

Status Date: 01/29/2019

Settlement Amount: \$13,000.00

Individual Contribution

Amount:

\$0.00

Disclosure 3 of 17

Reporting Source: Firm

Employing firm when

activities occurred which led

to the complaint:

STIFEL, NICOLAUS & COMPANY, INCORPORATED

Allegations: Customers allege that registered representative made unauthorized trades and

inappropriate investments, resulting in excess and unnecessary commissions.

Product Type: Debt-Corporate

Equity-OTC Mutual Fund





Alleged Damages: \$157,347.00

Is this an oral complaint? No Is this a written complaint? Yes

Is this an arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation? No

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 05/31/2018

Complaint Pending? No

Status: Closed/No Action

Status Date: 12/13/2021

Settlement Amount:

Individual Contribution

Amount:

Reporting Source: Individual

Employing firm when activities occurred which led

to the complaint:

Allegations:

STIFEL, NICOLAUS & COMPANY, INCORPORATED

Customer alleges that registered rep made unauthorized trades and inappropriate

investments, resulting in excess and unnecessary commissions.

Product Type: Debt-Corporate

> **Equity-OTC** Mutual Fund

Alleged Damages: \$157,347.00

Is this an oral complaint? No Is this a written complaint? Yes

Is this an arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation? No

Customer Complaint Information

05/31/2018 **Date Complaint Received:**

Complaint Pending? Yes

Settlement Amount:

Individual Contribution

Amount:

Disclosure 4 of 17

Reporting Source: Firm

Employing firm when activities occurred which led

to the complaint:

STIFEL, NICOLAUS & COMPANY, INCORPORATED

Allegations: Claimant alleges unsuitability, common law fraud, breach of contract, breach of

fiduciary duty, and violation of the Maryland Securities Act.





Product Type: Equity-OTC

Alleged Damages: \$300,000.00

Is this an oral complaint? No

Is this a written complaint? No

Is this an arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation? Yes

Arbitration/Reparation forum

FINRA

or court name and location:

18-00106

Docket/Case #: Filing date of

01/10/2018

arbitration/CFTC reparation

or civil litigation:

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 01/11/2018

Complaint Pending? No

Status: Settled

Status Date: 01/29/2019

Settlement Amount: \$100,000.00

Individual Contribution

Amount:

\$0.00

Reporting Source: Individual

Employing firm when

activities occurred which led

to the complaint:

STIFEL, NICOLAUS & COMPANY, INCORPORATED

Allegations: Claimant alleges unsuitability, common law fraud, breach of contract, breach of

fiduciary duty, and violation of the Maryland Securities Act.

Product Type: Equity-OTC

Alleged Damages: \$300,000.00

Is this an oral complaint? No

Is this a written complaint? Nο Is this an arbitration/CFTC

reparation or civil litigation?

Yes

Arbitration/Reparation forum

or court name and location:

FINRA

Docket/Case #: 18-00106

Filing date of arbitration/CFTC reparation 01/10/2018

or civil litigation:

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 01/11/2018





Complaint Pending? No

Settled Status:

Status Date: 01/29/2019

Individual Contribution \$0.00

Amount:

Disclosure 5 of 17

Settlement Amount:

Reporting Source: Firm

Employing firm when

activities occurred which led

to the complaint:

STIFEL, NICOLAUS & COMPANY, INCORPORATED

Allegations: Clients allege unsuitable overconcentration in narrow segments of the market.

Product Type: Equity-OTC

Equity Listed (Common & Preferred Stock)

Alleged Damages: \$600,000.00

Is this an oral complaint? No Is this a written complaint? Yes

Is this an arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation? Yes

Arbitration/Reparation forum

or court name and location:

FINRA

\$100,000.00

Docket/Case #: 18-02685 07/27/2018 Filing date of

arbitration/CFTC reparation

or civil litigation:

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 07/31/2018

Complaint Pending? No

Status: Settled

Status Date: 11/21/2019 **Settlement Amount:** \$225,000.00

Individual Contribution \$0.00

Amount:

Reporting Source: Individual

Employing firm when activities occurred which led

to the complaint:

STIFEL, NICOLAUS & COMPANY, INCORPORATED

Allegations: Clients allege unsuitable over concentration in narrow segments of the market.

Product Type: Equity-OTC

Equity Listed (Common & Preferred Stock)





Alleged Damages: \$600,000.00

Is this an oral complaint? No

Is this a written complaint?

