



## IAPD Report

# ARTHUR LEE THOMPSON

CRD# 828946

<b><u>Section Title</u></b>	<b><u>Page(s)</u></b>
Report Summary	1
Qualifications	2 - 5
Registration and Employment History	6
Disclosure Information	7

**i** When communicating online or investing with any professional, make sure you know who you're dealing with. [Imposters](#) might link to sites like BrokerCheck from [phishing](#) or similar scam websites, or through [social media](#), trying to steal your personal information or your money.  
Please contact FINRA with any concerns.



## IAPD Information About Representatives

IAPD offers information on all current-and many former representatives. Investors are strongly encouraged to use IAPD to check the background of representatives before deciding to conduct, or continue to conduct, business with them.

### What is included in a IAPD report?

IAPD reports for individual representatives include information such as employment history, professional qualifications, disciplinary actions, criminal convictions, civil judgments and arbitration awards.

It is important to note that the information contained in an IAPD report may include pending actions or allegations that may be contested, unresolved or unproven. In the end, these actions or allegations may be resolved in favor of the representative, or concluded through a negotiated settlement with no admission or finding of wrongdoing.

### Where did this information come from?

The information contained in IAPD comes from the Investment Adviser Registration Depository (IARD) and FINRA's Central Registration Depository, or CRD, (see more on CRD below) and is a combination of:

- information the states require representatives and firms to submit as part of the registration and licensing process, and
- information that state regulators report regarding disciplinary actions or allegations against representatives.

### How current is this information?

Generally, representatives are required to update their professional and disciplinary information in IARD within 30 days.

### Need help interpreting this report?

For help understanding how to read this report, please consult NASAA's IAPD Tips page <http://www.nasaa.org/IAPD/IARReports.cfm>

### What if I want to check the background of an Individual Broker or Brokerage Firm?

To check the background of an Individual Broker or Brokerage firm, you can search for the firm or individual in IAPD. If your search is successful, click on the link provided to view the available licensing and registration information in FINRA's BrokerCheck website.

### Are there other resources I can use to check the background of investment professionals?

It is recommended that you learn as much as possible about an individual representative or Investment Adviser firm before deciding to work with them. Your state securities regulator can help you research individuals and certain firms doing business in your state. The contact information for state securities regulators can be found on the website of the North American Securities Administrators Association <http://www.nasaa.org>



## Report Summary

### ARTHUR LEE THOMPSON (CRD# 828946)

The report summary provides an overview of the representative's professional background and conduct. The information contained in this report has been provided by the representative, investment adviser and/or securities firms, and/or securities regulators as part of the states' investment adviser registration and licensing process. The information contained in this report was last updated by the representative, a previous employing firm, or a securities regulator on **08/11/2025**.

### CURRENT EMPLOYERS

	Firm	CRD#	Registered Since
<b>B</b>	WELLS FARGO CLEARING SERVICES, LLC	CRD# 19616	11/01/2013
<b>IA</b>	WELLS FARGO ADVISORS	CRD# 19616	07/27/2021

### QUALIFICATIONS

This representative is currently registered in **6** SRO(s) and **23** jurisdiction(s).

Is this representative currently Inactive or Suspended with any regulator? **No**

**Note:** Not all jurisdictions require IAR registration or may have an exemption from registration.

Additional information including this individual's qualification examinations and professional designations is available in the Detailed Report.

### REGISTRATION HISTORY

This representative was previously registered with the following firm(s):

	FIRM	CRD#	LOCATION	REGISTRATION DATES
<b>B</b>	MORGAN STANLEY	149777	NEW YORK, NY	06/01/2009 - 11/14/2013
<b>B</b>	CITIGROUP GLOBAL MARKETS INC.	7059	NEW YORK, NY	10/15/1999 - 06/01/2009
<b>B</b>	CIBC WORLD MARKETS	630	NEW YORK, NY	11/05/1993 - 11/05/1999

For additional registration and employment history details as reported by the individual, refer to the Registration and Employment History section of the Detailed Report.

