



## IAPD Report

# Kent J Calhoon

CRD# 2665445

<b><u>Section Title</u></b>	<b><u>Page(s)</u></b>
Report Summary	1
Qualifications	2 - 4
Registration and Employment History	5
Disclosure Information	6



When communicating online or investing with any professional, make sure you know who you're dealing with. [Imposters](#) might link to sites like BrokerCheck from [phishing](#) or similar scam websites, or through [social media](#), trying to steal your personal information or your money.

Please contact FINRA with any concerns.



## IAPD Information About Representatives

IAPD offers information on all current-and many former representatives. Investors are strongly encouraged to use IAPD to check the background of representatives before deciding to conduct, or continue to conduct, business with them.

### What is included in a IAPD report?

IAPD reports for individual representatives include information such as employment history, professional qualifications, disciplinary actions, criminal convictions, civil judgments and arbitration awards.

It is important to note that the information contained in an IAPD report may include pending actions or allegations that may be contested, unresolved or unproven. In the end, these actions or allegations may be resolved in favor of the representative, or concluded through a negotiated settlement with no admission or finding of wrongdoing.

### Where did this information come from?

The information contained in IAPD comes from the Investment Adviser Registration Depository (IARD) and FINRA's Central Registration Depository, or CRD, (see more on CRD below) and is a combination of:

- information the states require representatives and firms to submit as part of the registration and licensing process, and
- information that state regulators report regarding disciplinary actions or allegations against representatives.

### How current is this information?

Generally, representatives are required to update their professional and disciplinary information in IARD within 30 days.

### Need help interpreting this report?

For help understanding how to read this report, please consult NASAA's IAPD Tips page <http://www.nasaa.org/IAPD/IARReports.cfm>

### What if I want to check the background of an Individual Broker or Brokerage Firm?

To check the background of an Individual Broker or Brokerage firm, you can search for the firm or individual in IAPD. If your search is successful, click on the link provided to view the available licensing and registration information in FINRA's BrokerCheck website.

### Are there other resources I can use to check the background of investment professionals?

It is recommended that you learn as much as possible about an individual representative or Investment Adviser firm before deciding to work with them. Your state securities regulator can help you research individuals and certain firms doing business in your state. The contact information for state securities regulators can be found on the website of the North American Securities Administrators Association <http://www.nasaa.org>



## Report Summary

### Kent J Calhoon (CRD# 2665445)

The report summary provides an overview of the representative's professional background and conduct. The information contained in this report has been provided by the representative, investment adviser and/or securities firms, and/or securities regulators as part of the states' investment adviser registration and licensing process. The information contained in this report was last updated by the representative, a previous employing firm, or a securities regulator on **05/26/2026**.

### CURRENT EMPLOYERS

	Firm	CRD#	Registered Since
<b>B</b>	EDWARD JONES	CRD# 250	08/20/2012
<b>IA</b>	EDWARD JONES	CRD# 250	03/12/2019

### QUALIFICATIONS

This representative is currently registered in **4** SRO(s) and **18** jurisdiction(s).

Is this representative currently Inactive or Suspended with any regulator? **No**

**Note:** Not all jurisdictions require IAR registration or may have an exemption from registration.

Additional information including this individual's qualification examinations and professional designations is available in the Detailed Report.

### REGISTRATION HISTORY

This representative was previously registered with the following firm(s):

	FIRM	CRD#	LOCATION	REGISTRATION DATES
<b>B</b>	SAYBRUS EQUITY SERVICES, INC	153319	HARTFORD, CT	09/15/2010 - 08/20/2012
<b>B</b>	PHOENIX EQUITY PLANNING CORPORATION	38383	PHILADELPHIA, PA	02/04/2009 - 09/15/2010
<b>B</b>	PHOENIX EQUITY PLANNING CORPORATION	3036	HARTFORD, CT	06/30/2006 - 02/04/2009

For additional registration and employment history details as reported by the individual, refer to the Registration and Employment History section of the Detailed Report.

### DISCLOSURE INFORMATION

Disclosure events include certain criminal charges and convictions, formal investigations and disciplinary actions initiated by regulators, customer disputes and arbitrations, and financial disclosures such as bankruptcies and unpaid judgments or liens.