Yes

Is this an arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation? Yes

Arbitration/Reparation forum

FINRA

or court name and location:

18-02685

Filing date of

Docket/Case #:

07/27/2018

arbitration/CFTC reparation

or civil litigation:

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 07/31/2018

Complaint Pending? Yes

Settlement Amount:

Individual Contribution

Amount:

Disclosure 6 of 17

Reporting Source: Firm

Employing firm when

activities occurred which led

to the complaint:

STIFEL, NICOLAUS & COMPANY, INCORPORATED

Allegations: Clients allege registered representative made unsuitable and unauthorized

investments. 9/12/13 through 6/2/16

Product Type: Equity-OTC

\$0.00 **Alleged Damages:**

Alleged Damages Amount

Explanation (if amount not exact):

No damage amount was alleged, but the firm has made a good faith estimate that

he damages from the alleged conduct could be \$5000.00 or greater.

Is this an oral complaint? No

Is this a written complaint? Yes

Is this an arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation? No

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 05/08/2017

Complaint Pending? No

Status: Settled

Status Date: 06/29/2017

Settlement Amount: \$95,000.00





Individual Contribution

Amount:

\$0.00

Reporting Source: Individual

Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint:

STIFEL, NICOLAUS & COMPANY, INCORPORATED

Allegations: Clients allege registered representative made unsuitable and unauthorized

investments, 9/12/13 through 6/2/16

Product Type: Equity-OTC

Alleged Damages: \$0.00

Alleged Damages Amount Explanation (if amount not exact):

No damage alleged but the firm has made a good faith estimate that the damages

from the alleged conduct could be \$5,000.00 or greater.

Is this an oral complaint? No

Is this a written complaint? Yes

Is this an arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation?

Nο

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 05/08/2017

Complaint Pending? No

Status: Settled

 Status Date:
 06/29/2017

 Settlement Amount:
 \$95,000.00

Individual Contribution

Amount:

\$0.00

Disclosure 7 of 17

Reporting Source: Firm

Employing firm when activities occurred which led

to the complaint:

STIFEL, NICOLAUS & COMPANY, INCORPORATED

Allegations: Claimants allege that registered representative over-concentrated Claimants'

accounts in aggressive and speculative securities without their authorization.

Product Type: Equity-OTC

Alleged Damages: \$0.00

Alleged Damages Amount Explanation (if amount not

No damage amount was alleged, but the firm has made a good faith determination that the damages from the alleged conduct could be \$5,000.00 or greater.

exact):

Is this an oral complaint? No

Is this a written complaint? No





Is this an arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation? Yes

Arbitration/Reparation forum or court name and location:

FINRA

Docket/Case #:

16-03737

Filing date of

12/26/2016

arbitration/CFTC reparation

or civil litigation:

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 12/29/2016

Complaint Pending? No

Status: Settled

Status Date: 02/15/2017

Settlement Amount: \$41,000.00

Individual Contribution

\$0.00

Amount:

Reporting Source: Individual

Employing firm when activities occurred which led

to the complaint:

Stifel, Nicholas & Company, Incorporated

Allegations: Claimants allege that registered representative over-concentrated claimants'

accounts in aggressive and speculative securities without their authorization.

Product Type: Equity-OTC

Alleged Damages: \$0.00

Alleged Damages Amount Explanation (if amount not

exact):

No damage amount was alleged, but the firm has made a good faith estimate that

the damages from the alleged conduct could be \$5,000 or greater.

Is this an oral complaint? No

Is this a written complaint? No

Is this an arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation? Yes

Arbitration/Reparation forum

or court name and location:

FINRA

Docket/Case #: 16-03737

Filing date of

arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation:

12/26/2016

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 12/29/2016

Complaint Pending? No

Status: Settled





Status Date: 02/15/2017 **Settlement Amount:** \$41,000.00

Individual Contribution

Amount:

\$0.00

Disclosure 8 of 17

Reporting Source: Firm

Employing firm when activities occurred which led

to the complaint:

STIFEL, NICOLAUS & COMPANY, INCORPORATED

Allegations: Client alleges trades were not authorized and not appropriate for client's objective

or risk level.

Product Type: Equity-OTC

Alleged Damages: \$0.00

Alleged Damages Amount

Explanation (if amount not exact):

No damage amount was alleged, but the firm has made a good faith determination

that the damages from the alleged conduct could be \$5000.00 or greater.