### DISCLOSURE INFORMATION

Disclosure events include certain criminal charges and convictions, formal investigations and disciplinary actions initiated by regulators, customer disputes and arbitrations, and financial disclosures such as bankruptcies and unpaid judgments or liens.

Are there events disclosed about this representative? **Yes**

The following types of events are disclosed about this representative:

Type	Count
Customer Dispute	3
Judgment/Lien	4



## Qualifications

### REGISTRATIONS

This section provides the SRO, states and U.S. territories in which the representative is currently registered and licensed, the category of each registration, and the date on which the registration becomes effective. This section also provides, for each firm with which the representative is currently employed, the address of each location where the representative works. This individual is currently registered with **23** jurisdiction(s) and 6 SRO(s) through his or her employer(s).

### Employment 1 of 1

Firm Name: **WELLS FARGO ADVISORS**  
Main Address: ONE NORTH JEFFERSON AVENUE  
MAIL CODE: H0004-05E  
ST. LOUIS, MO 63103-2205  
Firm ID#: 19616

Regulator	Registration	Status	Date
<b>B</b> Cboe Exchange, Inc.	General Securities Representative	Approved	12/02/2021
<b>B</b> FINRA	General Securities Representative	Approved	11/01/2013
<b>B</b> NYSE American LLC	General Securities Representative	Approved	11/01/2013
<b>B</b> Nasdaq PHLX LLC	General Securities Representative	Approved	11/01/2013
<b>B</b> Nasdaq Stock Market	General Securities Representative	Approved	11/01/2013
<b>B</b> New York Stock Exchange	General Securities Representative	Approved	11/01/2013
<b>B</b> California	Agent	Approved	11/01/2013
<b>B</b> Colorado	Agent	Approved	11/01/2013
<b>B</b> Connecticut	Agent	Approved	11/01/2013
<b>B</b> Delaware	Agent	Approved	11/01/2013
<b>B</b> District of Columbia	Agent	Approved	07/29/2025
<b>B</b> Florida	Agent	Approved	11/01/2013
<b>B</b> Georgia	Agent	Approved	11/01/2013



### Qualifications

Regulator	Registration	Status	Date
B Illinois	Agent	Approved	11/01/2013
B Louisiana	Agent	Approved	11/01/2013
B Maryland	Agent	Approved	11/01/2013
B Massachusetts	Agent	Approved	11/04/2013
B Missouri	Agent	Approved	11/06/2013
B Nevada	Agent	Approved	11/01/2013
B New Jersey	Agent	Approved	11/01/2013
B New Mexico	Agent	Approved	12/02/2013
B New York	Agent	Approved	11/01/2013
IA New York	Investment Adviser Representative	Approved	07/27/2021
B North Carolina	Agent	Approved	11/01/2013
B Ohio	Agent	Approved	11/01/2013
B Pennsylvania	Agent	Approved	11/01/2013
B Rhode Island	Agent	Approved	07/25/2025
B Texas	Agent	Approved	11/01/2013
B Vermont	Agent	Approved	11/01/2013
B Virginia	Agent	Approved	11/01/2013

#### Branch Office Locations

**WELLS FARGO ADVISORS**  
1 PENN PLZ FL 27



## Qualifications

NEW YORK, NY 10119



## Qualifications

### PASSED INDUSTRY EXAMS

This section includes all industry exams that the representative has passed. Under limited circumstances, a representative may attain registration after receiving an exam waiver based on a combination of exams the representative has passed and qualifying work experience. Likewise a new exam requirement may be grandfathered based on a representative's specific qualifying work experience. Exam waivers and grandfathering are not included below.

**This individual has passed 0 principal/supervisory exams, 5 general industry/product exams, and 2 state securities law exams.**

#### Principal/Supervisory Exams

Exam	Category	Date
------	----------	------

No information reported.