Are there events disclosed about this representative? **Yes**

The following types of events are disclosed about this representative:

Type	Count
Customer Dispute	2



## Qualifications

### REGISTRATIONS

This section provides the SRO, states and U.S. territories in which the representative is currently registered and licensed, the category of each registration, and the date on which the registration becomes effective. This section also provides, for each firm with which the representative is currently employed, the address of each location where the representative works. This individual is currently registered with **18** jurisdiction(s) and 4 SRO(s) through his or her employer(s).

### Employment 1 of 1

Firm Name: **EDWARD JONES**  
Main Address: 12555 MANCHESTER RD  
ST. LOUIS, MO 63131  
Firm ID#: 250

Regulator	Registration	Status	Date
<b>B</b> FINRA	General Securities Representative	Approved	08/20/2012
<b>B</b> NYSE American LLC	General Securities Representative	Approved	08/20/2012
<b>B</b> Nasdaq Stock Market	General Securities Representative	Approved	08/20/2012
<b>B</b> New York Stock Exchange	General Securities Representative	Approved	08/20/2012
<b>B</b> Alaska	Agent	Approved	07/08/2016
<b>B</b> Arizona	Agent	Approved	09/07/2012
<b>B</b> California	Agent	Approved	08/31/2012
<b>B</b> Colorado	Agent	Approved	07/31/2024
<b>B</b> Florida	Agent	Approved	10/09/2020
<b>B</b> Idaho	Agent	Approved	05/27/2026
<b>B</b> Indiana	Agent	Approved	04/07/2021
<b>B</b> Kansas	Agent	Approved	11/01/2012
<b>B</b> Minnesota	Agent	Approved	11/08/2021



### Qualifications

Regulator	Registration	Status	Date
B Missouri	Agent	Approved	02/06/2024
B Nebraska	Agent	Approved	05/14/2024
B Nevada	Agent	Approved	09/01/2021
B North Carolina	Agent	Approved	08/20/2015
B Oregon	Agent	Approved	08/20/2012
IA Oregon	Investment Adviser Representative	Approved	05/02/2025
B Texas	Agent	Approved	01/13/2016
IA Texas	Investment Adviser Representative	Restricted Approval	03/12/2019
B Utah	Agent	Approved	02/09/2017
B Washington	Agent	Approved	09/06/2012
B Wisconsin	Agent	Approved	03/20/2014

### Branch Office Locations

**EDWARD JONES**  
145 Evergreen Rd  
Lake Oswego, OR 97034



## Qualifications

### PASSED INDUSTRY EXAMS

This section includes all industry exams that the representative has passed. Under limited circumstances, a representative may attain registration after receiving an exam waiver based on a combination of exams the representative has passed and qualifying work experience. Likewise a new exam requirement may be grandfathered based on a representative's specific qualifying work experience. Exam waivers and grandfathering are not included below.

**This individual has passed 0 principal/supervisory exams, 2 general industry/product exams, and 3 state securities law exams.**

#### Principal/Supervisory Exams

Exam	Category	Date
------	----------	------

No information reported.

#### General Industry/Product Exams

Exam	Category	Date
------	----------	------

<b>B</b> Securities Industry Essentials Examination (SIE)	SIE	10/01/2018
---	-----	------------

<b>B</b> General Securities Representative Examination (S7)	Series 7	10/16/1995
---	----------	------------

#### State Securities Law Exams

Exam	Category	Date
------	----------	------

<b>IA</b> <b>B</b> Uniform Combined State Law Examination (S66)	Series 66	04/29/2025
---	-----------	------------

<b>IA</b> Uniform Investment Adviser Law Examination (S65)	Series 65	11/08/1995
--	-----------	------------

<b>B</b> Uniform Securities Agent State Law Examination (S63)	Series 63	11/08/1995
---	-----------	------------

### PROFESSIONAL DESIGNATIONS

This section details that the representative has reported **0** professional designation(s).

No information reported.