Is this an oral complaint? No

Is this a written complaint? Yes

Is this an arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation? No

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 10/06/2016

Complaint Pending? Nο

Status: Settled

Status Date: 08/08/2017 **Settlement Amount:** \$32,500.00

Individual Contribution

Amount:

\$0.00

Reporting Source: Individual

Employing firm when

activities occurred which led

to the complaint:

Allegations:

Stifel, Nicholas & Company, Incorporated

Client alleges trades were not authorized and not appropriate for client's objective

or risk level.

Equity-OTC Product Type:

Alleged Damages: \$0.00

Alleged Damages Amount Explanation (if amount not exact):

No damage was alleged, but the firm has made a good faith determination that the

damages from the alleged conduct could be \$5000.00 or greater.

Is this an oral complaint? No





Is this a written complaint?

Yes

Is this an arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation?

No

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 10/06/2016

Complaint Pending? No

Status: Denied

Status Date: 11/04/2016

Settlement Amount:

Individual Contribution

Amount:

Broker Statement The during the 5 year period which I helped manage the client's portfolio the client

closely monitored the account online as well as with the monthly statements and in regular communication. In our communications she never asserted during that period that she did not understand her investments, the risk or have any concern about the management of her accounts or the suitability of the investments being

in line with her objectives.

Disclosure 9 of 17

Reporting Source: Firm

Employing firm when

activities occurred which led

to the complaint:

STIFEL, NICOLAUS & COMPANY, INCORPORATED

Allegations: Client alleges registered representative made unsuitable recommendations of high

risk, volatile stocks. 4/20/15 through 6/3/15

Product Type: Equity-OTC

Alleged Damages: \$41,316.64

Is this an oral complaint? No

Is this a written complaint? Yes

Is this an arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation?

Nο

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 08/29/2016

Complaint Pending? No

Status: Settled

Status Date: 10/19/2016

Settlement Amount: \$20,000.00

Individual Contribution \$0.00

Amount:

Reporting Source: Individual





Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint:

Stifel, Nicolaus & Company, INC.

Allegations:

Client alleges representative made unsuitable recommendations of high risk,

volatile stocks. 4/20/15 through 6/3/15.

Product Type: Equity-OTC

Alleged Damages: \$41,316.64

Is this a written complaint? Yes

Is this an arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation?

Is this an oral complaint?

No

No

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 08/29/2016

Complaint Pending? Nο

Status: Settled

Status Date: 10/19/2016

Settlement Amount: \$20,000.00

Individual Contribution

Amount:

\$0.00

Broker Statement The allegations from the client are patently false. During the period from May 2011

to June 2016 the client repeatedly ignored and refused recommendations to add to his bond portfolio. In addition, ignored and refused recommendations to invest into diversified mutual funds, ETFs and guaranteed annuities which provide greater diversification and lower risk than individual equities. In addition, the client refused to meet to discuss and review his portfolio. The client had decades of experience investing in individual securities and knew the risks inherent in investing in the securities markets. All of the securities that were purchased in the account were discussed in detail and authorized by the client. Stifel has thoroughly reviewed the client's claim and has denied any liability with respect to losses. I was not party to

the decision to settle this baseless complaint.

Disclosure 10 of 17

Reporting Source: Firm

Employing firm when activities occurred which led

to the complaint:

STIFEL, NICOLAUS & COMPANY, INCORPORATED

Claimant alleges registered representative made unsuitable and unauthorized Allegations:

investments.

Product Type: Equity-OTC

Alleged Damages: \$100,000.00

Is this an oral complaint? No Is this a written complaint? No Is this an arbitration/CFTC

reparation or civil litigation?

Yes





Arbitration/Reparation forum

FINRA

or court name and location:

16-02259

Filing date of

Docket/Case #:

08/03/2016

arbitration/CFTC reparation

or civil litigation:

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 08/08/2016

Complaint Pending? No

Status: Settled

Status Date: 03/20/2017

Settlement Amount: \$40,000.00

Individual Contribution

Amount:

Reporting Source: Individual

Employing firm when

activities occurred which led

to the complaint:

Allegations:

Stifel, Nicolaus & Company, Incorporated

Claimant alleges registered representative made unsuitable and unauthorized

investments.

\$0.00

Product Type: Equity-OTC

Alleged Damages: \$100,000.00

Is this an oral complaint? No Is this a written complaint? No

Is this an arbitration/CFTC Yes

reparation or civil litigation?