#### General Industry/Product Exams

Exam	Category	Date
------	----------	------

Securities Industry Essentials Examination (SIE)	SIE	10/01/2018
National Commodity Futures Examination (S3)	Series 3	07/23/1986
Interest Rate Options Examination (S5)	Series 5	03/16/1982
AMEX Put and Call Exam (PC)	PC	04/24/1980
General Securities Representative Examination (S7)	Series 7	10/16/1976

#### State Securities Law Exams

Exam	Category	Date
------	----------	------

Uniform Investment Adviser Law Examination (S65)	Series 65	07/19/1995
Uniform Securities Agent State Law Examination (S63)	Series 63	05/02/1980

### PROFESSIONAL DESIGNATIONS

This section details that the representative has reported **0** professional designation(s).

No information reported.



## Registration & Employment History

### PREVIOUSLY REGISTERED WITH THE FOLLOWING FIRMS

This representative held registrations with the following firms:

	Registration Dates	Firm Name	ID#	Branch Location
B	06/01/2009 - 11/14/2013	MORGAN STANLEY	CRD# 149777	NEW YORK, NY
B	10/15/1999 - 06/01/2009	CITIGROUP GLOBAL MARKETS INC.	CRD# 7059	NEW YORK, NY
B	11/05/1993 - 11/05/1999	CIBC WORLD MARKETS	CRD# 630	NEW YORK, NY
B	02/01/1994 - 04/18/1995	OPPENHEIMER & CO., INC.	CRD# 630	
B	08/22/1991 - 10/29/1993	BEAR, STEARNS & CO. INC.	CRD# 79	NEW YORK, NY
B	02/27/1980 - 08/21/1991	MORGAN STANLEY & CO., INCORPORATED	CRD# 8209	NEW YORK, NY
B	10/21/1976 - 02/27/1980	MORGAN STANLEY & CO., INCORPORATED	CRD# 5203	

### EMPLOYMENT HISTORY

Below is the representative's employment history for up to the last 10 years.

Employment Dates	Employer Name	Position	Investment Related	Employer Location
11/2016 - Present	WELLS FARGO CLEARING SERVICES, LLC	REGISTERED REP	Y	NEW YORK, NY, United States
11/2013 - 11/2016	WELLS FARGO ADVISORS LLC	REGISTERED REP	Y	NEW YORK, NY, United States

### OTHER BUSINESS ACTIVITIES

This section includes information, if any, as provided by the representative regarding other business activities the representative is currently engaged in either as a proprietor, partner, officer, director, employee, trustee, agent, or otherwise. This section does not include non-investment related activity that is exclusively charitable, civic, religious, or fraternal and is recognized as tax exempt.

No information reported.



## Disclosure Summary

### Disclosure Information

#### What you should know about reported disclosure events:

##### (1) Certain thresholds must be met before an event is reported to IARD, for example:

- A law enforcement agency must file formal charges before an Investment Adviser Representative is required to report a particular criminal event.;
- A customer dispute must involve allegations that an Investment Adviser Representative engaged in activity that violates certain rules or conduct governing the industry and that the activity resulted in damages of at least \$5,000.

##### (2) Disclosure events in IAPD reports come from different sources:

As mentioned in the "About IAPD" section on page 1 of this report, information contained in IAPD comes from Investment Adviser Representatives, firms and regulators. When more than one of these sources reports information for the same disclosure event, all versions of the event will appear in the IAPD report. The different versions will be separated by a solid line with the reporting source labeled.