## Registration & Employment History

### PREVIOUSLY REGISTERED WITH THE FOLLOWING FIRMS

This representative held registrations with the following firms:

	Registration Dates	Firm Name	ID#	Branch Location
<b>B</b>	09/15/2010 - 08/20/2012	SAYBRUS EQUITY SERVICES, INC	CRD# 153319	HARTFORD, CT
<b>B</b>	02/04/2009 - 09/15/2010	PHOENIX EQUITY PLANNING CORPORATION	CRD# 38383	PHILADELPHIA, PA
<b>B</b>	06/30/2006 - 02/04/2009	PHOENIX EQUITY PLANNING CORPORATION	CRD# 3036	HARTFORD, CT
<b>B</b>	03/23/2005 - 06/28/2006	AIG SUNAMERICA CAPITAL SERVICES, INC.	CRD# 13158	JERSEY CITY, NJ
<b>IA</b>	03/17/2004 - 03/14/2005	WELLS FARGO INVESTMENTS, LLC	CRD# 10582	PORTLAND, OR
<b>B</b>	05/02/2001 - 03/14/2005	WELLS FARGO INVESTMENTS, LLC	CRD# 10582	SAN FRANCISCO, CA
<b>B</b>	02/09/2000 - 05/02/2001	WELLS FARGO SECURITIES INC.	CRD# 17438	SAN FRANCISCO, CA
<b>B</b>	10/17/1995 - 02/01/2000	MERRILL LYNCH, PIERCE, FENNER & SMITH INCORPORATED	CRD# 7691	NEW YORK, NY

### EMPLOYMENT HISTORY

Below is the representative's employment history for up to the last 10 years.

Employment Dates	Employer Name	Position	Investment Related	Employer Location
08/2012 - Present	EDWARD JONES	FINANCIAL ADVISOR	Y	ST LOUIS, MO, United States

### OTHER BUSINESS ACTIVITIES

This section includes information, if any, as provided by the representative regarding other business activities the representative is currently engaged in either as a proprietor, partner, officer, director, employee, trustee, agent, or otherwise. This section does not include non-investment related activity that is exclusively charitable, civic, religious, or fraternal and is recognized as tax exempt.

No information reported.



## Disclosure Summary

### Disclosure Information

#### What you should know about reported disclosure events:

##### (1) Certain thresholds must be met before an event is reported to IARD, for example:

- A law enforcement agency must file formal charges before an Investment Adviser Representative is required to report a particular criminal event.;
- A customer dispute must involve allegations that an Investment Adviser Representative engaged in activity that violates certain rules or conduct governing the industry and that the activity resulted in damages of at least \$5,000.

##### (2) Disclosure events in IAPD reports come from different sources:

As mentioned in the "About IAPD" section on page 1 of this report, information contained in IAPD comes from Investment Adviser Representatives, firms and regulators. When more than one of these sources reports information for the same disclosure event, all versions of the event will appear in the IAPD report. The different versions will be separated by a solid line with the reporting source labeled.

##### (3) There are different statuses and dispositions for disclosure events:

- A disclosure event may have a status of *pending*, *on appeal*, or *final*.
  - A "pending" disclosure event involves allegations that have not been proven or formally adjudicated.
  - A disclosure event that is "on appeal" involves allegations that have been adjudicated but are currently being appealed.
  - A "final" disclosure event has been concluded and its resolution is not subject to change.
- A final disclosure event generally has a disposition of *adjudicated*, *settled* or *otherwise resolved*.
  - An "adjudicated" matter includes a disposition by (1) a court of law in a criminal or civil matter, or (2) an administrative panel in an action brought by a regulator that is contested by the party charged with some alleged wrongdoing.
  - A "settled" matter generally represents a disposition wherein the parties involved in a dispute reach an agreement to resolve the matter. Please note that Investment Adviser Representatives and firms may choose to settle customer disputes or regulatory matters for business or other reasons.
  - A "resolved" matter usually includes a disposition wherein no payment is made to the customer or there is no finding of wrongdoing on the part of the Investment Adviser Representative. Such matters generally involve customer disputes.

##### (4) You may wish to contact the Investment Adviser Representatives to obtain further information regarding any of the disclosure events contained in this IAPD report.