Arbitration/Reparation forum

or court name and location:

FINRA

Docket/Case #: 16-02259 Filing date of

arbitration/CFTC reparation

or civil litigation:

08/03/2016

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 08/08/2016

Complaint Pending? No

Status: Settled

Status Date: 03/20/2017 **Settlement Amount:** \$40,000.00

Individual Contribution

Amount:

\$0.00





Disclosure 11 of 17

Reporting Source: Individual

Employing firm when activities occurred which led

to the complaint:

STIFEL, NICOLAUS & COMPANY, INCORPORATED

Allegations: Clients allege that their accounts were managed very aggressively, lacking

adequate diversification. 4/20/10 through 11/14/15

Product Type: Other: Miscellaneous

Alleged Damages: \$0.00

Alleged Damages Amount Explanation (if amount not

exact):

No damage amount was alleged, but the firm has made a good faith determination

that the damages from the alleged conduct could be \$5000.00 or greater

Is this an oral complaint? No

Is this a written complaint? Yes

Is this an arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation?

No

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 02/08/2016

Complaint Pending? No

Status: Denied

Status Date: 03/03/2016

Settlement Amount:

Individual Contribution

Amount:

Disclosure 12 of 17

Reporting Source: Individual

Employing firm when activities occurred which led

to the complaint:

STIFEL, NICOLAUS & COMPANY, INCORPORATED

Allegations: Client alleges unauthorized trading and churning. 3/9/10 through 9/28/15

Product Type: Other: Miscellaneous

Alleged Damages: \$0.00

Alleged Damages Amount Explanation (if amount not exact):

No damage amount was alleged, but the firm has made a good faith determination

that the damages from the alleged conduct could be \$5000.00 or greater.

Is this an oral complaint? No

Is this a written complaint? Yes

Is this an arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation?

No





Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 10/12/2015

Complaint Pending? No

Settled Status:

Status Date: 11/13/2015 **Settlement Amount:** \$15,000.00

Individual Contribution

Amount:

\$15,000.00

Disclosure 13 of 17

Reporting Source: Individual

Employing firm when activities occurred which led

to the complaint:

STIFEL NICOLAUS

Allegations:

CLIENT ALLEGES CHURNING AND CLAIMS TO HAVE WANTED SAFETY AND

DIVERSIFICATION.

Other: MISCELLANEOUS **Product Type:**

Alleged Damages: \$0.00

Alleged Damages Amount Explanation (if amount not

exact):

NO DAMAGE AMOUNT WAS ALLEGED. BUT THE FIRM HAS MADE A GOOD

FAITH DETERMINATION THAT THE DAMAGES FROM THE ALLEGED

CONDUCT COULD BE \$5000.00 OR GREATER.

Is this an oral complaint? No

Is this a written complaint? Yes

Is this an arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation? No

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 05/19/2014

Complaint Pending? No

Status: Denied

Status Date: 06/05/2014

Settlement Amount:

Individual Contribution

Amount:

Disclosure 14 of 17

Reporting Source: Firm

Employing firm when

activities occurred which led

to the complaint:

MORGAN STANLEY DW INC.

Allegations: CLIENT ALLEGED UNSUITABILITY AND MISREPRESENTATIONS AND/OR

OMISSIONS WITH RESPECT TO TRANSACTIONS IN HIS ACCOUNTS.

Product Type: Mutual Fund(s)





Other Product Type(s): SECURITIES
Alleged Damages: \$789,000.00

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 08/05/2002

Complaint Pending? No

Status: Arbitration/Reparation

Status Date: 11/19/2003

Settlement Amount:

Individual Contribution

Amount:

Arbitration Information

Arbitration/Reparation Claim

filed with and Docket/Case

No.:

Date Notice/Process Served: 08/05/2002

Arbitration Pending? No

Disposition: Settled

Disposition Date: 11/19/2003 **Monetary Compensation** \$325,000.00

A

Amount:

\$0.00

Individual Contribution
Amount:

Reporting Source: Individual

Employing firm when activities occurred which led

to the complaint:

MORGAN STANLEY DW, INC.

NASD-DR 02-03132

Allegations: CLIENT ALLEGED UNSUITABILITY AND MISREPRESENTATIONS AND/OR

OMISSIONS WITH RESPECT TO TRANSACTIONS IN HIS ACCOUNTS.