##### (3) There are different statuses and dispositions for disclosure events:

- A disclosure event may have a status of *pending*, *on appeal*, or *final*.
  - A "pending" disclosure event involves allegations that have not been proven or formally adjudicated.
  - A disclosure event that is "on appeal" involves allegations that have been adjudicated but are currently being appealed.
  - A "final" disclosure event has been concluded and its resolution is not subject to change.
- A final disclosure event generally has a disposition of *adjudicated*, *settled* or *otherwise resolved*.
  - An "adjudicated" matter includes a disposition by (1) a court of law in a criminal or civil matter, or (2) an administrative panel in an action brought by a regulator that is contested by the party charged with some alleged wrongdoing.
  - A "settled" matter generally represents a disposition wherein the parties involved in a dispute reach an agreement to resolve the matter. Please note that Investment Adviser Representatives and firms may choose to settle customer disputes or regulatory matters for business or other reasons.
  - A "resolved" matter usually includes a disposition wherein no payment is made to the customer or there is no finding of wrongdoing on the part of the Investment Adviser Representative. Such matters generally involve customer disputes.

##### (4) You may wish to contact the Investment Adviser Representatives to obtain further information regarding any of the disclosure events contained in this IAPD report.



## DISCLOSURE EVENT DETAILS

When evaluating this information, please keep in mind that some items may involve pending actions or allegations that may be contested and have not been resolved or proven. The event may, in the end, be withdrawn, dismissed, resolved in favor of the Investment Adviser Representative, or concluded through a negotiated settlement with no admission or finding of wrongdoing.

This report provides the information exactly as it was reported to the Investment Adviser Registration Depository. Some of the specific data fields contained in the report may be blank if the information was not provided.

The following types of events are disclosed about this representative:

Type	Count
Customer Dispute	3
Judgment/Lien	4

### Customer Dispute

This section provides information regarding a customer dispute that was reported to the Investment Adviser Registration Depository (IARD) by the Investment Adviser Representative (IAR), an investment adviser and/or securities firm, and/or a securities regulator. The event may include a consumer-initiated, investment-related complaint, arbitration proceeding or civil suit that contains allegations of sales practice violations against the individual.

The customer dispute may be pending or may have resulted in a civil judgment, arbitration award, monetary settlement, closure without action, withdrawal, dismissal, denial, or other outcome.

#### Disclosure 1 of 3

<b>Reporting Source:</b>	Individual
<b>Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint:</b>	MORGAN STANLEY SMITH BARNEY
<b>Allegations:</b>	THE CLIENT ALLEGED FAILURE TO FOLLOW INSTRUCTIONS WITH RESPECT TO STOCK HELD IN MANAGED ACCOUNT 2/20/09-4/24/09. DAMAGES UNSPECIFIED.
<b>Product Type:</b>	Other: MANAGED ACCOUNT
<b>Alleged Damages:</b>	\$0.00
<b>Is this an oral complaint?</b>	No
<b>Is this a written complaint?</b>	Yes
<b>Is this an arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation?</b>	No

### Customer Complaint Information

<b>Date Complaint Received:</b>	03/11/2010
<b>Complaint Pending?</b>	No
<b>Status:</b>	Denied



**Status Date:** 03/26/2010

**Settlement Amount:**

**Individual Contribution Amount:**

**Broker Statement** CLAIM DENIED.

### Disclosure 2 of 3

**Reporting Source:** Regulator

**Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint:** MORGAN STANLEY & CO., INC.

**Allegations:** CUSTOMERS VS. MEMBER FIRM AND REGISTERED REP ALLEGING CHURNING UNAUTHORIZED TRANSACTIONS (OTC AND OPTIONS), MISREPRESENTATIONS AND FAILURE TO SUPERVISE.