## DISCLOSURE EVENT DETAILS

When evaluating this information, please keep in mind that some items may involve pending actions or allegations that may be contested and have not been resolved or proven. The event may, in the end, be withdrawn, dismissed, resolved in favor of the Investment Adviser Representative, or concluded through a negotiated settlement with no admission or finding of wrongdoing.

This report provides the information exactly as it was reported to the Investment Adviser Registration Depository. Some of the specific data fields contained in the report may be blank if the information was not provided.

The following types of events are disclosed about this representative:

Type	Count
Customer Dispute	2

### Customer Dispute

This section provides information regarding a customer dispute that was reported to the Investment Adviser Registration Depository (IARD) by the Investment Adviser Representative (IAR), an investment adviser and/or securities firm, and/or a securities regulator. The event may include a consumer-initiated, investment-related complaint, arbitration proceeding or civil suit that contains allegations of sales practice violations against the individual.

The customer dispute may be pending or may have resulted in a civil judgment, arbitration award, monetary settlement, closure without action, withdrawal, dismissal, denial, or other outcome.

#### Disclosure 1 of 2

<b>Reporting Source:</b>	Regulator
<b>Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint:</b>	WELLS FARGO INVESTMENTS, LLC
<b>Allegations:</b>	BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY AND UNSUITABILITY
<b>Product Type:</b>	Mutual Fund(s)
<b>Alleged Damages:</b>	\$49,000.00

#### Arbitration Information

<b>Arbitration/Reparation Claim filed with and Docket/Case No.:</b>	NASD - CASE #03-03826
<b>Date Notice/Process Served:</b>	05/19/2003
<b>Arbitration Pending?</b>	No
<b>Disposition:</b>	Award
<b>Disposition Date:</b>	07/14/2004
<b>Disposition Detail:</b>	RESPONDENTS ARE JOINTLY AND SEVERALLY LIABLE AND SHALL PAY TO CLAIMANT COMPENSATORY DAMAGES IN THE SUM OF \$6,400.00. THE ARBITRATOR FOUND THAT ANY NEGLIGENCE OR OTHER BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY BY RESPONDENTS WITH RESPECT TO CLAIMANT'S INITIAL INVESTMENT DECISION IN NOVEMBER 2000, WAS SUPERSEDED BY THE NEGLIGENCE OF THE CLAIMANT. THE ARBITRATOR FOUND ALSO, THAT BY JANUARY 31, 2002, GIVEN CLAIMANT'S BACKGROUND, THE UNREALISTIC NATURE OF CLAIMANT'S STATED GOALS AND EXPECTATIONS, AND, THE SUBSTANTIAL DOWNTURN IN THE EQUITIES



MARKETS IN LATE 2000 AND THROUGHOUT 2001, RESPONDENTS HAD A DUTY TO INITIATE FOLLOW UP CONSULTATION WITH CLAIMANT ABOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF CHANGING HER INVESTMENTS. THE ARBITRATOR FOUND THAT RESPONDENTS BREACHED THEIR DUTY TO INITIATE SUCH FOLLOW-UP CONSULTATION WITH CLAIMANT. CLAIMANT'S LOSSES FROM JANUARY 31, 2002 UNTIL THE ACCOUNT WAS CLOSED WERE CAUSED 51 PERCENT BY RESPONDENTS' BREACH AND 49 PERCENT BY CLAIMANT'S OWN NEGLIGENCE.