Product Type: Mutual Fund(s)

Other Product Type(s): SECURITIES

Alleged Damages: \$789,000.00

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 08/05/2002

Complaint Pending? No

Status: Arbitration/Reparation

Status Date: 11/19/2003

Settlement Amount:

Individual Contribution

Amount:





Arbitration Information

Arbitration/Reparation Claim

filed with and Docket/Case

No.:

NASD-DR 02-03132

Date Notice/Process Served: 08/05/2002

Arbitration Pending? No

Disposition: Settled

Disposition Date: 11/19/2003

Monetary Compensation

Amount:

\$325,000.00

Individual Contribution

Amount:

\$0.00

Disclosure 15 of 17

Reporting Source: Firm

Employing firm when

activities occurred which led

to the complaint:

MORGAN STANLEY DW INC.

Allegations: SUITABILITY AND UNAUTHORIZED TRADING.

Product Type: Options

Other Product Type(s): EQUITIES AND BONDS

Alleged Damages: \$210,125.00

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 09/17/2003

Complaint Pending? No

Status: Arbitration/Reparation

Status Date: 09/17/2003

Settlement Amount:

Individual Contribution

Amount:

Arbitration Information

Arbitration/Reparation Claim filed with and Docket/Case

No.:

NYSE DOCKET # 2003-014462

Date Notice/Process Served: 09/17/2003

Arbitration Pending? No

Disposition: Settled

Disposition Date: 12/15/2005

Monetary Compensation

Amount:

\$115,000.00

Individual Contribution

Amount:

\$0.00





Firm Statement THE FIRM, WITHOUT ADMITTING LIABILITY OF ANY NATURE, DECIDED TO

SETTLE THIS MATTER TO AVOID THE COST, UNCERTAINTY AND DELAY OF

ARBITRATION.

Reporting Source: Individual

Employing firm when activities occurred which led

MORGAN STANLEY DW, INC.

to the complaint:

Allegations: SUITABILITY AND UNAUTHORIZED TRADING.

Product Type: Options

Other Product Type(s): EQUITIES AND BONDS

Alleged Damages: \$210,125.00

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 02/04/2003

Complaint Pending? No

Status: Arbitration/Reparation

Status Date: 09/17/2003

Settlement Amount:

Individual Contribution

Amount:

Arbitration Information

Arbitration/Reparation Claim

filed with and Docket/Case No.:

09/17/2003

Date Notice/Process Served:

Arbitration Pending? No

Disposition: Settled

Disposition Date: 12/15/2005

Monetary Compensation

Amount:

\$115,000.00

Individual Contribution

Amount:

\$0.00

Broker Statement THE FIRM (MORGAN STANLEY DW), WITHOUT ADMITTING LIABILITY OF ANY

NATURE, DECIDED TO SETTLE THIS MATTER TO AVOID THE COST,

UNCERTAINTY AND DELAY OF ARBITATION.

Disclosure 16 of 17

Reporting Source: Firm

Employing firm when activities occurred which led

to the complaint:

MORGAN STANLEY DW, INC

NYSE DOCKET # 2003-014462

Allegations: BREACH OF FID DUTY, UNSUITABLE ADVICE, MISREPRESENTATIONS,

UNAUTHORIZED TRADING AND BREACH OF CONTRACT AND FAILURE TO





SUPERVISE.

Product Type: Options

Alleged Damages: \$341,070.00

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 08/21/2002

Complaint Pending? No

Status: Arbitration/Reparation

Status Date: 11/24/2003

Settlement Amount:

Individual Contribution

Amount:

Arbitration Information

Arbitration/Reparation Claim

filed with and Docket/Case

No.:

NYSE NO. 2002-010586

Date Notice/Process Served: 08/21/2002

Arbitration Pending? No

Disposition: Settled

Disposition Date: 11/24/2003

Monetary Compensation

Amount:

\$197,000.00

Individual Contribution

Amount:

\$0.00

Firm Statement IN ORDER TO AVOID THE COST AND UNCERTAINTY OF ARBITRATION THE

FIRM, WITHOUT ADMITTING LIABILITY OF ANY KIND, DECIDED TO REACH A

SETTLEMENT OF THIS MATTER.

.....

Reporting Source: Individual

Employing firm when

activities occurred which led

to the complaint:

MORGAN STANLEY DW, INC.

Allegations: BREACH OF FID DUTY, UNSUITABLE ADVICE, MISREPRESENTATIONS,

UNAUTHORIZED TRADING AND BREACH OF CONTRACT AND FAILURE TO

SUPERVISE.