**Product Type:**

**Alleged Damages:** \$295,189.39

### Arbitration Information

**Arbitration/Reparation Claim filed with and Docket/Case No.:** NYSE - CASE #1990

**Date Notice/Process Served:** 01/28/1989

**Arbitration Pending?** No

**Disposition:** Other

**Disposition Date:** 05/11/1990

**Disposition Detail:** AWARD AGAINST PARTY  
THE UNDERSIGNED ARBITRATORS HAVE DECIDED AND DETERMINED IN FULL AND FINAL SETTLEMENT OF ALL CLAIMS BETWEEN THE PARTIES THAT: CLAIMANTS, AGOSTINE AND NANCY MALERBA, ARE AWARDED THE SUM OF \$187,552.22 PLUS COSTS TO BE ASSESSED JOINTLY AND SEVERALLY, AGAINST RESPONDENTS, MORGAN STANLEY AND COMPANY, INC. AND AUTHUR THOMPSON

---

**Reporting Source:** Firm

**Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint:** MORGAN STANLEY & CO., INC.

**Allegations:** CLAIMANTS ALLEGED CHURNING, UNAUTHORIZED TRANSACTIONS, MISREPRESENTATIONS, AND FAILURE TO SUPERVISE. CLAIMANTS SOUGHT \$295,189.39 IN ACTUAL DAMAGES AND \$1,000,000. IN PUNITIVE DAMAGES. RESPONDENTS DENIED THE ALLEGATIONS AND RAISED AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES, INCLUDING RATIFICATION, WAIVER, AND ESTOPPEL.

**Product Type:**

**Alleged Damages:** \$295,189.39

**Customer Complaint Information****Date Complaint Received:****Complaint Pending?** No**Status:** Arbitration/Reparation**Status Date:****Settlement Amount:****Individual Contribution Amount:****Arbitration Information****Arbitration/Reparation Claim filed with and Docket/Case No.:** New York Stock Exchange; 1990**Date Notice/Process Served:** 01/28/1989**Arbitration Pending?** No**Disposition:** Award to Customer**Disposition Date:** 05/11/1990**Monetary Compensation Amount:** \$187,552.22**Individual Contribution Amount:****Firm Statement**

CLAIMANTS AWARDED \$187,522.22 PLUS COSTS, APPROXIMATELY 14.5% OF THEIR TOTAL DAMAGE DEMAND. AT THE HEARING, RESPONDENTS PRESENTED EVIDENCE THAT CLAIMANT WAS A SOPHISTICATED BUSINESSMAN; THAT HIS ACCOUNT GREW FROM \$300,000. TO \$413,000. IN THE FIRST FIVE MONTHS; THAT IT THEN LOST \$110,000. IN ONE MONTH, BUT REBOUNDED TO \$423,000. THE FOLLOWING MONTH; AND THAT DESPITE THIS DEMONSTRATION OF RISKS OF THE MARKET, CLAIMANT DID NOT CLOSE HIS ACCOUNT OR CHANGE HIS INSTRUCTIONS TO HIS BROKER. OVER THE FOLLOWING THREE MONTHS CLAIMANT COULD HAVE CLOSED HIS ACCOUNT WITH A PROFIT OF 22-44%. WE BELIEVE THAT THE PANEL ACCEPTED THESE ARGUMENTS IN MITIGATION OF THE DAMAGES AWARDED TO THE CLAIMANT.

---

**Reporting Source:** Individual**Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint:** MORGAN STANLEY & CO., INC.**Allegations:** CLAIMANTS ALLEGED CHURNING, UNAUTHORIZED TRANSACTIONS, MISREPRESENTATIONS, AND FAILURE TO SUPERVISE. CLAIMANTS SOUGHT \$295,189.39 IN ACTUAL DAMAGES AND \$1,000,000. IN PUNITIVE DAMAGES. RESPONDENTS DENIED THE ALLEGATIONS AND RAISED AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES, INCLUDING RATIFICATION, WAIVER, AND ESTOPPEL.**Product Type:**