**Reporting Source:** Individual

**Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint:** WELLS FARGO INVESTMENTS LLC

**Allegations:** NEGLIGENCE, BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY NOVEMBER 2000

**Product Type:** Mutual Fund(s)

**Alleged Damages:** \$100,000.00

**Customer Complaint Information**

**Date Complaint Received:** 11/14/2002

**Complaint Pending?** No

**Status:** Arbitration/Reparation

**Status Date:** 06/10/2003

**Settlement Amount:**

**Individual Contribution Amount:**

**Arbitration Information**

**Arbitration/Reparation Claim filed with and Docket/Case No.:** NASD - 03-3826

**Date Notice/Process Served:** 05/19/2003

**Arbitration Pending?** No

**Disposition:** Award to Customer

**Disposition Date:** 07/14/2004

**Monetary Compensation Amount:** \$6,400.00

**Individual Contribution Amount:** \$0.00

**Disclosure 2 of 2**

**Reporting Source:** Firm

**Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint:** MERRILL LYNCH, PIERCE, FENNER & SMITH INC.

**Allegations:** CUSTOMER ALLEGE FINANCIAL CONSULTANT MISREPRESENTED THAT HE REMOVED THE RESTRICTIVE LEGEND ON THEIR "CINTAS" STOCK AND WHEN THEY WENT TO SELL THE STOCK ON JULY 27, 1999 THEY LEARNED



THE RESTRICTIVE LEGEND WAS STILL ON.

**Product Type:** Equity - OTC

**Alleged Damages:** \$270,000.00

### Customer Complaint Information

**Date Complaint Received:** 11/28/2000

**Complaint Pending?** No

**Status:** Denied

**Status Date:** 02/09/2001

**Settlement Amount:**

**Individual Contribution Amount:**

**Firm Statement**

MERRILL LYNCH AND MR. CALHOON DENY THE CUSTOMERE ALLEGATIONS. THE MINGERS REQUESTED, FOR THE FIRST TIME, IN OR ABOUT JUNE 1999 THAT MR. CALHOON TAKE THE APPROPRIATE MEASURES TO REMOVE THE RESTRICTION FROM THEIR CINTAS SHARES. THE MINGERS DID NOT, HOWEVER, GIVE ANY INDICATION THAT THEY HAD DECIDED TO SELL THE SHARES. THE RESTRICTIONS WERE LIFTED ON ALL SHARES BY JULY 25, 1999. IN THE CLIENTS' LETTER THEY MAINTAIN THAT THEY INSTRUCTED MR. CALHOON TO SELL THEIR SHARES ON JULY 27, 1999. THE MINGERS MADE NO ATTEMPT TO SELL ANY OF THEIR SHARES ON JULY 27, 1999 AS THEY COULD HAVE EASILY SOLD THEIR SHARES ON THAT DATE.

---

**Reporting Source:** Individual

**Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint:** MERRILL LYNCH PIERCE FENNER & SMITH INC.

**Allegations:** CLIENT CLAIMS IN JULY 1999 THEY REQUESTED TO SELL STOCK BUT WERE UNABLE TO DUE TO LEGEND. THIS IS UNTRUE. IF THEY WANTED TO SELL I WOULD HAVE DONE A PROSPECTUS SALE. THEY SIMPLY REQUESTED TO HAVE THE LEGEND REMOVED.

**Product Type:** Equity-OTC

**Alleged Damages:** \$270,000.00

**Is this an oral complaint?** No

**Is this a written complaint?** Yes

**Is this an arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation?** No

### Customer Complaint Information

**Date Complaint Received:** 11/28/2000

**Complaint Pending?** No

**Status:** Denied

**Status Date:** 02/09/2001

**Settlement Amount:**



**Individual Contribution  
Amount:**

**Broker Statement**

MERRILL LYNCH AND MR. CALHOON DENY THE CUSTOMERE ALLEGATIONS. THE [CUSTOMERS] REQUESTED, FOR THE FIRST TIME, IN OR ABOUT JUNE 1999 THAT MR. CALHOON TAKE THE APPROPRIATE MEASURES TO REMOVE THE RESTRICTION FROM THEIR CINTAS SHARES. THE [CUSTOMERS] DID NOT, HOWEVER, GIVE ANY INDICATION THAT THEY HAD DECIDED TO SELL THE SHARES. THE RESTRICTIONS WERE LIFTED ON ALL SHARES BY JULY 25, 1999. IN THE CLIENTS' LETTER THEY MAINTAIN THAT THEY INSTRUCTED MR. CALHOON TO SELL THEIR SHARES ON JULY 27, 1999. THE [CUSTOMERS] MADE NO ATTEMPT TO SELL ANY OF THEIR SHARES ON JULY 27, 1999 AS THEY COULD HAVE EASILY SOLD THEIR SHARES ON THAT DATE.



## End of Report

This page is intentionally left blank.