Product Type: Options

Alleged Damages: \$341,070.00

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 08/21/2002

Complaint Pending? No

Status: Arbitration/Reparation

Status Date: 08/21/2002

Settlement Amount:





Individual Contribution

Amount:

Arbitration Information

Arbitration/Reparation Claim

filed with and Docket/Case

No.:

NYSE #2002-010586

Date Notice/Process Served: 08/21/2002

Arbitration Pending? No

Disposition: Settled

Disposition Date: 11/24/2003 **Monetary Compensation**

Amount:

\$197,000.00

Individual Contribution

Amount:

\$0.00

IN ORDER TO AVOID THE COST AND UNCERTAINTY OF ARBITRATION THE **Broker Statement**

FIRM, WITHOUT ADMITTING LIABILITY OF ANY KIND, DECIDED TO REACH A

SETTLEMENT OF THIS MATTER.

Disclosure 17 of 17

Reporting Source: Firm

Employing firm when

activities occurred which led

to the complaint:

MORGAN STANLEY DEAN WITTER INC.

Allegations: CLIENTS ALLEGED UNAUTHORIZED TRADING AND UNSUITABLE TRADING.

Product Type: Other

Other Product Type(s): **EQUITIES & OPTIONS (PUTS)**

Alleged Damages: \$560,000.00

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 02/09/2001

Complaint Pending? No

Status: Settled

Status Date: 04/26/2001

Settlement Amount: \$122,500.00

Individual Contribution

Amount:

\$0.00

Firm Statement THE FIRM REACHED ON ACCOMODATION WITH THE CUSTOMER, WITHOUT

ADMITTING LIABILITY, IN ORDER TO AVOID THE COSTS, UNCERTAINTY AND

DELAYS ASSOCIATED WITH POTENTIAL ARBITRATION.

Reporting Source: Individual

Employing firm when activities occurred which led DEAN WITTER REYNOLDS INC.

to the complaint:





Allegations: CLIENTS ALLEGED UNAUTHORIZED TRADING AND UNSUITABLE TRADES.

Product Type: Other

Other Product Type(s): EQUITIES & OPTION (PUTS)

Alleged Damages: \$560,000.00

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 02/09/2001

Complaint Pending? No

Status: Settled

Status Date: 04/26/2001

Settlement Amount: \$122,500.00

Individual Contribution

Amount:

\$0.00

Broker Statement THE FIRM REACHED AN ACCOMMODATION WITH THE CUSTOMERS,

WITHOUT ADMITTING LIABILITY, IN ORDER TO AVOID THE COSTS,

UNCERTAINTY AND DELAYS ASSOCIATED WITH POTENTIAL ARBITRATION.





Termination

This disclosure event involves a situation where the Investment Adviser Representative voluntarily resigned, was discharged or was permitted to resign after allegations were made that accused the Investment Adviser Representative of violating investment-related statutes, regulations, rules or industry standards of conduct; fraud or the wrongful taking of property; or failure to supervise in connection with investment-related statutes, regulations, rules or industry standards of conduct.

Disclosure 1 of 2

Reporting Source: Firm

Firm Name: Stifel Nicolaus

Termination Type: Discharged

Termination Date: 06/28/2016

Allegations: Unauthorized Trading

Product Type: No Product

Reporting Source: Individual

Firm Name: Stifel Nicolaus

Termination Type: Discharged

Termination Date: 06/28/2016

Allegations: Unauthorized Trading

Product Type: No Product

Broker Statement I denied the allegations of unauthorized trades. One customer filed a complaint,

this client logged into her account 900 to review the authorized activity - roughly

every other day. My broker-dealer encouraged the client settle as an

"accommodation" for a \$15,000 credit to her account and that the settlement was "in no way to be construed as an admission of any liability on the part of the firm or the representative". My employment was never in question at the time of the settlement, however six months later the firm decided to terminate my employment

for the aforementioned complaint.

Disclosure 2 of 2

Reporting Source: Individual

Firm Name: MORGAN STANLEY DEAN WITTER

Termination Type: Discharged **Termination Date:** 03/02/2001

Allegations: VIOLATION OF FIRM POLICY

Product Type: No Product

Other Product Types:

Broker Statement I MOVED TO MORGAN STANLEY FROM LEGG MASON WHERE I MANAGED

SEVERAL ACCOUNTS ON AN AUTHORIZED DISCRETIONARY BASIS.
MORGAN STANLEY HAS A POLICY AGAINST HAVING DISCRETIONARY
ACCOUNTS. I CONTINUED TO OFFER THAT SERVICE TO THOSE CLIENTS
THAT HAD BECOME ACCUSTOMED TO THAT RELATIONSHIP BASED UPON
THEIR VERBAL AUTHORITY. THE FIRM TERMINATED ME BASED UPON THAT

VIOLATION OF ITS POLICY.