**Alleged Damages:** \$295,189.39

### Customer Complaint Information

**Date Complaint Received:**

**Complaint Pending?** No

**Status:** Arbitration/Reparation

**Status Date:**

**Settlement Amount:**

**Individual Contribution**

**Amount:**

### Arbitration Information

**Arbitration/Reparation Claim filed with and Docket/Case No.:** New York Stock Exchange; 1990

**Date Notice/Process Served:** 01/28/1989

**Arbitration Pending?** No

**Disposition:** Award to Customer

**Disposition Date:** 05/11/1990

**Monetary Compensation Amount:** \$187,552.22

**Individual Contribution**

**Amount:**

### Broker Statement

CLAIMANTS AWARDED \$187,552.22, PLUS COSTS, APPROXIMATELY 14.5% OF THEIR TOTAL DAMAGE DEMAND. AT THE HEARING, RESPONDENTS PRESENTED EVIDENCE THAT CLAIMANT WAS A SOPHISTICATED BUSINESSMAN; THAT HIS ACCOUNT GREW FROM \$300,000. TO \$413,000. IN THE FIRST FIVE MONTHS; THAT IT THEN LOST \$110,000. IN ONE MONTH, BUT REBOUNDED TO \$423,000. THE FOLLOWING MONTH; AND THAT DESPITE THIS DEMONSTRATION OF THE RISKS OF THE MARKET, CLAIMANT DID NOT CLOSE HIS ACCOUNT OR CHANGE HIS INSTRUCTIONS TO HIS BROKER. OVER THE FOLLOWING THREE MONTHS CLAIMANT COULD HAVE CLOSED HIS ACCOUNT WITH A PROFIT OF 22-44%. WE BELIEVE THAT THE PANEL ACCEPTED THESE ARGUMENTS IN MITIGATION OF THE DAMAGES AWARDED TO CLAIMANT.

### Disclosure 3 of 3

**Reporting Source:** Regulator

**Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint:** MORGAN STANLEY & CO.

**Allegations:** CUSTOMER v. MEMBER FIRM AND REGISTERED REPRESENTATIVE FOR FRAUD, UNSUITABILITY, UNAUTHORIZED TRADES (OPTIONS), FRAUD, MISREPRESENTATIONS, BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY, FAILURE TO SUPERVISE AND VIOLATIONS OF ~17 OF THE '33ACT



~10(b) AND 20(a) OF THE '34 ACT, RULE 10b-5, NYSE RULES 342, 405 AND 408 AND NASD'S RULES OF FAIR PRACTICE.

**Product Type:**

**Alleged Damages:** \$484,000.00

**Arbitration Information**

**Arbitration/Reparation Claim filed with and Docket/Case No.:** NYSE - CASE #N/A

**Date Notice/Process Served:** 07/25/1988

**Arbitration Pending?** No

**Disposition:** Other

**Disposition Date:** 08/15/1989

**Disposition Detail:** AWARD AGAINST PARTY THE RESPONDENT MORGAN STANLEY & CO. SHALL PAY TO THE CLAIMANT THE SUM OF \$286,000.00 AND THE RESPONDENT ARTHUR THOMPSON SHALL PAY TO THE CLAIMANT THE SUM OF \$60,060.00, WHICH AWARDS INCLUDE INTEREST TO THE DATE OF THE AWARD. THE COSTS, \$8,000.00, BE AND HEREBY ARE ASSESSED AGAINST THE RESPONDENT MORGAN STANLEY & CO

**Reporting Source:** Firm  
**Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint:** MORGAN STANLEY & CO.

**Allegations:** A CUSTOMER OF MORGAN STANLEY'S INDIVIDUAL INVESTOR SERVICES DEPARTMENT, ALLEGED UNAUTHORIZED AND RECKLESS TRADING IN HIS ACCOUNT DURING THE PERIOD 5/85-3/87. THE CUSTOMER ALSO ALLEGED FRAUD AND CHURNING, AND, AS AGAINST MORGAN STANLEY, FAILURE TO SUPERVISE. THE STATEMENT OF CLAIM SOUGHT ACTUAL DAMAGES AGAINST BOTH MORGAN STANLEY & ARTHUR THOMPSON, THE CLAIMANT'S INVESTMENT REPRESENTATIVE, OF \$484,000., \$1.5 MILLION IN PUNITIVE DAMAGES, INTEREST, COSTS AND DISBURSEMENTS.

**Product Type:**

**Alleged Damages:** \$484,000.00

**Customer Complaint Information**

**Date Complaint Received:**

**Complaint Pending?** No

**Status:** Arbitration/Reparation

**Status Date:**

**Settlement Amount:**

**Individual Contribution Amount:**

**Arbitration Information**



**Arbitration/Reparation Claim filed with and Docket/Case No.:** New York Stock Exchange

**Date Notice/Process Served:** 07/25/1988

**Arbitration Pending?** No

**Disposition:** Award to Customer

**Disposition Date:** 08/15/1989

**Monetary Compensation Amount:** \$354,060.00

**Individual Contribution Amount:**

**Firm Statement**

FOUR DAYS OF ARBITRATION HEARINGS WERE HELD, AND BY DECISION DATED 8/15/89, THE CLAIMANT WAS AWARDED \$286,00 AGAINST MORGAN STANLEY & CO. INCORPORATED AND \$60,060 AGAINST ARTHUR THOMPSON. MORGAN STANLEY & THOMPSON DENIED THE ALLEGATIONS OF THE STATEMENT OF CLAIM AND RAISED A NUMBER OF AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES, INCLUDING WAIVER, RATIFICATION AND ESTOPPEL. AMONG THE FACTS AND ARGUMENTS RAISED BY MORGAN STANLEY AND THOMPSON WERE THE SUBSTANTIAL SOPHISTICATION OF THE CUSTOMER; HIS AUTHORIZATION OF THE TRADING; HIS RECEIPT AND REVIEW OF CONFIRMS AND MONTHLY STATEMENTS AND HIS REPEATED FAILURE TO INSTRUCT THOMPSON TO DISCONTINUE THE TRADING; THE INVOLVEMENT OF THE CUSTOMER'S ACCOUNTANT IN REVIEWING THE TRADING AND ADVISING THE CUSTOMER; AND THE DRAMATIC SUCCESS OF THE TRADING IN THE ACCOUNT IN THE EARLY PERIOD, AND THE CONSEQUENT OPPORTUNITY FOR THE CUSTOMER TO AVOID OR MITIGATE ANY DAMAGES.

---

**Reporting Source:** Individual

**Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint:** MORGAN STANLEY & CO.

**Allegations:** A CUSTOMER OF MORGAN STANLEY'S INDIVIDUAL INVESTOR SERVICES DEPARTMENT, ALLEGED UNAUTHORIZED AND RECKLESS TRADING IN HIS ACCOUNT DURING THE PERIOD 5/85-3/87. THE CUSTOMER ALSO ALLEGED FRAUD AND CHURNING, AND, AS AGAINST MORGAN STANLEY, FAILURE TO SUPERVISE. THE STATEMENT OF CLAIM SOUGHT ACTUAL DAMAGES AGAINST BOTH MORGAN STANLEY & ARTHUR THOMPSON, THE CLAIMANT'S INVESTMENT REPRESENTATIVE, OF \$484,000, \$1.5 MILLION IN PUNITIVE DAMAGES, INTEREST, COSTS & DISBURSEMENTS.

**Product Type:**

**Alleged Damages:** \$484,000.00

### Customer Complaint Information

**Date Complaint Received:**



**Complaint Pending?** No

**Status:** Arbitration/Reparation

**Status Date:**

**Settlement Amount:**

**Individual Contribution Amount:**

**Arbitration Information**

**Arbitration/Reparation Claim filed with and Docket/Case No.:** New York Stock Exchange

**Date Notice/Process Served:** 07/25/1988

**Arbitration Pending?** No

**Disposition:** Award to Customer

**Disposition Date:** 08/15/1989

**Monetary Compensation Amount:** \$354,060.00

**Individual Contribution Amount:**

**Broker Statement**

FOUR DAYS OF ARBITRATION HEARINGS WERE HELD, AND BY DECISION DATED 8/15/89, THE CLAIMANT WAS AWARDED \$286,000 AGAINST MORGAN STANLEY & CO. INC. AND \$60,060 AGAINST ARTHUR THOMPSON.

MORGAN STANLEY & THOMPSON DENIED THE ALLEGATIONS OF THE STATEMENT OF CLAIM AND RAISED A NUMBER OF AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES, INCLUDING WAIVER, RATIFICATION AND ESTOPPEL. AMONG THE FACTS & ARGUMENTS RAISED BY MORGAN STANLEY & THOMPSON WERE THE SUBSTANTIAL SOPHISTICATION OF THE CUSTOMER; HIS AUTHORIZATION OF THE TRADING; HIS RECEIPT & REVIEW OF CONFIRMS & MONTHLY STATEMENTS & HIS REPEATED FAILURE TO INSTRUCT THOMPSON TO DISCONTINUE THE TRADING; THE INVOLVEMENT OF THE CUSTOMER'S ACCOUNTANT IN REVIEWING THE TRADING & ADVISING THE CUSTOMER; AND THE DRAMATIC SUCCESS OF THE TRADING IN THE ACCOUNT IN THE EARLY PERIOD, & THE CONSEQUENT OPPORTUNITY FOR THE CUSTOMER TO AVOID OR MITIGATE ANY DAMAGES.



### Judgment/Lien

This disclosure event involves an unsatisfied and outstanding judgment or lien against the Investment Adviser Representative.

#### Disclosure 1 of 4

**Reporting Source:** Individual  
**Judgment/Lien Holder:** Internal Revenue Service  
**Judgment/Lien Amount:** \$7,194.00  
**Judgment/Lien Type:** Tax  
**Date Filed with Court:** 04/24/2013  
**Date Individual Learned:** 07/31/2015  
**Type of Court:** Registers Office  
**Name of Court:** New York City Registers Office  
**Location of Court:** New York, NY  
**Docket/Case #:** 2013041701210007  
**Judgment/Lien Outstanding?** Yes

#### Disclosure 2 of 4

**Reporting Source:** Individual  
**Judgment/Lien Holder:** PWV Acquisition LLC  
**Judgment/Lien Amount:** \$3,326.00  
**Judgment/Lien Type:** Civil  
**Date Filed with Court:** 12/30/2011  
**Date Individual Learned:** 07/31/2015  
**Type of Court:** State Court  
**Name of Court:** Civil Court of the city of New York  
**Location of Court:** New York, NY  
**Docket/Case #:** 2011K095403  
**Judgment/Lien Outstanding?** Yes

#### Disclosure 3 of 4

**Reporting Source:** Individual  
**Judgment/Lien Holder:** Internal Revenue Service  
**Judgment/Lien Amount:** \$93,828.00  
**Judgment/Lien Type:** Tax  
**Date Filed with Court:** 11/25/2008  
**Date Individual Learned:** 07/31/2015  
**Type of Court:** Registers Office  
**Name of Court:** New York City Register's Office



**Location of Court:** New York, NY  
**Docket/Case #:** 2008111900333042  
**Judgment/Lien Outstanding?** Yes

**Disclosure 4 of 4**

**Reporting Source:** Individual  
**Judgment/Lien Holder:** PWV Acquisition  
**Judgment/Lien Amount:** \$4,130.00  
**Judgment/Lien Type:** Civil  
**Date Filed with Court:** 03/21/2006  
**Date Individual Learned:** 07/31/2015  
**Type of Court:** State Court  
**Name of Court:** Civil Court of the city of New York  
**Location of Court:** New York, NY  
**Docket/Case #:** 20060063361  
**Judgment/Lien Outstanding?** Yes



## End of Report

This page is intentionally left blank.